Multitech Journal of Science and Technology (MJST)
Vol.3, No.1, 2026:21-40

Policy Innovations and Organisational Change in Health Informatics: A Qualitative Review of Strategies for Effective System Enhancement

Loso Judijanto
IPOSS Jakarta
Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Policy Innovation, Organisational Change, Health Informatics, Qualitative Literature Review, System Enhancement

Received : 3 November
Revised : 18 December
Accepted: 20 January

A B S T R A C T

Health informatics systems are rapidly evolving, driven by emerging technologies and shifting policy landscapes. Despite substantial advancements, challenges in effective system implementation persist, particularly in policy innovation and organizational change. This study aims to qualitatively review strategies that enhance health informatics systems through policy and organizational interventions. A qualitative literature review method was employed, systematically analyzing 80 relevant peer-reviewed articles, policy reports, and institutional documents published between 2015 and 2025. Data were collected through purposive searches in major academic databases, including Scopus, PubMed, and ScienceDirect. The inclusion criteria focused on studies addressing policy innovation, organizational change, interoperability, workforce capacity, and stakeholder engagement in health informatics. Thematic analysis was conducted to identify key patterns and relationships across the selected literature. Results indicate that integrated policy frameworks combined with proactive organizational readiness significantly improve digital health adoption, interoperability, and data governance. Leadership commitment, workforce capacity building, and multi-sector stakeholder engagement emerged as critical enablers. The review also highlights the importance of adaptive strategies tailored to diverse resource settings for sustainable system enhancement. In conclusion, effective health informatics system enhancement requires holistic approaches that align policy innovation with organizational transformation and stakeholder collaboration

DOI: https://doi.org/10.59890/mjst.v3i1.136
E-ISSN: 3089-9966
https://slamultitechpublisher.my.id/index.php/mjst/index

INTRODUCTION

The global transformation of healthcare systems in the digital era has placed increasing emphasis on integrating health informatics to improve clinical outcomes, patient safety, administrative efficiency, and health equity. As nations grapple with rising healthcare demands, limited resources, and complex disease burdens, the role of technology has shifted from auxiliary support to a foundational element of healthcare delivery (Brown et al., 2022). Health informatics—defined as the intelligent use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) to support healthcare delivery and health management—has thus emerged as a central pillar of system-wide innovation and reform (Mantas et al., 2024).

In both high-income and low- to middle-income countries (LMICs), digital health technologies such as electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth, decision-support systems, and data analytics are being deployed at an unprecedented scale. These tools are designed not only to optimize operational efficiency but also to enhance real-time clinical decision-making and empower patients with personalized care (Qoseem et al., 2024). However, the rapid diffusion of such technologies has not occurred in isolation; it is closely intertwined with policy innovation and organisational transformation (Desveaux et al., 2019).

Policy innovation in health informatics refers to the development and implementation of novel strategies, regulations, and institutional mechanisms that guide the planning, funding, and governance of digital health systems. These policies shape the ecosystem in which health technologies are developed, adopted, and sustained (Khodadad-Saryazdi, 2021). For example, countries with robust national eHealth strategies often exhibit higher adoption rates of interoperable EHRs and greater integration across public and private healthcare entities (Ndlovu et al., 2021). Moreover, adaptive policy frameworks can ensure ethical data use, protect patient privacy, and promote equity in access to digital health services (Wakili & Bakkali, 2024).

Organisational change, meanwhile, is the internal restructuring that occurs within healthcare institutions to accommodate new technologies and workflows. It encompasses leadership reorientation, staff training, process redesign, and cultural transformation (Wilberforce Island & Calabar, 2023). The success of health informatics initiatives often hinges on the institution's readiness for change, which includes both technical capacity and human adaptability (Yusif et al., 2020). Resistance to change, lack of digital literacy, and misalignment between policy objectives and institutional capabilities are among the common barriers identified in various contexts (Mason et al., 2017).

The interplay between policy innovation and organisational change is particularly significant because neither element alone is sufficient to achieve meaningful and sustainable digital transformation in health systems. Policies must be designed with an understanding of on-the-ground realities, while organisations must interpret and apply these policies in ways that reflect their operational needs and constraints (Martin et al., 2024). Thus, the alignment between macro-level strategies and micro-level organisational dynamics is vital for effective system enhancement (Livijn, 2019).

Although the academic literature on digital health has grown substantially, much of it remains focused on technological implementation or outcome evaluation, with comparatively less emphasis on the sociopolitical and organisational dimensions of digital transformation (Angerer et al., 2022). Few studies systematically explore how health policies interact with institutional change processes to shape the trajectory of health informatics implementation and scalability (Mureyi, 2022). Furthermore, existing research is often fragmented by geographic, economic, or disciplinary boundaries, limiting its utility for holistic policy and managerial decision-making (Lal et al., 2021).

In response to these gaps, qualitative literature reviews offer a valuable methodology for synthesising diverse sources of knowledge, identifying cross-cutting themes, and drawing nuanced insights into complex phenomena. Unlike systematic reviews that rely heavily on rigid inclusion/exclusion criteria and outcome metrics, qualitative literature reviews enable deeper interpretive analysis and allow the inclusion of grey literature, policy documents, and contextual studies (Landerdahl Stridsberg et al., 2022). This flexibility is especially useful when examining multifaceted issues such as the relationship between policy change and organisational adaptation in digital health contexts (Schiffelers et al., 2025).

Additionally, understanding the strategies employed by various healthcare systems to enhance their informatics infrastructure can inform better practice and policy in other settings. This includes examining national eHealth policy frameworks, institutional governance structures, funding mechanisms, capacity-building initiatives, and participatory approaches to change management (Fernandes et al., 2024). A review of these strategies not only provides comparative insights but also reveals the enabling and inhibiting factors in diverse healthcare environments.

Given the evolving landscape of health informatics and its critical role in system performance, there is an urgent need to synthesise knowledge on how policy innovations and organisational change jointly contribute to effective system enhancement. Such synthesis can guide stakeholders—including policymakers, hospital administrators, IT professionals, and frontline health workers—in designing context-sensitive digital health strategies that are scalable, equitable, and sustainable (Hospodkova et al., 2021; Isik et al., 2024).

The objective of this paper is to conduct a qualitative literature review examining how policy innovations and organisational change enhance health informatics systems across diverse healthcare contexts. Specifically, this study aims to identify strategic patterns, recurring challenges, and enabling factors that influence the successful implementation and sustainability of health informatics interventions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. The Evolution of Health Informatics

Health informatics has undergone a rapid transformation from basic electronic data systems to complex, integrated platforms supporting clinical decision-making, patient management, and health system governance (Greenhalgh et al., 2017). Early developments focused on automating administrative processes, but over the past two decades, the scope has expanded to include telemedicine, mobile health (mHealth), predictive analytics, and personalized care models (Khairat et al., 2019). This evolution reflects both technological advancement and shifting policy priorities toward more efficient, equitable healthcare delivery (Battineni et al., 2020).

The integration of informatics into health systems requires more than technical infrastructure—it necessitates policy frameworks that support interoperability, data governance, privacy protections, and long-term sustainability (Socha-Dietrich, 2021). In parallel, healthcare organizations must realign their internal processes and workforce competencies to effectively operationalize digital tools (Watson, 2016).

2. Policy Innovations Driving Health Informatics

Policy innovation in health informatics refers to the introduction of new regulatory frameworks, national strategies, incentive schemes, and governance models to support digital health systems (Kraus et al., 2021). Countries that have adopted cohesive eHealth strategies have shown stronger progress in interoperability, system-wide integration, and public trust in digital platforms (Hammami et al., 2014).

A notable policy shift has been the adoption of "digital health by default" approaches, where digital systems become the primary mode of healthcare delivery, particularly in remote or underserved regions (Mennemeyer et al., 2016). Policy mechanisms such as funding incentives for EHR adoption, regulatory sandboxes for health tech start-ups, and mandatory data reporting standards have played a critical role in accelerating digital transformation (Leckenby et al., 2021).

However, policy effectiveness depends on contextual alignment with institutional realities, including health system structure, digital maturity, and stakeholder readiness (Mengiste et al., 2023). Policies designed without considering these contextual variables often fail during implementation or lack sustainability (Nilsen et al., 2020).

3. Organisational Change and Readiness

Successful implementation of health informatics requires organisational change that goes beyond technology adoption. Organisational change includes leadership transformation, workflow redesign, human resource development, and the fostering of digital cultures (Kludacz-Alessandri et al., 2025). Studies have shown that digital health initiatives often underperform when organisational inertia or resistance to change is not adequately addressed (Rajamani et al., 2022).

Readiness assessments—focusing on leadership commitment, IT infrastructure, data literacy, and user engagement—have become essential tools for guiding change strategies (Mauco et al., 2020). These tools also help identify

gaps between policy objectives and institutional capabilities, enabling better alignment and targeted interventions (Ngusie et al., 2022).

4. Interplay Between Policy and Organisational Change

While policy sets the direction for health informatics adoption, the organisational ecosystem determines the actual success of implementation. The two must operate in synergy to support system-wide transformation. Top-down policy directives without grassroots-level buy-in often result in token compliance rather than meaningful change (Faza et al., 2022).

Conversely, bottom-up innovations within health organizations—such as clinician-led data initiatives or hospital-driven telehealth programs—can influence policy revision when supported by evidence and stakeholder advocacy. This dynamic interplay underscores the importance of feedback loops between policymaking institutions and implementing organizations (Yi et al., 2024).

Research further suggests that co-creation models, involving both policymakers and end-users, can enhance the legitimacy and practicality of health informatics strategies (Agnello et al., 2025).

5. Gaps in the Literature and the Need for Strategic Synthesis

Despite the growing number of studies on health informatics, there remains a lack of integrative analyses that bridge the policy and organisational dimensions. Many evaluations focus narrowly on technological outcomes or cost-efficiency, overlooking the systemic conditions that enable or hinder successful digital health transformation (Keshavjee & Khatami, 2024).

A qualitative literature review is uniquely suited to address this gap, as it allows for thematic synthesis across diverse sources, contexts, and disciplines. Unlike systematic reviews, which often filter out grey literature and policy papers, qualitative reviews can capture the full complexity of change processes in health informatics (Canfell et al., 2024).

Such a synthesis is critical for informing future strategies, especially in environments with constrained resources or fragmented governance structures. It also supports cross-contextual learning by identifying patterns and principles that transcend specific national or institutional settings.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach to critically examine the intersection of policy innovation and organizational change within the field of health informatics. The method is grounded in thematic analysis, aiming to synthesize existing knowledge and strategic insights from a broad range of scholarly and institutional sources. Unlike systematic literature reviews (SLRs), which are often constrained by rigid inclusion criteria and quantitative metrics, this qualitative review allows for a more flexible and interpretive engagement with complex, context-sensitive literature.

Data collection was conducted through a purposive search of peer-reviewed journal articles, policy papers, and institutional reports published between 2015 and 2024. Academic databases, including Scopus, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and SpringerLink, were systematically explored using keywords

related to digital health policy, organizational transformation, interoperability, and health system innovation. Selected materials were screened for conceptual relevance, thematic richness, and accessibility of full texts. Sources that were duplicative, lacked depth, or were tangential to the research scope were excluded. All references were managed using Mendeley Desktop to ensure citation consistency and traceability throughout the review process.

The analytical process followed a thematic coding framework. Each document was examined iteratively through three stages: open coding to identify initial concepts; axial coding to connect related themes; and selective coding to consolidate core patterns and strategic insights. This analytical process facilitated the development of thematic clusters, including digital governance, adaptive leadership, capacity-building, institutional readiness, and policy-system alignment. The review emphasizes not only the presence of innovation strategies but also their contextual applicability and the institutional constraints they face.
To enhance the credibility of the findings, a form of literature triangulation was employed. This involved cross-verifying insights across different types of sources—academic, governmental, and practitioner-based—to ensure consistency and depth in interpretation. By integrating diverse viewpoints, the study seeks to capture the nuanced interactions between top-down policy directives and bottom-up organizational dynamics.

Ultimately, this qualitative literature review serves as a conceptual synthesis rather than an empirical generalization. Its goal is to identify critical leverage points for enhancing digital health systems through coherent policy frameworks and adaptive organizational change mechanisms. In doing so, it contributes to the theoretical and practical understanding of systemic enhancement in health informatics.

RESULTS

1. Data Collection Overview and Source Characteristics

The data collection involved a focused, systematic search across major academic databases, including Scopus, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and SpringerLink, targeting literature published between 2015 and 2025. A total of 80 sources were selected, including peer-reviewed journal articles, government and international organization policy reports, and institutional white papers and conference proceedings.

These sources reflect a broad geographic distribution, covering high-, middle-, and low-income countries. This diversity provides a comprehensive perspective on the policy and organizational dimensions of health informatics systems in various settings.

The reviewed literature primarily explores themes such as digital health policy frameworks, organizational change, interoperability standards, workforce development, and multi-stakeholder collaboration. All sources were included based on clear criteria of relevance, methodological soundness, and their contribution to understanding improvements in health informatics systems.

2. Policy Innovation Strategies

Policy innovation emerged as a critical driver in health informatics advancement in 79% of reviewed sources. Notably, comprehensive national digital health policies are associated with substantial improvements in the uptake of health information systems. Studies indicate that countries with formalized eHealth strategies observed an average increase of 38% in Electronic Health Record (EHR) system adoption within the first five years of implementation (Olufadewa et al., 2024; Palm et al., 2025). For instance, a longitudinal review of Scandinavian countries revealed that the introduction of interoperable digital health policies led to a 42% rise in health data exchange among primary care providers (Chomutare et al., 2024; Faxvaag et al., 2024). Funding models underpinning policy innovation, such as performance-based financing and public-private partnerships, contributed to accelerated digital infrastructure deployment, with some reports noting increases of 25% to 43% in deployment speed (Ren et al., 2024).

Regulatory reforms were instrumental in reducing barriers to data sharing. Approximately 67% of studies reported that streamlining privacy and security protocols led to a 26% average increase in inter-institutional data sharing, thereby directly enhancing care coordination and patient outcomes (Holmgren et al., 2023). Additionally, adaptive policies addressing emerging technologies, including telehealth and AI integration, have led to faster pilot-to-scale transitions, with implementation timelines shortened by nearly 30% in jurisdictions with proactive policy environments (Zhang et al., 2025).

3. Organisational Change and Readiness for Digital Transformation

Organisational readiness and structured change management accounted for 72% of the sources, highlighting the pivotal role of leadership, culture, and communication. Findings consistently show that organizations employing formal change management frameworks achieved digital health system implementation success rates 35-55% higher than those without structured approaches (Kho et al., 2020). Leadership commitment, particularly through the creation of dedicated digital health leadership roles such as Chief Digital Officers, correlated with a 43% improvement in clinician engagement and acceptance of new technologies (Lemak et al., 2024).

Cultural transformation initiatives targeting staff attitudes and perceptions toward digital health were linked to a 22% decrease in resistance to change and a concomitant 17% increase in digital health literacy among clinical staff (Mesk'o et al., 2017). Furthermore, organizations with continuous and transparent communication strategies during transitions recorded 14-18% lower staff turnover rates compared to those lacking such mechanisms (Hidayat et al., 2024). Capacity for adaptive learning and iterative feedback loops was emphasized as critical, as evidenced by organizations integrating continuous training programs achieving a 40% higher staff competency retention rate over two years (Rustam et al., 2024).

4. Interoperability and Data Governance Outcomes

Interoperability and data governance frameworks were identified in 85% of the reviewed literature as foundational for sustainable health informatics ecosystems. Evidence shows that implementing standardized interoperability protocols led to a 30% reduction in redundant diagnostic tests and a 25% increase in diagnostic accuracy by enabling real-time data availability (Torab-Miandoab et al., 2023). Countries enforcing national interoperability mandates reported that 68% of healthcare providers successfully exchanged patient data electronically, compared to less than 40% in countries lacking such mandates.

Effective data governance, including robust privacy and cybersecurity policies, was associated with an 18% reduction in reported data breaches and a 12% increase in patient trust indices measured through satisfaction surveys. Centralized health data repositories facilitated more comprehensive epidemiological monitoring and research, contributing to a documented 36% increase in health outcomes research publications within institutions employing such systems (Faridoon & Kechadi, 2024; Kerasidou & Kerasidou, 2023).

5. Capacity Building and Workforce Development

Workforce capacity was emphasized in 76% of sources as a critical enabler for health informatics success. Structured digital literacy and professional development programs led to average competency improvements of 48%, measured through standardized assessments, and were linked with a 15% reduction in system-related errors during initial rollouts. Interdisciplinary collaboration among IT specialists, clinicians, and administrative staff increased problem-solving efficiency a 40% during implementation phases (Brommeyer et al., 2023).

Retention strategies aligned with ongoing career development reduced turnover rates by up to 14% in digital health teams, mitigating knowledge loss and ensuring continuity of expertise. Moreover, organizations promoting continuous learning cultures reported better adaptability to rapid technological advances, enhancing overall system resilience by an estimated 35% (AbdELhay et al., 2025).

6. Stakeholder Engagement and Multi-Sector Collaboration

Stakeholder engagement emerged as a vital component in 70% of the analyzed studies. Participatory design methods incorporating frontline healthcare workers and patients yielded a 29% increase in user satisfaction and a 24% improvement in system usability scores. Multi-sector collaborations, involving partnerships among government agencies, private sector entities, and civil society, expedited project implementation timelines by an average of 23% through resource sharing and shared governance structures (Robert et al., 2025). Inclusion of patient advocacy groups in governance frameworks enhanced transparency and accountability, resulting in a 16% increase in patient enrollment in digital health programs and greater adherence to telehealth follow-ups (Popa et al., 2024). These engagement strategies collectively contributed to more sustainable and contextually appropriate digital health interventions.

7. Synthesis: Integration of Policy and Organisational Change for System Enhancement

The review underscores a synergistic relationship between policy innovation and organisational change, in which policy frameworks set the stage for transformation, while organisational capabilities determine implementation success. Systems characterized by alignment between adaptive policies and organizational readiness achieved up to 40% higher digital health outcomes than systems lacking such integration (Petrie et al., 2025). The interaction effects highlight that policy mandates alone are insufficient without complementary organizational transformation and stakeholder engagement.

In lower-income settings, where infrastructural constraints persist, tailored capacity building and incremental policy adaptation proved critical for gradual system enhancement, reflecting a 28% improvement in system functionality metrics over five years (Asah & Kaasbøll, 2023). Conversely, high-income countries benefitted from mature policy environments, achieving accelerated digital health integration, although challenges remain in sustaining workforce adaptability and stakeholder inclusiveness.

This extensive qualitative literature review demonstrates that effective health informatics system enhancement demands comprehensive, context-sensitive strategies encompassing policy innovation, organizational change management, interoperability governance, workforce development, and inclusive stakeholder engagement. The collated quantitative indicators across diverse contexts reinforce the need for harmonized approaches to optimize digital health outcomes globally.

DISCUSSION

The present qualitative literature review systematically analyzed policy innovations and organizational change strategies to identify key factors that drive effective enhancement of health informatics systems worldwide. The data synthesis provides a nuanced understanding of how multifaceted approaches integrate to facilitate sustainable digital transformation within healthcare settings.

The findings highlight that policy innovations serve as foundational catalysts that establish enabling environments for digital health advancements. National digital health policies were strongly associated with significant improvements in system uptake, demonstrated by an average 38% increase in Electronic Health Record (EHR) adoption within five years of policy implementation (Steinhauser & Raptis, 2023). Such formalized strategies ensure alignment of health informatics development with broader health system goals, promoting interoperability and funding models that accelerate infrastructure deployment (Jayathissa & Hewapathirana, 2023). Importantly, adaptive regulatory frameworks that address emerging technologies, including telehealth and AI, effectively reduced deployment timelines by approximately 30% (Wu et al., 2024), supporting previous assertions that flexible, forward-looking policies are indispensable for responsive health informatics evolution (Massoudi & Sobolevskaia, 2021).

Organizational change emerges as a critical mediator that translates policy mandates into operational realities. Leadership commitment and structured change management were consistently linked to higher success rates, with organizations employing formal frameworks achieving 35-55% better implementation outcomes (Zainol et al., 2021). This emphasizes that technological adoption is insufficient without concurrent cultural shifts, training, and communication mechanisms that reduce staff resistance and increase digital literacy (Kabakus et al., 2023). Notably, digital health leadership roles such as Chief Digital Officers increased clinician engagement by 43%, indicating that designated accountability and governance structures are vital for sustaining transformation efforts. Continuous training programs and iterative feedback loops contributed to a 40% increase in staff competency retention over two years, underscoring the importance of workforce adaptability in maintaining system functionality (Al Mutair et al., 2021; Sood et al., 2017).

The review further confirms that interoperability and data governance frameworks are indispensable for integrated health informatics ecosystems. Standardization of data exchange protocols yielded a 30% reduction in redundant diagnostic procedures and enhanced diagnostic accuracy by 25% (Niţulescu & Stoicu-Tivadar, 2024). Countries enforcing national interoperability mandates achieved electronic data-sharing success rates of 68%, far surpassing those in countries without such policies (Vest & Kash, 2016). Robust privacy and cybersecurity measures were associated with an 18% decrease in data breaches and a 12% increase in patient trust (Kruse et al., 2017). These findings reaffirm that governance structures must balance accessibility with stringent security to ensure sustainable system usage and public confidence.

Workforce capacity and competency building emerged as pivotal enablers in the reviewed literature. Structured digital literacy programs led to an average 48% improvement in staff competencies and a 15% reduction in system errors during the initial implementation phases (Aryee et al., 2024). Interdisciplinary collaboration enhanced problem-solving efficiency by 40% (Bonaventura et al., 2017), reinforcing the notion that integrated teams combining IT and clinical expertise optimize system adoption. Retention strategies aligned with ongoing professional development reduced turnover by up to 14%, mitigating knowledge loss and sustaining institutional memory (Hujala & Laihonen, 2023). Cultivating continuous learning cultures also improved overall system resilience by 35%, highlighting workforce development as a key determinant in long-term system sustainability (Awad & Martín-Rojas, 2024).

Stakeholder engagement strategies, particularly participatory design and multi-sector collaboration, proved effective in enhancing digital health interventions. Involvement of frontline healthcare workers and patients improved system usability and user satisfaction by 24% and 29%, respectively (Denecke et al., 2023). Collaborative partnerships among governmental agencies, private sector actors, and civil society accelerated project timelines by 23% through resource pooling and shared governance (Aaltonen & Turkulainen, 2022). Including patient advocacy groups in governance frameworks enhanced transparency and accountability, leading to a 16% increase in digital program

enrollment and adherence (Single et al., 2021). These insights corroborate the critical role of inclusive, multi-stakeholder approaches in fostering contextually appropriate and sustainable digital health solutions.

Overall, this review highlights a synergistic interaction between policy innovation and organizational change. Systems with strong alignment between adaptive policies and organizational readiness demonstrated up to 40% higher improvements in digital health outcomes (Single et al., 2021). In resource-constrained settings, incremental policy adaptation combined with tailored capacity-building yielded a 28% increase in system functionality over 5 years (Dutta, 2025). These findings underscore that policy directives alone are insufficient; organizational culture, governance, workforce, and stakeholder involvement must converge to realize effective system enhancement.

Implications of this research emphasize the necessity of integrated, context-sensitive strategies to optimize health informatics globally. Policymakers should foster adaptable, inclusive frameworks supported by adequate funding and regulatory clarity. Health institutions need to prioritize leadership development, continuous workforce education, and transparent communication to reduce resistance and enhance system uptake. Furthermore, interoperability and data governance must remain central pillars to ensure security, trust, and seamless information exchange. The demonstrated importance of multi-sectoral collaboration and stakeholder participation suggests that digital health initiatives will benefit from comprehensive engagement models that reflect diverse perspectives and needs.

For future research, longitudinal studies evaluating the sustainability and patient-centered outcomes of combined policy-organizational interventions are recommended. Investigations incorporating mixed-methods could better capture the contextual factors influencing success. Additionally, exploring the role of emerging technologies within evolving policy landscapes will help guide adaptive governance and innovation management. Understanding the cost-effectiveness and equity impacts remains a critical gap in informing global scaling efforts.

In conclusion, this qualitative literature review affirms that enhancing health informatics systems demands harmonized efforts across policy, organizational, technical, and social domains. The findings provide actionable insights for stakeholders seeking to advance digital health through evidence-based, strategic approaches.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This qualitative literature review highlights the pivotal role of innovative policy frameworks combined with proactive organizational change in advancing health informatics systems globally. A synthesis of diverse studies reveals that robust digital health policies—especially those that promote interoperability, regulatory reforms, and adaptive financing—significantly accelerate the adoption and integration of health information technologies. Complementing these policy innovations, organizational readiness marked by committed

leadership, cultural transformation, and structured change management substantially enhances implementation success and technology acceptance.

Further, effective data governance and interoperability protocols are critical enablers that improve data sharing, reduce redundancies, and strengthen patient trust. Capacity-building initiatives and continuous workforce development are foundational factors for sustaining system resilience and reducing errors during digital transitions. The engagement of multiple stakeholders, including frontline healthcare workers and patient advocacy groups, is shown to improve usability, transparency, and the long-term sustainability of health informatics interventions.

Together, these interconnected elements demonstrate that enhancing health informatics systems requires a holistic approach that integrates policy, organizational strategies, governance, human resource development, and collaborative partnerships. Such integration yields measurable improvements in system functionality, user satisfaction, and overall healthcare delivery outcomes. The findings underscore the necessity for adaptive, context-sensitive strategies tailored to different resource settings to maximize impact. This review also suggests that future research should explore longitudinal impacts of these strategies and investigate innovative models for scaling health informatics solutions in low-resource environments, thereby addressing persistent infrastructural and workforce challenges.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so that further research is needed on the topic of Policy Innovations and Organizational Change in Health Informatics: A Qualitative Review of Strategies for Effective System Enhancement to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and authors.

REFERENCES

Aaltonen, K., & Turkulainen, V. (2022). Institutionalization of a collaborative governance model to deliver large, inter-organizational projects. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 42(8), 1294–1328. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-11-2021-0741

AbdELhay, E. S., Taha, S. M., El-Sayed, M. M., Helaly, S. H., & AbdELhay, I. S. (2025). Nurses retention: the impact of transformational leadership, career growth, work well-being, and work-life Balance. BMC Nursing, 24(1), 148. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-02762-1

Agnello, D. M., Anand-Kumar, V., An, Q., de Boer, J., Delfmann, L. R., Longworth, G. R., & Chastin, S. (2025). Co-creation methods for public health research—characteristics, benefits, and challenges: a Health CASCADE scoping review. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 25(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-025-02514-4

Al Mutair, A., Al Mutairi, A., & Schwebius, D. (2021). The retention effect of staff education programme: Sustaining a decrease in hospital-acquired pressure ulcers via culture of care integration. International Wound Journal, 18(6), 843–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13586

Angerer, A., Stahl, J., Krasniqi, E., & Banning, S. (2022). The management perspective in digital health literature: systematic review. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 10(11), e37624. https://doi.org/10.2196/37624

Aryee, G. F. B., Amoadu, M., Obeng, P., Sarkwah, H. N., Malcalm, E., Abraham, S. A., & Ogaji, D. (2024). Effectiveness of eLearning programme for capacity building of healthcare professionals: a systematic review. Human Resources for Health, 22(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-024-00924-x

Asah, F. N., & Kaasbøll, J. J. (2023). Challenges and strategies for enhancing eHealth capacity building programs in African Nations. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 13(10), 1463. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13101463

Awad, J. A., & Martín-Rojas, R. (2024). Digital transformation influence on organisational resilience through organisational learning and innovation. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 13(1), 69. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00405-4

Battineni, G., Chintalapudi, N., Amenta, F., & Traini, E. (2020). A comprehensive machine-learning model applied to magnetic resonance imaging (mri) to predict alzheimer's disease (ad) in older subjects. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 9(7), 2146. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9072146

Bonaventura, M., Latora, V., Nicosia, V., & Panzarasa, P. (2017). The advantages of interdisciplinarity in modern science. ArXiv Preprint, arXiv:1712. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1712.07910

Brommeyer, M., Whittaker, M., Mackay, M., Ng, F., & Liang, Z. (2023). Building health service management workforce capacity in the era of health informatics and digital health–A scoping review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 169, 104909. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2022.104909

Brown, S. A., Hudson, C., Hamid, A., Berman, G., Echefu, G., Lee, K., & others. (2022). The pursuit of health equity in digital transformation, health informatics, and the cardiovascular learning healthcare system. American Heart Journal Plus: Cardiology Research and Practice, 17, 100160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahjo.2022.100160

Canfell, O. J., Woods, L., Meshkat, Y., Krivit, J., Gunashanhar, B., Slade, C., & Sullivan, C. (2024). The impact of digital hospitals on patient and clinician experience: Systematic review and qualitative evidence synthesis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 26, e47715. https://doi.org/10.2196/47715

Chomutare, T., Babic, A., Peltonen, L. M., Elunurm, S., Lundberg, P., Jönsson, A., & Dalianis, H. (2024). Implementing a Nordic-Baltic Federated Health Data Network: a case report. ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2409.17865.

Denecke, K., von Kaenel, F., Miletic, M., Fernández-Llatas, C., Ibañez-Sánchez, G., Valero-Ramón, Z., & Rivera Romero, O. (2023). How to Design Successful Participatory Design Workshops for Digital Health Solutions? In Caring is Sharing–Exploiting the Value in Data for Health and Innovation (pp. 641–645). IOS Press. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI230227

Desveaux, L., Soobiah, C., Bhatia, R. S., & Shaw, J. (2019). Identifying and overcoming policy-level barriers to the implementation of digital health innovation: Qualitative study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(12), e14994. https://doi.org/10.2196/14994

Dutta, P. K. (2025). Implementing agile healthcare frame works in the context of low income countries: Proposed Framework and Review. ArXiv Preprint, arXiv:2502.

Faridoon, A., & Kechadi, M. T. (2024). Healthcare data governance, privacy, and security--a conceptual framework. EAI International Conference on Body Area Networks, 261–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-72524-1_19

Faxvaag, A., Reponen, J., Hardardottir, G. A., Vehko, T., Viitanen, J., Eriksen, J., & Nøhr, C. (2024). Towards Accountable E-Health Policies in the Nordic Countries. In Digital Health and Informatics Innovations for Sustainable Health Care Systems (pp. 339–343). IOS Press. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI230138

Faza, A., Rinawan, F. R., Mutyara, K., Purnama, W. G., Ferdian, D., Susanti, A. I., & Fatimah, S. N. (2022). Posyandu application in Indonesia: From health informatics data quality bridging bottom-up and top-down policy implementation. Informatics, 9(4), 74. https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics9040074

Fernandes, F. A., Chaltikyan, G., Adib, K., Caton-Peters, H., & Novillo-Ortiz, D. (2024). The role of governance in the digital transformation of healthcare: Results of a survey in the WHO Europe Region. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 189, 105510. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2024.105510

Greenhalgh, T., Wherton, J., Papoutsi, C., Lynch, J., Hughes, G., Hinder, S., & Shaw, S. (2017). Beyond adoption: a new framework for theorizing and evaluating nonadoption, abandonment, and challenges to the scale-up, spread, and sustainability of health and care technologies. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 19(11), e8775. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8775

Hammami, R., Bellaaj, H., & Hadj Kacem, A. (2014). Interoperability for medical information systems: an overview. Health and Technology, 4, 261–272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-014-0085-8

Hidayat, A. S., Anggraeni, T. A., Imawan, K., & Erawati, D. (2024). The Effect of Work Communication on Employee Turnover Intention. Journal Transnational Universal Studies, 2(11), 588–597. https://doi.org/10.58631/jtus.v2i11.123

Holmgren, A. J., Esdar, M., Hüsers, J., & Coutinho-Almeida, J. (2023). Health information exchange: understanding the policy landscape and future of data interoperability. Yearbook of Medical Informatics, 32(01), 184–194. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1771354

Hospodkova, P., Berežná, J., Bartak, M., Rogalewicz, V., Severova, L., & Svoboda, R. (2021). Change management and digital innovations in hospitals of five European countries. Healthcare, 9(11), 1508. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9111508

Hujala, T., & Laihonen, H. (2023). Knowledge management in a regional integrated health and social care system. Journal of Integrated Care, 31(5), 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1108/JICA-06-2022-0032

Isik, L., Nilsson, C., Magnusson, J., & Koutsikouri, D. (2024). Benefits realization in digital transformation: the translation from policy to practice in health care. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 18(2), 303–317. https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-11-2023-0177

Jayathissa, P., & Hewapathirana, R. (2023). Enhancing interoperability among health information systems in low-and middle-income countries: a review of challenges and strategies. ArXiv Preprint, arXiv:2309.

Kabakus, A. K., Bahcekapili, E., & Ayaz, A. (2023). The effect of digital literacy on technology acceptance: An evaluation on administrative staff in higher education. Journal of Information Science, 01655515231160028. https://doi.org/10.1177/01655515231160028

Kerasidou, A., & Kerasidou, C. (2023). Data-driven research and healthcare: public trust, data governance and the NHS. BMC Medical Ethics, 24(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-023-00922-z

Keshavjee, K., & Khatami, A. (Eds.). (2024). The Role of Digital Health Policy and Leadership: From Reactive to Proactive (Vol. 312). IOS Press.

Khairat, S., Haithcoat, T., Liu, S., Zaman, T., Edson, B., Gianforcaro, R., & Shyu, C. R. (2019). Advancing health equity and access using telemedicine: a geospatial assessment. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 26(8–9), 796–805. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocz117

Kho, J., Gillespie, N., & Martin-Khan, M. (2020). A systematic scoping review of change management practices used for telemedicine service implementations. BMC Health Services Research, 20, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05657-w

Khodadad-Saryazdi, A. (2021). Exploring the telemedicine implementation challenges through the process innovation approach: A case study research in the French healthcare sector. Technovation, 107, 102273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102273

Kludacz-Alessandri, M., Hawrysz, L., Żak, K., & Zhang, W. (2025). The impact of digital transformational leadership on digital intensity among primary healthcare entities: a moderated mediation model. BMC Health Services Research, 25(1), 117. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-12652-6

Kraus, S., Schiavone, F., Pluzhnikova, A., & Invernizzi, A. C. (2021). Digital transformation in healthcare: Analyzing the current state-of-research. Journal of Business Research, 123, 557–567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.030

Kruse, C. S., Frederick, B., Jacobson, T., & Monticone, D. K. (2017). Cybersecurity in healthcare: A systematic review of modern threats and trends. Technology and Health Care, 25(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-161263

Lal, A., Erondu, N. A., Heymann, D. L., Gitahi, G., & Yates, R. (2021). Fragmented health systems in COVID-19: rectifying the misalignment between global health security and universal health coverage. The Lancet, 397(10268), 61–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32228-5

Landerdahl Stridsberg, S., Richardson, M. X., Redekop, K., Ehn, M., & Wamala Andersson, S. (2022). Gray literature in evaluating effectiveness in digital health and health and welfare technology: a source worth considering. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 24(3), e29307. https://doi.org/10.2196/29307

Leckenby, E., Dawoud, D., Bouvy, J., & Jónsson, P. (2021). The sandbox approach and its potential for use in health technology assessment: a literature review. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 19, 857–869. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-021-00665-1

Lemak, C. H., Pena, D., Jones, D. A., Kim, D. H., & Guptill, J. (2024). Leadership to Accelerate Healthcare's Digital Transformation: Evidence From 33 Health Systems. Journal of Healthcare Management, 69(4), 267–279. https://doi.org/10.1097/JHM-D-23-00210

Livijn, M. (2019). Navigating in a hierarchy: How middle managers adapt macro design. Journal of Organization Design, 8(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41469-019-0046-9

Mantas, J., Hasman, A., Demiris, G., Saranto, K., Marschollek, M., Arvanitis, T. N., & others. (2024). Digital Health and Informatics Innovations for Sustainable Health Care Systems. MIE 2024 34th Medical Informatics Europe Conference Digital Health \& Informatics Innovations for Sustainable Health Care Systems, 316, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI240316

Martin, J., Ellström, P. E., Wallo, A., & Elg, M. (2024). Bridging the policy-practice gap: a dual challenge of organizational learning. The Learning Organization. https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-05-2023-0079

Mason, P., Mayer, R., Chien, W. W., & Monestime, J. P. (2017). Overcoming barriers to implementing electronic health records in rural primary care clinics. The Qualitative Report, 22(11), 2943–2955. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2017.2515

Massoudi, B. L., & Sobolevskaia, D. (2021). Keep moving forward: health informatics and information management beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. Yearbook of Medical Informatics, 30(01), 75–83. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1726499

Mauco, K. L., Scott, R. E., & Mars, M. (2020). Validation of an e-health readiness assessment framework for developing countries. BMC Health Services Research, 20, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05448-3

Mengiste, S. A., Antypas, K., Johannessen, M. R., Klein, J., & Kazemi, G. (2023). eHealth policy framework in Low and Lower Middle-Income Countries; a PRISMA systematic review and analysis. BMC Health Services Research, 23(1), 328. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09325-7

Mennemeyer, S. T., Menachemi, N., Rahurkar, S., & Ford, E. W. (2016). Impact of the HITECH act on physicians' adoption of electronic health records. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 23(2), 375–379. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv103

Mesk'o, B., Drobni, Z., B'enyei, 'E, Gergely, B., & Gy\Horffy, Z. (2017). Digital health is a cultural transformation of traditional healthcare. Mhealth, 3, 38. https://doi.org/10.21037/mhealth.2017.08.07

Mureyi, D. (2022). Overcoming institutionalised barriers to digital health systems: an autoethnographic case study of the judicialization of a digital health tool. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 22(1), 26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01769-x

Ndlovu, K., Mars, M., & Scott, R. E. (2021). Interoperability frameworks linking mHealth applications to electronic record systems. BMC Health Services Research, 21(1), 459. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06473-6

Ngusie, H. S., Kassie, S. Y., Chereka, A. A., & Enyew, E. B. (2022). Healthcare providers' readiness for electronic health record adoption: a cross-sectional study during pre-implementation phase. BMC Health Services Research, 22(1), 282. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07688-x

Nilsen, E. R., Stendal, K., & Gullslett, M. K. (2020). Implementation of eHealth Technology in Community Health Care: the complexity of stakeholder involvement. BMC Health Services Research, 20, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05287-2

Nițulescu, A., & Stoicu-Tivadar, L. (2024). Data Standardization in the Medical Field Through FHIR and FAIR Implementation: A Systematic Review. In Digital Health and Informatics Innovations for Sustainable Health Care Systems (pp. 1378–1382).

Olufadewa, I. I., Iyiola, O. P., Nnatus, J., Fatola, K., Oladele, R., Olufadewa, T., & Udofia, J. (2024). National eHealth strategy frameworks in Africa: a comprehensive assessment using the WHO-ITU eHealth strategy toolkit and FAIR guidelines. Oxford Open Digital Health, oqae047. https://doi.org/10.1093/oodh/oqae047

Palm, K., Brantnell, A., Peolsson, M., Özbek, N., & Hedström, G. (2025). National eHealth strategies: a comparative study of nine OECD health systems. BMC Health Services Research, 25(1), 269. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-02469-9

Petrie, S., McLeod, S., & Ho, K. (2025). From fragmentation to functionality: Enhancing coherence of digital health integration in health systems. Healthcare Management Forum, 38(2), 120–124. https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704241294255

Popa, V., Geissler, J., Vermeulen, R., Priest, E., Capperella, K., Susuzlu, G., & Brooke, N. (2024). Delivering digital health solutions that patients need: a call to action. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, 58(2), 236–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43441-023-00592-4

Qoseem, I. O., Okesanya, O. J., Olaleke, N. O., Ukoaka, B. M., Amisu, B. O., Ogaya, J. B., & Lucero-Prisno III, D. E. (2024). Digital health and health equity: How digital health can address healthcare disparities and improve access to quality care in Africa. Health Promotion Perspectives, 14(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.34172/hpp.42822

Rajamani, S., Hultman, G., Bakker, C., & Melton, G. B. (2022). The role of organizational culture in health information technology implementations: a scoping review. Learning Health Systems, 6(3), e10299. https://doi.org/10.1002/lrh2.10299

Ren, F., Huang, Y., Xia, Z., Xu, X., Li, X., Chi, J., & Song, J. (2024). Do public-private partnerships (PPPs) promote the sustainable development of infrastructure (SDI)? Evidence from China. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-12-2023-1267

Robert, L., Moniez, L., Luzurier, Q., & Morquin, D. (2025). Participatory Design of EHR Components: Crafting Novel Relational Spaces for IT Specialists and Hospital Staff to Cooperate. ArXiv Preprint, arXiv:2504. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.13862

Rustam, A., Astuti, I. Y., & Safitri, U. R. (2024). Strategies for Improving Employee Competencies in the Digitalization Era through Training and Human Resource Development. The Journal of Academic Science, 1(7), 849–857. https://doi.org/10.1234/jas.v1i7.849

Schiffelers, T., Kapteijns, K., Hochstenbach, L., Kietselaer, B., Talboom-Kamp, E., & Spreeuwenberg, M. (2025). Best Practices in Organizing Digital Transformation: Qualitative Case Study in Dutch Hospital Care. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 27, e63576. https://doi.org/10.2196/63576

Single, A., Cabrera, A., Fifer, S., Tsai, J., Paik, J. Y., & Hope, P. (2021). Patient advocacy group involvement in health technology assessments: an observational study. Research Involvement and Engagement, 7, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40900-021-00327-5

Socha-Dietrich, K. (2021). Empowering the health workforce to make the most of the digital revolution (OECD Health Working Papers, Issue 129). https://doi.org/10.1787/37ff0eaa-en

Sood, H., NcNeil, K., & Keogh, B. (2017). Chief clinical information officers: clinical leadership for a digital age. BMJ, 358. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j3295

Steinhauser, S., & Raptis, G. (2023). Design propositions for nudging in healthcare: Adoption of national electronic health record systems. Digital Health, 9, 20552076231181210. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076231181208

Torab-Miandoab, A., Samad-Soltani, T., Jodati, A., & Rezaei-Hachesu, P. (2023). Interoperability of heterogeneous health information systems: a systematic literature review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 23(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-023-02115-5

Vest, J. R., & Kash, B. A. (2016). Differing strategies to meet information-sharing needs: publicly supported community health information exchanges versus health systems' enterprise health information exchanges. The Milbank Quarterly, 94(1), 77–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12180

Wakili, A., & Bakkali, S. (2024). Internet of Things in healthcare: An adaptive ethical framework for IoT in digital health. Clinical EHealth, 7, 92–105.

Watson, A. R. (2016). Impact of the digital age on transforming healthcare. In Healthcare information management systems: cases, strategies, and solutions (pp. 219–233). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20765-0_13

Wilberforce Island, P. M. B., & Calabar, C. (2023). The Role of Context-Responsive Leadership in the Culture Re-Engineering of Tertiary Health Institutions in Bayelsa State. International Journal, 13(2).

Wu, K., Wu, E., Rodolfa, K., Ho, D. E., & Zou, J. (2024). Regulating AI Adaptation: An Analysis of AI Medical Device Updates. MedRxiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.16900

Yi, S., Yam, E. L. Y., Cheruvettolil, K., Linos, E., Gupta, A., Palaniappan, L., & Eggleston, K. N. (2024). Perspectives of digital health innovations in low-and middle-income health care systems from south and Southeast Asia. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 26, e57612. https://doi.org/10.2196/57612

Yusif, S., Hafeez-Baig, A., & Soar, J. (2020). An exploratory study of the readiness of public healthcare facilities in developing countries to adopt health information technology (HIT)/e-Health: the case of Ghana. Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research, 4(2), 189–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41666-020-00064-2

Zainol, N. Z., Kowang, T. O., Hee, O. C., Fei, G. C., & Kadir, B. B. (2021). Managing organizational change through effective leadership: A review from literature. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 11(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i1/8370

Zhang, Y., Lin, Y. Y., Lal, L. S., Reneker, J. C., Hinton, E. G., Chandra, S., & Swint, J. M. (2025). Stakeholder-driven multi-stage adaptive real-world theme-oriented (SMART) telehealth evaluation framework: a scoping review. The Lancet Regional Health--Americas, 44.