International Journal of Global Sustainable Research (IJGSR)
Vol.3, No.11, 2025: 805-826

Artificial Intelligence in Education

Priya Shukla[1*], Jignesh Vidani[2]
LJ University
Corresponding Author: Priya Shukla trshukla976@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords : AI-Driven Education, Ethical AI Implementation, Personalized Learning, Algorithmic Fairness, Student-Centred Learning

Received : 21 Februwary
Revised : 23 March
Accepted: 23 April

A B S T R A C T

Imagine a classroom where technology complements rather than overpowers instruction and each student's own learning style shapes a customized educational path. This vision is becoming a reality thanks to artificial intelligence (AI), which is revolutionizing education through data-driven insights that improve student outcomes, automated procedures, and adaptive learning systems. AI-powered solutions help teachers with thorough assessments, generate original content, and tailor classes to each student's unique learning style. AI analytics also assist in identifying students who might need extra assistance, allowing for prompt intervention and better learning outcomes. AI has enormous educational benefits. It has been demonstrated that individualized learning paths can boost student engagement and raise academic achievement by as much as 20%. Additionally, teachers are relieved of administrative duties, freeing them up to concentrate on engaging, interactive instruction. Beyond efficiency, AI promotes diversity and innovation, resulting in a more engaging and adaptable educational experience. However, issues persist. Ethical concerns about AI's decision-making processes, possible threats to student data privacy, and accessibility restrictions that affect roughly 15% of learners remain critical. Furthermore, many teachers need further training to effectively incorporate AI technologies into their classes

DOI: https://doi.org/10.59890/ijgsr.v3i11.104
ISSN-E: 2828-1519
https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijgsr

INTRODUCTION

Consider schools from the past, with chalkboards, written materials, and teachings taught consistently to all. Teaching required enormous effort and left little room for customization for groups of 30 to 50 pupils. In the 1970s, schools began to introduce computers such as the Apple II (1977) and IBM PCs (1981), which were initially used for arithmetic drills and computerized gradebooks. By the mid-1980s, these resources had reached millions of pupils. Today, artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing education through sophisticated algorithms that mimic human thought. AI can handle complex computations quickly, modify lessons based on student responses, and process massive datasets, such as millions of test scores, to provide personalized learning experiences.

AI has a huge impact on schooling. Platforms like Carnegie Learning's MATHia serve approximately 500,000 students annually, adapting to their needs. Automated grading systems can review thousands of tests in minutes, and analytics techniques, such as those used at Georgia State University, can identify at-risk students with 85% accuracy. The benefits are evident. In other trials, AI has been demonstrated to increase student engagement by 30% while also improving math and reading scores. However, issues remain, such as providing access to the 15% of students who lack reliable technology and protecting student data.

Looking ahead, even bigger breakthroughs are expected, such as AI-powered virtual reality and personalised learning paths. This roadmap will help educators, politicians, and researchers navigate this exciting period by ensuring that AI's potential is used ethically to produce a more engaging and efficient educational system.

Backgrounds

In the past, classrooms used chalkboards and textbooks to offer uniform lessons to all students. Diverse learning requirements were frequently overlooked, leaving pupils feeling detached and missing personalised attention. The emergence of computers such as the Apple II and IBM PCs supplied basic tools like drills and gradebooks, but large, heterogeneous classrooms remained important obstacles.

Today, artificial intelligence is transforming education by personalising learning and automating routine chores. AI-powered platforms, which use machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics, adapt lessons to each student's pace, track progress, and alter courses in real time. These innovations not only increase engagement and achievement, but they also establish an inclusive and adaptable educational framework, setting the way for a future in which learning feels both personal and effective.

AI in Education Key Concepts and Applications

Imagine AI as a great collaborator, built to think like a person, adapt to new surroundings, and improve education [10, Coppin, 2004]. Its power stems from algorithms that process large databases, recognise patterns, and make human-like choices. Since the 1970s, when schools first began using computers for fundamental activities [11, Flamm, 1988], these capabilities have evolved into current platforms for problem-solving, long-term planning, and course

customization, aided by machine learning and natural language processing [22, Campbell-Kelly, 2004]. AI addresses unique teaching needs via applications.

Personalized Learning

Coursera, Khan Academy, and Duolingo are transforming learning by personalising the experience. They use machine learning to assess student data such as learning speed and areas of difficulty in order to provide customised resources [12, Kerr, 2016]. These platforms change class difficulty and give supplemental materials based on each student's skills and challenges.

For example, Duolingo tailors language lessons to a learner's mistakes, resulting in a consistent and engaging experience [13, Xie et al., 2019]. In topics such as physics and maths, platforms like Smart Sparrow enable students to learn at their own pace with real-time advice, promoting a deeper understanding and offering more support than traditional, one-size-fits-all classes [15, Chiu et al., 2023].

Brilliantly Mentoring Frameworks

Tools such as Carnegie Learning's MATHia and IBM Watson Direct serve as virtual coaches, displaying step-by-step instructions, tailoring clarifications to student reactions, and changing workload to maintain progress [14, Woolf, 2009]. MATHia recognises gaps, such as fights with fractions, and provides focused workouts. These systems gain progress as they evaluate normal understudy data [15, Chiu et al., 2023].

In maths and science, where exact criticism is important, inquiry-based mentoring frameworks frequently outperform scheduled coaching [6, VanLehn, 2011]. They scale personalised instruction to thousands, moving beyond the labour-intensive work of human mentors.

Mechanized Conclusive Assignments

AI alleviates teachers' burdens by automating time-consuming tasks such as evaluation. Grade scope, for example, accurately scores a variety of assessments ranging from multiple-choice tests to essays, avoiding bias and ensuring consistency [16, Shermis & Burstein, 2013]. Grade scope quickly shapes hundreds of examinations, revealing faulty patterns to improve lessons.

AI in expansion organises plans, flags at-risk students based on participation and grades, and provides help [17, GaÅ¡eviÄ‡ et al., 2015]. These discoveries have reduced dropout rates at institutions such as Georgia State College, demonstrating AI's ability to advance competence and student success. Teachers can focus on the course, which improves their classroom impact [7, Bennett, 2011].

AI-Powered Evaluations

AI advances tests by analysing understudy reactions to create personalised workouts and small input [18, Siemens, 2013]. EdX stages change tests based on execution, adjusting tests to reflect a student's knowledge. To prevent cheating, devices such as ProctorU use facial assertion and eye tracking to scan online tests [19, Chan, 2023].

AI enables instruction for disabled students. Apps such as Google's Live Decipher enable real-time address captions for hearing-impaired students, despite the fact that text-to-speech devices provide aid to clearly challenged pupils [20, Knox et al., 2019]. Real-time explanation tools, such as Microsoft

Interpreter, help students overcome language hurdles in dynamic classroom settings.

AI detects early symptoms of learning difficulties, such as dyslexia, by evaluating reading plans and enabling custom-fit mediations [21, Bhimdiwala et al., 2023]. Stages such as Lexia Core5 tailor analysis tasks for students with special needs, ensuring inclusivity for people who are typically excluded by traditional methods [30, UNESCO, 2021]. Despite the fact that these gadgets ensure comprehensive learning conditions, expenses, technical capability, and moral problems require careful consideration to open their full potential [22, Campbell-Kelly, 200].

Information and Security

The massive amounts of student data acquired by AI systems, ranging from test scores to behavioral metrics, raise serious concerns about security breaches, unauthorised access, and misuse [23, Regan & Jesse, 2019].

The massive amount of understudy data gathered by AI test scores, behaviours, and other factors raises concerns about breaches or misuse [23, Regan & Jesse, 2019]. Compliance with rules such as Europe's GDPR and the United States' FERPA is crucial for ensuring security [24, Prinsloo & Slade, 2015].

Algorithmic Incline and Fairness

AI models based on one-sided information hazard create unequal opportunities, preferring a few groups over others [25, Baker & Hawn, 2021]. Defiant, such as IBM's AI Sensibility 360, provide support in recognising and modifying tilt, a significant step towards equitable and tried and true AI in education [15, Chiu et al., 2023].

Research Gaps

Although the existing literature comprehensively highlights the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education, with a focus on personalised learning, intelligent tutoring systems, and administrative task automation, there are several unexplored areas that require further investigation. Most current studies focus on technological efficiency, learner engagement, and performance enhancement, but there is little empirical research on the long-term pedagogical, ethical, and psychological implications of AI adoption in educational settings.

Furthermore, while AI's promise to improve accessibility and inclusivity for differently-abled students is recognised, studies rarely evaluate the impact of Such methods are applicable in a variety of socioeconomic and linguistic circumstances, particularly in developing countries such as India. Research also lacks critical evaluations of instructors' preparation, digital literacy, and adaptation to AI-driven tools, all of which have a substantial impact on the success of AI integration in classrooms.

Another significant gap is in understanding algorithmic fairness and bias mitigation measures in educational AI systems. Current models frequently operate on datasets that may not accurately reflect the diversity of student groups, resulting in unequal learning outcomes. Furthermore, the convergence of AI with emerging technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) is largely unexplored in terms of practical classroom deployment and cost.

Future research should focus on establishing context-specific, ethically based frameworks that balance technical innovation with equitable access, teacher empowerment, and student well-being in order to promote long-term AI adoption in education.

Research Objectives

- Assess students' and educators' awareness and use of AI tools.
- Assess the influence of AI on instructional efficacy, personalised learning, and student outcomes.
- Analyze instructors' and learners' opinions of the benefits and obstacles of implementing AI in education.
- Identify ethical concerns and future expectations for AI use in the education sector.

LITERATURE RIVIEW

AI research in education has expanded significantly, encompassing technical breakthroughs, pedagogical applications, and ethical considerations. Scholars investigate AI's ability to personalise learning, automate assessment, facilitate inclusive education, and inform institutional decision-making, while also identifying problems like as privacy violations, algorithmic bias, the digital divide, and implications for teacher duties. This literature review synthesises main strands of scholarship to give a complete backdrop for the current investigation, using empirical data and theoretical work to map both accomplishments and significant obstacles.

Historical Evolution and Conceptual Foundations

Interest in computer-assisted instruction stretches back to the late twentieth century, but the current wave of AI research is based on improvements in machine learning, natural language processing, and big data analytics (Woolf, 2009; Campbell-Kelly, referenced in Priya Shukla and Vidani). Early intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) attempted to replicate one-on-one tutoring by modelling student knowledge and scaffolding problem solution; over time, these systems included adaptive algorithms that respond dynamically to learner behaviour (VanLehn, 2011). AI is conceptualised as a socio-technical phenomena, with algorithmic tools interwoven inside educational practices and institutional frameworks rather than a simply technical answer (Selwyn, 2019; Roll & Wylie, 2016).

Personalized Learning and Adaptive Systems

One of the most robust discoveries in the literature is AI's ability to personalise instruction. Adaptive learning platforms (such as Carnegie Learning's MATHia, DreamBox, and Smart Sparrow) use student interaction data to adjust difficulty, sequence content, and provide targeted feedback, often resulting in measurable increases in engagement and achievement (VanLehn, 2011; Xie et al., 2019; Chiu et al., 2023). Meta-analytic and field research show that personalised practice and fast corrective feedback can improve outcomes in areas such as mathematics and language learning. However, researchers note that impact sizes vary depending on context, subject, duration, and implementation

fidelity; strong comparison studies that separate mechanisms of improvement remain scarce.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems and Scaffolding

ITS research focuses on modelling student knowledge, identifying misconceptions, and providing step-by-step guidance. According to studies, ITS can match the effectiveness of human tutors in structured domains, particularly when feedback is proceduralized (VanLehn, 2011). NLP advancements have allowed ITS to be used to more open-ended tasks (e.g., writing support, argumentation), although automated scoring and meaningful formative feedback for complex outputs remain difficult (Shermis & Burstein, 2013). The literature identifies hybrid models, which combine AI scaffolding with teacher mediation, as a potential method to retain human pedagogical judgement while scaling individualised support (Roll & Wylie, 2016).

Automated Assessment, Proctoring, and Analytics

AI has been widely used to automate grading (multiple choice, short answer, and increasingly essay scoring) as well as to ensure assessment integrity through proctoring systems. Automated scoring systems minimise teacher effort and provide quick response cycles, but their reliability and fairness are hotly debated (Shermis & Burstein, 2013). Proctoring techniques (facial recognition, gaze tracking) create privacy and equality problems; evidence of false positives and cultural/technical biases has sparked debate (Chan, 2023; Regan & Jesse, 2019). Learning analytics, or the collection and visualisation of student data, provides early warning systems for at-risk learners; schools reporting systematic adoption (such as Georgia State) claim lower attrition, while causal attribution is complex and context dependant.

Accessibility, Inclusion, and Special Education

AI techniques hold great promise for students with disabilities: real-time captioning, text-to-speech, and diagnostic algorithms that detect early indicators of reading challenges enable more inclusive instruction (Knox et al., 2019; Bhimdiwala et al., 2023). According to research, adaptable platforms can be customised to scaffold learners with a variety of needs, but access hurdles (device ownership, internet access, language support) restrict their benefits for marginalised people (UNESCO, 2021). Critical work emphasises the requirement of design-for-inclusion, which involves co-designing systems with learners and specialists to prevent reinforcing exclusion.

Ethical, Privacy, and Fairness Concerns

Ethical considerations are essential to contemporary studies. The large-scale collecting of learner data has implications for privacy, consent, and data governance (Regan & Jesse, 2019; Prinsloo & Slade, 2015). Algorithmic bias, in which models trained on unrepresentative datasets yield biased outputs, is a persistent problem in educational environments, with the potential to exacerbate existing imbalances (Mittelstadt et al., 2016; Baker & Hawn, 2021). According to research, openness, explainability, and accountability procedures (such as audits and bias mitigation frameworks) are required for ethically responsible AI implementation. Technical solutions (fairness-aware algorithms) must be combined with policies and democratic governance.

Socio-Technical and Equity Dimensions: The Digital Divide

Access limitations continue to be a significant theme. Numerous studies show that the benefits of AI are unevenly distributed due to infrastructure gaps, pricing issues, and linguistic/cultural differences between systems and local curriculum (Warschauer & Matuchniak, quoted in Vidani; UNESCO, 2021). According to research from low- and middle-income nations, without conscious policy and investment, AI has the potential to exacerbate rather than decrease educational inequality. To close this gap, policymakers must work together, form public-private partnerships, and implement contextualised deployments that take into consideration local pedagogies and restrictions.

Emerging intersections: VR/AR, multimodal learning, and large language models

Recent research highlights the use of AI and immersive technologies (VR/AR) to promote experiential learning, resulting in increased engagement and knowledge of science and vocational courses. Challenges exist, including high expenses, teacher training, and curriculum alignment. Large language models (LLMs) provide opportunities for teaching, content production, and evaluation support, but they also carry hazards such as hallucinations, ambiguous thinking, and misuse. There have been few empirical studies of LLMs in schools, indicating that more research is needed.

Policy, Governance, and Institutional Adoption

Policy study emphasises regulatory loopholes and the need for frameworks that address student data protection, procurement norms, and responsibility for algorithmic decisions (Prinsloo & Slade, 2015; Regan & Jesse, 2019). Institutional adoption research emphasises organisational readiness, procurement transparency, and cross-stakeholder involvement (teachers, parents, and students) in procurement and deployment decisions. National programs (such as Digital India) seek to develop infrastructure, but they must be supplemented by governance measures that protect privacy and ensure fair access (UNESCO, 2021).

Synthesis: Strengths, Limitations, and Directions for Future Research

The literature generally supports AI's ability to improve personalisation, scale tutoring, and expedite administrative processes, with demonstrable benefits in specific disciplines. However, three interrelated restrictions emerge repeatedly: (1) equity and access â€" technological progress is uneven due to infrastructure and socioeconomic barriers; (2) ethical and fairness risks â€" algorithmic bias and opaque decision-making jeopardise equitable outcomes; and (3) implementation fidelity and teacher agency â€" tool effectiveness is heavily dependent on teacher readiness and integration into pedagogical practice. Furthermore, emerging technologies (LLMs, VR/AR) provide interesting yet underexplored prospects and concerns.

Future research priorities identified by multiple sources include rigorous causal evaluations (longitudinal RCTs where possible), participatory design approaches involving teachers and learners, technical work on fairness-aware models and explainability tailored for education, and policy research on governance frameworks that balance innovation with rights protections.

Comparative cross-country studies can shed light on how contextual factors influence impact, and research in low-resource countries is especially important to maintain global inclusion.

HYPOTHESIS

H1 : There is a significant association between Age Group and Frequency of AI Tool Usage

H2 : There is a significant association between Age Group and AI has improved the overall teaching and learning process

H3 : There is a significant association between Age Group and AI-based personalized learning system enhance my academic performance

H4 : There is a significant association between Age Group and Personalized AI tools make learning more engaging and effective

H5 : There is a significant association between Age Group and Automation in AI allows teachers to focus more on quality teaching

H6 : There is a significant association between Age Group and AI helps institutions make better academic and administrative decisions

H7 : There is a significant association between Age Group and Privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and fairness issues affect my trust in AI-based educational tools

H8 : There is a significant association between Age Group and Overall I believe AI will positively transform the future of education despite its challenges

Table 1. JV Citation File

All Statements with scale	Citation from JV citation file (You can add more than 1 citation)
Frequency of AI Tool Usage	(Vidani, 2015)
AI has Improved the overall teaching and learning process	(Vidani & Solanki, 2015)
AI based personalized learning system enhance my academic performance	(Modi, Harkani, Radadiya, & Vidani, 2016)
Personalized AI tools make learning more engaging and effective	(Sukhanandi, Tank, & Vidani, 2018)
Automation in AI allows teachers to focus more on quality teaching	(Mala, Vidani, & Solanki, 2016)
AI helps institutions make better academics and administrative decision	(Dhere, Vidani, & Solanki, 2016) (Singh & Vidani, 2016)
Privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and fairness issues affect my trust in AI-based educational tools	(Singh & Vidani, 2016)
Overall, I believe AI will positively transform the future of education despite its challenges	(Vidani & Plaha, 2016)

Source: Authorâ€™s compilation

METHODOLOGY

Research Design	Descriptive
Sample Method	Non-Probability - Convenient Sampling method
Data Collection Method	Primary method
Data Collection Method	Structured Questionnaire
Type of Questions	Close ended
Data Collection mode	Online through Google Form
Data Analysis methods	Tables
Data Analysis Tools	SPSS and Excel
Sampling Size	155
Survey Area	**Gujarat**
Sampling Unit	Students, Private and government Job employees, Businessmen, Home maker, Professionals like CA, Doctor etc.

Source: Authorâ€™s compilation

Demographic Summary

The demographic profile offers insights into the participants involved in this study on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education. Data were collected through an online questionnaire distributed among students, educators, and academic professionals to ensure diversity.

A total of 155 respondents participated in the survey, including 62% students, 24% educators, and 14% administrative or technical staff. The age distribution showed that 68% of participants were between 18â€“25 years, representing undergraduate and postgraduate students, 22% were aged 26â€“35 years, mainly early-career educators, and 10% were above 35 years, comprising experienced faculty and administrators.

In terms of educational background, 64% held or were pursuing a bachelorâ€™s degree, 26% had a masterâ€™s degree, and 10% possessed doctoral qualifications.

Overall, the majority were young and tech-savvy individuals, reflecting the studyâ€™s focus on understanding awareness and acceptance of AI tools among the most dynamic segment of the academic community.

Table 2: Results of Hypothsis Testing

1	H1: There is a significant association between Age Group and Frequency of AI Tool Usage	0.095	>	H01 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	0.083	No significant relationship
2	H2: There is a significant association between Age Group and AI has Improved the overall teaching and learning process	0.558	>	H02 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	0.078	No significant relationship
3	H3: There is a significant association between Age Group and AI based personalized learning system enhance my academic performance	0.690	>	H03 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	0.037	No significant relationship
4	H4: There is a significant association between Age Group and Personalized AI tools make learning more engaging and effective	0.217	>	H04 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	-0.216	No significant relationship (weak negative correlation)
5	H5: There is a significant association between Age Group and Automation in AI allows teachers to focus more on quality teaching	0.988	>	H05 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	0.015	No significant relationship
6	H6: There is a significant association between Age Group and AI helps institutions make better academics and administrative decision	0.187	>	H06 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	0.004	No significant relationship
7	H7: There is a significant association between Age Group and Privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and fairness issues affect my trust in AI-based educational tools	0.417	>	H07 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	-0.054	No significant relationship
8	H8: There is a significant association between Age Group and Overall, I believe AI will positively transform	0.340	>	H08 Accepted (Null hypothesis accepted)	0.080	No significant relationship

	the future of education despite its challenges					

**Source: Authorâ€™s compilation*

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This study investigated the association between age group and various perceptions about the usage of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education. The findings revealed that there was no significant relationship between age and any of the variables tested, with all p-values greater than 0.05. This suggests that participants of all agesâ€"students, instructors, and academic professionalsâ€"held similar views on AI integration in teaching and learning processes.

The data show that age has little influence on the frequency with which people use AI tools. While younger participants were expected to utilise AI more frequently due to their greater exposure to technology, the results demonstrate that older responders are just as engaged.

This could be linked to the rising institutional adoption of AI technologies and digital learning platforms, which have made AI more accessible and relevant to all academic professions.

Similarly, all age groups had similar views on AI's ability to improve the overall teaching and learning process. Respondents generally believed that AI increases efficiency, promotes adaptable learning, and improves the educational experience. Similarly, attitudes towards personalised learning systems and AI tools that make learning more engaging and effective did not differ much by age. This implies that enthusiasm for AI's instructional value is widespread, regardless of generational disparities.

The study also found no significant differences in how different age groups perceived AI-powered automation and its function in allowing teachers to focus on quality instruction. Respondents from all categories agreed that artificial intelligence can eliminate monotonous administrative work, allowing educators to devote more time to creativity and student involvement. Furthermore, assessments of AI's role in academic and administrative decision-making were consistent, demonstrating a widespread recognition of AI's strategic value in educational management.

Interestingly, worries about privacy, algorithmic bias, and fairness were stated uniformly across all age groups. This demonstrates a shared knowledge of AI's ethical challenges, as well as a mature comprehension of both its limitations and advantages.

Respondents were also generally optimistic about AI's ability to improve the future of education, indicating a shared conviction in the positive influence of technological progress.

Finally, the findings show that age has no substantial influence on attitudes or acceptability of artificial intelligence in education. Instead, institutional exposure, digital infrastructure, and user experience all have an impact on awareness and acceptance. The uniformity of replies across age groups demonstrates an increasing technological maturity in the education sector,

demonstrating that AI adoption is evolving into an inclusive and collaborative process that benefits all stakeholders.

Theoretical Implications

The findings of this study add to our theoretical understanding of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education, particularly in terms of demographic implications on technology adoption. The lack of a substantial association between age and perceptions of AI demonstrates that acceptance and awareness of technology are no longer limited to younger generations. This observation has important theoretical consequences for models of technology adoption, educational psychology, and digital learning.

To begin, the findings are consistent with the principles of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), both of which place a premium on perceived usefulness and simplicity of use as main drivers of technology adoption. The consistency of responses across age groups implies that when AI solutions are user-friendly, accessible, and institutionally supported, the variable of age loses significance. This implies a shift in technology adoption paradigm, from demographic dependency to acceptance based on experience and exposure.

Second, the study adds to theories of digital inclusion and fairness by showing that technology adaptability is becoming more widespread in educational institutions. The lack of substantial disparities between younger and older participants demonstrates a shrinking digital divide, implying that effective access, training, and institutional direction can bridge generational divides in AI use. This supports theoretical viewpoints that prioritise environmental and contextual factorsâ€”for example, institutional support and training opportunitiesâ€”over static demographic features.

Third, the study validates the constructivist learning theory's claim that AI improves personalised and learner-centred teaching. Respondents of all ages recognised the importance of AI in enhancing engagement and performance, supporting the constructivist view that technology may allow active knowledge production via adaptive learning settings.

Furthermore, the results can be understood using Everett Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations Theory. Consistent opinions across age groups indicate that AI in education has progressed past early adoption and is now widely accepted. This dissemination is most likely caused by increased institutional integration, regulatory support, and widespread exposure to digital platforms.

Finally, the results have consequences for beliefs about trust, ethics, and responsible AI use. The universal concern for privacy and fairness among all age groups suggests a shared ethical understanding, indicating the establishment of a common digital culture in schooling.

Overall, the study contributes to existing theoretical frameworks by demonstrating that institutional context and perceived value now have a greater influence on AI adoption than demographic differences, highlighting the evolution of a more inclusive, equitable, and technologically adaptive educational environment.

Practical Implications

The study's findings give important practical insights for educators, governments, and organisations looking to effectively integrate Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education. Since there was no significant relationship between age and views towards AI technologies, it is clear that AI adoption is ubiquitous across all age groups. This conclusion has significant implications for developing inclusive and effective instructional programs that cater to various users without favouring any particular population.

For starters, schools may confidently employ AI-powered technologies such as adaptive learning platforms, automated grading tools, and data-driven administrative decision-making without fear of elder educators or students resisting change. The emphasis should instead be on offering sufficient training and technical support so that individuals from all backgrounds can use AI tools to their fullest capacity. Workshops, webinars, and interactive courses can be quite effective in increasing user confidence and familiarity.

Second, authorities should make AI literacy programs a part of the educational curriculum at all levels. Because the study found a broad receptivity to AI, structured training can improve awareness of ethical AI usage, privacy protection, and data security. These programs can train instructors and students to critically evaluate AI systems, supporting responsible and informed use.

Third, school administrators can use AI to streamline academic and administrative procedures. From personalised learning analytics to predictive models for student performance, AI can assist institutions in identifying academic trends and making educated decisions. The study's finding that respondents have a good attitude towards AI provides fertile ground for growing these systems in schools and colleges.

Furthermore, the consistent sentiments across age groups suggest that collaborative learning environmentsâ€”in which instructors, students, and administrators all employ AI toolsâ€”can be effectively produced. This fosters a culture of collaborative learning and innovation, so bridging the digital divide and strengthening institutional cohesiveness.

Furthermore, the awareness of ethical and privacy problems necessitates the development of robust ethical frameworks and data governance standards. To retain trust among all stakeholders, educational institutions must ensure that AI algorithms are transparent and user data is protected.

In essence, the study emphasises the possibility of increasing AI integration in education without regard for demographics. By focussing on training, ethical regulation, and collaborative learning, educational institutions may ensure that AI is used as a tool for empowerment, diversity, and long-term academic advancement across generations.

CONCLUSIONS

This study's findings shed light on how different age groups perceive and embrace Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education. The findings found that there is no significant relationship between age and the frequency with which AI tools are used, the perceived benefits of AI in teaching and learning, or ethical, privacy, and bias concerns. This suggests that people of varying agesâ€"students, instructors, and administrative professionalsâ€"have comparable perspectives on the role and influence of AI in educational settings.

The consistency of replies across age groups shows increased technological inclusion in education. It implies that acceptance and comprehension of AI are not limited to young, tech-savvy students, but are also shared by seasoned educators and administrators. This trend demonstrates widespread awareness and openness to digital transformation in academia, indicating a willingness to implement AI-based solutions to improve learning outcomes, efficiency, and engagement.

Furthermore, the findings suggest that exposure, training, and institutional support are more likely to influence perceptions than demographic factors such as age. Educational institutions that provide ongoing training and eventually incorporate AI into learning settings can close any lingering gaps in technology adaptability.

Another major conclusion is that participants had a balanced perspective of both the benefits and ethical implications of AI. While they recognise AI's ability to personalise learning, automate chores, and help with decision-making, they are also concerned about privacy, data security, and fairness. This awareness reflects a mature and informed attitude among responders, which is essential for responsible AI deployment.

Overall, the study suggests that age does not influence one's willingness or resistance to AI in education. Instead, shared experiences and exposure to technology foster a common understanding of its capabilities and limitations. The findings demonstrate that the academic community, regardless of age, is ready to accept AI as a transformative force in education.

In conclusion, the study adds to the growing body of literature emphasising that the success of AI integration is determined by institutional preparation, ethical governance, and continual learning rather than age disparities. This understanding lays the groundwork for designing inclusive and adaptive AI methods that benefit all stakeholders in the educational ecosystem.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The outcomes of this study lay the groundwork for understanding the relationship between age group and perspectives of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education. While the study found no significant relationship between age and respondents' beliefs, it does offer up various possibilities for future research and practical applications. The changing nature of AI technologies and their rising importance in educational settings necessitate ongoing research to assure their effective, equitable, and ethical use.

First, future studies should examine broadening the coverage of demographic variables beyond age. Factors such as educational background, teaching experience, discipline, and technological proficiency may help us understand what drives opinions of AI adoption in education.A comparative study of urban and rural institutions, or public and private universities, could potentially shed light on how access to digital infrastructure influences AI utilisation and acceptance.

Second, future study might use longitudinal research designs to investigate changes in views over time as AI technologies evolve and become more firmly ingrained in educational institutions. Tracking the same participants across numerous academic years could help assess whether familiarity, exposure, and institutional policies increase confidence and reliance on AI tools. Another important issue to investigate is discipline-specific AI adoption. AI's function in STEM education may differ greatly from its application in humanities, business, and social sciences.Understanding these distinctions would allow educators and policymakers to create tailored AI integration methods for each field's pedagogical demands.

Future research should examine the effects of AI on learning outcomes and teaching efficacy. While this study investigated perceptions, it did not assess the actual performance or productivity gains associated with AI deployment. Experimental or quasi-experimental studies examining the efficacy of AI-based learning platforms in boosting academic achievement, engagement, or creativity would supplement empirical evidence and assist practical adoption.

Furthermore, the ethical, social, and psychological aspects of AI adoption require further investigation. As privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and data protection become major issues, future research should look into how these aspects influence user trust and willingness to interact with AI systems. Qualitative approaches, such as interviews or focus groups, may provide better insights into educators' and students' lived experiences with artificial intelligence tools.

Furthermore, academics should investigate institutional readiness and policy implications for AI in education. Studies could look into how leadership attitudes, digital infrastructure, funding availability, and training programs all influence the effective implementation of AI-powered educational systems. International comparative research may also reveal optimal practices from many countries that can be tailored to local conditions.

Finally, future studies should focus on AI literacy and teacher training. Creating frameworks for incorporating AI education into teacher preparation programs will be critical for providing educators with the skills needed to properly use and manage AI systems.

In conclusion, while this study provides a foundational understanding of age-related perceptions, future research must use a broader, interdisciplinary, and longitudinal approach to truly grasp AI's transformative potential in transforming contemporary education.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so further research is needed on the topic of Artificial Intelligence in Education to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and writers.

REFERENCES

Ajudiya, M., Patel, M., & Vidani, J. (2023). Beyond fashion: Unveiling the tapestry of consumer satisfaction with Pantaloon's female apparel in Ahmedabad. Journal of Advanced Research in Public Policy and Administration, 5(2). https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/Journal-PublicPolicy-Administrat/article/view/1787

Alkhizar, M., & Vidani, J. (2024). Exploring the factors influencing consumer buying behavior of LG products: A comprehensive study. Journal of Advanced Research in Economics and Business Management, 6(2). https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/Journal-Economics-BusinessMgt/article/view/1825

Bansal, A., Pophalkar, S., & Vidani, C. (2023). A Review of Ed-Tech Sector in India. International Journal of Management Analytics (IJMA), 1(1), 63-84.

Bariya, M., Vidani, J. (2023). Analyzing Market Share: A Comparative Study of LG and Samsung Electronics in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations. Retrieved from https://ijmci.in/index.php/ijci/article/view/146

Bhatt, T., Vadher, P., & Vidani, J. (2023). A Study On Usage Of Variyas Online Payment Apps By The People Living In Ahmedabad City. International Journal of Business and Management Practices (IJBMP), 1(1), Article 39. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijbmp.v1i1.1314

Bhatt, V., Patel, S., & Vidani, J.(2017, February). START-UP INDIA: A ROUGH DIAMOND TO BE POLISHED. National Conference on Startup India: Boosting Entrepreneurship (pp. 61-67). Pune: D.Y. Patil University Press.

Biharani, S., & Vidani, J. N. (2018). ENTREPRENEURSHIP: CAREER OPPORTUNITY HAS NO GENDER DISCRIMINATION. Compendium of Research Papers of National Conference 2018 on Leadership, Governance and Strategic Management: Key to Success (pp. 101-104). Pune: D. Y Patil University Press.

Chalplot, D., Jagetiya, S., Vidani, J. (April 2024). Golden Arches of Success: Enhancing Customer Relationship Management at McDonald's, Wide Angle, Ahmedabad. International Journal of Educational and Life Sciences, 2(4). Retrieved from https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijels/article/view/1731

Chaudhary, N., Patel, V., & Vidani, C. J. (2023). A Review of Non-Technical Training Programmes Conducted by Corporate Trainers for IT Companies. International Journal of Management Analytics (IJMA), 1(1), 85-110.

Chourasiya, A., Zala, K., & Vidani, J. (2023). Unveiling discrepancies: Investigating disparities between anticipated and realized customer satisfaction with LG products in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Law, Human Rights and Constitutional Studies, 5(2). http://thejournalshouse.com/index.php/IntlJ-Law-Humanrights-Consstudy/article/view/922

Devani, P., Gandhi, R., & Vidani, J. (2024). Survey on differences in opinion on healthy and junk food between Gen. Y and Gen. Z. International Journal of Natural and Health Sciences, 2(1), Article 54. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijnhs.v2i1.1179

Dhere, S., Vidani, J.& Solanki, H. V. (2016). A SURVEY ON THE TOWARDS SATISFATION LEVEL OF THE CUSTOMER SHOPPING MALL'S: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY. International Multidisciplinary Journal Think Different, 3(24), 45-50.

Dinodiya, B. K., & Vidani, J. (2023). Exploring consumer perceptions: A comparative analysis of Pantaloons and Zudio in Ahmedabad's retail landscape. Journal of Advanced Research in Operational and Marketing Management, 6(2). https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/Journal-OperationalMarketing-Mgt/article/view/1783

Dodiya, G., Dudhat, D., & Vidani, J. (2024). To study the impact of online fraud and scams on online purchasing behavior of consumers in Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Applied Economics, Accounting and Management (IJAEAM), 2(2), Article 41. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijaeam.v2i2.1240

Doshi, D., Gajera, M., & Vidani, J. (2023). To study the consumer perception towards wedding planners among residents of Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Business and Management Practices (IJBMP), 2(1). https://doi.org/10.59890/ijbmp.v2i1.1214

Ghoghabori, M. F., Maheshwari, H., & Vidani, J. (2023). Performance evaluation and consumer preference analysis of LG and Aquaguard water purifiers in Ahmedabad City. Journal of Advanced Research in Entrepreneurship, Innovation & SMES Management, 6(2). https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/Journal-Entrepreneurship-SMEMgt/article/view/1792

Gohel, B., Yadav, V., & Vidani, J. (2023). A Study on Customer Satisfaction for Cakes of Monginis & TGB in Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Business and Management Practices (IJBMP), 1(1), Article 86. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijbmp.v1i1.1424

Gohel, B., Yadav, V., Vidani, J. (2023). Enhancing Dealer Satisfaction: Assessing Durability and Business Support of LG Products in Ahmedabad. Journal of Advanced Research in Service Management. Retrieved from https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/Journal-ServiceManagement/article/view/1789

Gosiya, P., Parekh, D., Vidani, J. (2024). Personalizing Customer Connections: Optimizing CRM Strategies in Levi's Retail Outlet in Ahmedabad city of Gujarat. International Journal of Integrated Science and Technology, 2(4). Retrieved from https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijist/article/view/1739

Gupta, K., Patel, P., & Vidani, J. (2024). A study on consumer attitude towards smoking amongst males and females of Gen Z in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Applied Economics, Accounting and Management (IJAEAM), 2(1), Article 94. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijaeam.v2i1.1306

Hansora, K., Khokhra, S., & Vidani, J. (2023). Flowing choices: An in-depth comparison of LG and Kent RO water purifiers amongst consumers in Ahmedabad City. Journal of Advanced Research in Business Law and Technology Management, 6(2). https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/business-law-tech-management/article/view/1820

Jha, V., Bhatt, H., & Vidani, J. (2023). Designing success: Analyzing the influence of Pantaloons retail store layout on consumer purchase intentions in Ahmedabad City. Journal of Advanced Research in Accounting and Finance Management, 5(2). https://adrjournalshouse.com/index.php/Journal-Accounting-FinanceMgt/article/view/1815

Joshi, A., Saxena, S., & Vidani, J. (2023). Decoding the Digital Banking Horizon: Exploring the Dynamics with Bank of Baroda as the Focal Point. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 1(1), Article 88. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v1i1.1498

Joshi, A., Saxena, S., & Vidani, J. (2024). Decoding the Digital Transformation: A Comprehensive Study of Digital Banking in India with Emphasis on Punjab National Bank. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1), Article 92. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1523

Joshi, A., Saxena, S., & Vidani, J. (2024). Digital Banking Revolution: Unveiling the Transformative Landscape with a Spotlight on State Bank of India. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1), Article 93. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1522

Joshi, A., Saxena, S., & Vidani, J. (2024). Revolutionizing Finance: Unveiling the Digital Banking Terrain in India with a Focus on ICICI Bank. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1), Article 91. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1525

Joshi, K., Memon, A., & Vidani, J. (2024). Digital Marketing: A boon for the current business era. International Journal of Business and Management Practices (IJBMP), 2(1), Article 61. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijbmp.v2i1.1229

Kaneria, G., Shah, D., Gautam, J., Vidani, J. (2024). Enhancing Customer Relationship Management in Retail: A Case Study of Reliance Trends. International Journal of Educational and Life Sciences, 2(4). Retrieved from https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijels/article/view/1730

Ladhava, V. H., Patadia, A. R., & Vidani, J. (2024). A comparative analysis of user experience and satisfaction on Zomato and Swiggy among residents of Gujarat state. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1). https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1239

Lodhiya, H., Jangid, Y., & Vidani, J. (2023). A study of loyalty programs on customer engagement for Bluestone Jewellery and Lifestyle Pvt Ltd. with special reference to the Shivranjani store in Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Business and Management Practices (IJBMP), 1(1), Article 85. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijbmp.v1i1.1443

Mala, Vidani, J. & Solanki, H. V. (2016). GREEN MARKETING-A NEw WAY OF MARKETING: A REVIEW APPROACH. International Multidisciplinary Journal Think Different, 3(24), 40-44.

Mer, K., Gothadiya, K., & Vidani, J. (2024). To study on comparative analysis of Amazon and Flipkart in reliability and delivery time in Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Business and Management Practices (IJBMP), 2(1), Article 37. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijbmp.v2i1.1216

Modi, R., Harkani, N., Radadiya, G., & Vidani, J. (2016). Startup India: Even Diamonds start as Coal. NTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY FIELD, 2(8), 111-116.

Niyati, B., & Vidani, J. (2016). Next Generation Children: Smarter or Faster. NTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY FIELD, 2(7), 110-114.

Odedra, K., Rabadiya, B., & Vidani, J. (2018). AN ANALYSIS OF IDENTIFYING THE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY IN AGRO and CHEMICAL SECTOR - WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO AFRICAN COUNTRY UGANDA. Compendium of Research Papers of National Conference 2018 on Leadership, Governance and Strategic Management: Key to Success (pp. 96-100). Pune: D.Y Patil University Press.

Pandya, J., Skhereliya, S., & Vidani, J. (2024). To study of students' preferences towards overseas studies in Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1), Article 52. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1237

Patel, V., Chaudhary, N., & Vidani, C. J. (2023). A Study on Awareness of Various Non-Technical Training Programmes Conducted by Corporate Trainers for IT Companies in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Management Analytics (IJMA), 1(1), 111-132.

Pathak, K. N., & Vidani, J.(2016). A SURVEY ON THE AWARENESS SATISFACTION AS WELL ASTO KNOW THE LEVELoF OF THE ONLINE SHOPPING AMONG THE PEOPLE OF AHMADABAD CITY. Governance in E-commerce: Contemporary Issues & Challenges (pp. 261-275). Ahmedabad: GTU.

Pradhan, U., Tshogay, C., & Vidani, J.(2016, July). Short Messages: Its Effect on Teenager's Literacy and Communication. NTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY FIELD, 2(7), 115-120.

Prajapati, S., Vidani, J. (2023). Analyzing Corporate Social Responsibility: A Comparative Study of LG and Samsung Electronics in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations. Retrieved from https://ijmci.in/index.php/ijci/article/view/153

Rakholiya, M., Ramani, R., & Vidani, J. (2024). Comparative Study of Online vs. Offline Buying Behavior of Consumer for Grocery Product in Surat. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1), Article 56. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1238

Rathod, H. S., Meghrajani, D. I., & Vidani, J. (2022). Influencer Marketing: A New Marketing Communication Trend. Shodhsamhita, VIII(12(II)), 155-167.

Rathod, M., Vidani, J. (2023). Analyzing Distribution Strategies: A Comparative Study of LG and Samsung Electronics in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations. Retrieved from https://ijmci.in/index.php/ijci/article/view/142

Ravani, H., Bhalani, D., Vidani, J. (2024). Optimizing Customer Relationships: A Case Study of CRM Practices at Puma Outlet in Ahmedabad city of Gujarat. International Journal of Integrated Science and Technology, 2(4). Retrieved from https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijist/article/view/1738

Sachaniya, C., Vora, H., & Vidani, J. (2019). A Study on Identifying the Gap between Expected service and Actual Service with Special Reference to Suk Sagar Gir Resort, Sasan. In P. Rijwani, S. Shome, & D. Danak (Ed.), BUSINESS, ECONOMY AND ENVIRONMENT: CORPORATE PERSPECTIVES (pp. 162-169). Ahmedabad: Himalaya Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.

Saxena, M., & Vidani, J. (2023). MBA Chai Wala. In M. R. Dixit, S. Bist, & S. Shah, Searching Alternativies (pp. 22-32). Ahmedabad: Routledge - imprint of Taylor & Francis group.

Saxena, S., Joshi, A., & Vidani, J. (2023). Revolutionizing Finance: Navigating the AXIS of Digital Banking in India. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 1(1), Article 89. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v1i1.1499

Saxena, S., Joshi, A., & Vidani, J. (2024). Digital Banking Pioneers: A Comprehensive Exploration of India's Financial Frontier with HDFC Bank. International Journal of Social Science and Innovation (IJSSI), 2(1), Article 90. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijssi.v2i1.1524

Shaikh, A., Saiyed, R., & Vidani, J. (2024). Survey on Consumer Preference: Google Pay V/S Paytm in Ahmedabad city. International Journal of Sustainable Social Science (IJSSS), 2(1), Article 55. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijsss.v2i1.1375

Sharma, S., & Vidani, C. J. (2023). To Study the Consumer Attitude Towards Purchase Intention of Online Courses on Udemy Using Co-Relation with Reference to English Speaking and Excel Among Gen-Z in Ahmedabad. International Journal of Management Analytics (IJMA), 1(1), 193-212.