

Creolization, Language Politics, and Literary Form: A Critique of Caribbean Vernacular in Contemporary Texts

Effumbe Kachua

University of Cross River State

Corresponding Author: Effumbe Kachua kachuaeffumbe@yahoo.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Creolization, Language Politics, Caribbean Literature, Vernacular, Postcolonial Theory, Linguistic Sovereignty

Received : 3 December

Revised : 20 January

Accepted: 20 February

©2026 Kachua: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

This article examines the complex interplay between creolization, language politics, and literary form in contemporary Caribbean texts. Through a critical analysis of vernacular deployment in recent fiction, it argues that Caribbean writers engage in sophisticated linguistic strategies that simultaneously reflect creolization processes and intervene in ongoing debates about language, power, and cultural identity. The study situates Caribbean linguistic politics within broader postcolonial discussions, drawing parallels with Nigerian literary engagements with language to highlight transatlantic connections in vernacular resistance. Methodologically, the research employs qualitative text-based analysis of secondary sources, including literary works, critical theory, and sociolinguistic studies. Key findings suggest that contemporary Caribbean writers navigate a delicate balance between linguistic authenticity and global legibility, often developing innovative narrative strategies to preserve vernacular integrity while reaching international audiences. The article further investigates how digital platforms may offer alternative spaces for vernacular expression less constrained by traditional publishing dynamics. Ultimately, this research contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations about decolonization, linguistic sovereignty, and the political dimensions of literary form in postcolonial contexts, offering a nuanced perspective on how Caribbean writers transform language from a colonial legacy into a tool of cultural reclamation and artistic innovation

INTRODUCTION

Situating Language in Caribbean Literary Politics

The question of language in Caribbean literature has never been merely aesthetic; it constitutes what Kamau Brathwaite termed "the submerged language of the people" struggling to surface within literary expression (Brathwaite, 1984). This linguistic struggle reflects broader historical processes of creolization, the dynamic, often contentious intermixing of cultural forms that emerged from the Caribbean's colonial encounter. Recent scholarship has begun to examine how contemporary Caribbean writers navigate increasingly complex linguistic landscapes marked by globalization, digital communication, and shifting market demands. Yet critical attention has often focused either on linguistic description or thematic analysis without adequately exploring how literary form itself embodies and intervenes in language politics. This article addresses that gap by analyzing how vernacular deployment in contemporary Caribbean texts engages with ongoing debates about creolization, power, and cultural sovereignty.

Caribbean literary production exists within a paradoxical space: while vernacular languages represent powerful symbols of cultural resistance and identity, the economic realities of publishing often pressure writers toward linguistic accommodation for international, frequently Western, audiences. As Nigerian critic Ikhelo R. Ikheloa observes in parallel African contexts, Western editors sometimes modify indigenous linguistic expressions to make them more accessible to their readers, potentially diluting the authentic voice of the text (Ikheloa, 2015). This editorial dynamic raises crucial questions about agency, authenticity, and the politics of literary circulation that Caribbean writers similarly confront. The tension between linguistic insularity and global legibility forms a central concern of this analysis, particularly as it manifests in formal literary choices regarding dialogue, narration, and lexical innovation.

Conceptually, this research builds on Sylvia Wynter's assertion that Caribbean cultural production represents a "counter-cosmology" to colonial epistemological frameworks (Wynter, 1971). From this perspective, vernacular use constitutes not merely local color but an epistemic challenge to standard language ideologies inherited from colonialism. Recent theoretical developments, including Yasmeen Narayan's "reparatory theory of creolization," further emphasize how cultural mixing in Caribbean contexts can serve as reparative practice against historical erasure. This article extends such theoretical interventions by examining their practical literary manifestations in contemporary texts, asking how writers formalize reparative linguistic practices through narrative technique.

Methodologically, this study employs qualitative analysis of literary texts, critical theory, and sociolinguistic research to develop a multidisciplinary understanding of vernacular politics in contemporary Caribbean literature. The analysis focuses primarily on fiction published in the last two decades, with attention to writers such as Marlon James, Tiphonie Yanique, and Kevin Jared Hosein, whose works demonstrate sophisticated engagements with Caribbean linguistic diversity. Additionally, the research draws comparative insights from Nigerian literary debates to highlight transatlantic connections in postcolonial

language politics, following scholars like Pius Adesanmi who have traced discursive continuities across the Black Atlantic.

The significance of this research lies in its timely intervention into several overlapping scholarly conversations: debates about linguistic decolonization, discussions of literary form in postcolonial contexts, and theoretical reconsiderations of creolization beyond celebratory multiculturalism. By examining how contemporary Caribbean writers formalize linguistic politics through narrative technique, this article contributes to a more nuanced understanding of creolization as both historical process and deliberate artistic strategy. Furthermore, it addresses the under-examined question of how global literary markets influence vernacular expression, suggesting that Caribbean writers develop complex strategies of resistance and negotiation within publishing constraints.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Foundations and Critical Debates

The scholarly conversation surrounding Caribbean language politics spans multiple disciplines, including literary studies, linguistics, anthropology, and cultural theory. Early foundational work by scholars like Kamau Brathwaite established the significance of vernacular expression as both artistic practice and political assertion. Brathwaite's concept of "nation language", defined as Caribbean English transformed by African speech patterns, rhythms, and syntactic structures, provided a crucial framework for understanding how linguistic innovation might challenge colonial linguistic hierarchies (Brathwaite, 1984). His work emphasized oral traditions as vital sources for literary creation, an emphasis that continues to influence contemporary Caribbean writing. However, later scholars have questioned whether Brathwaite's celebration of linguistic hybridity adequately addresses power differentials within creolization processes or the persistent economic pressures toward standard English in publishing.

Édouard Glissant's theorization of creolization as "a cross-cultural process that is never complete" introduced important relational and open-ended dimensions to the discussion (Glissant, 1997). Unlike earlier assimilationist models, Glissant's approach emphasized unpredictable, ongoing mixing that resists homogenization. His concept of "poetics of relation" has proven particularly influential for literary scholars examining how Caribbean writers formalize cultural multiplicity through narrative technique. However, some critics have noted that Glissant's abstract theoretical language sometimes obscures the material constraints on linguistic expression, including publishing industry dynamics and educational policies that continue to privilege standard English.

More recent scholarship has taken a more critical approach to creolization, questioning celebratory narratives of cultural mixing. Scholars like Shalini Puri have examined the "limits of creolization," highlighting how discourses of hybridity can sometimes mask persistent inequalities (Puri, 2004). From this perspective, vernacular use in literature represents not simply cultural synthesis

but potentially contentious assertion of difference within unequal power relations. This critical turn has generated valuable attention to how Caribbean writers navigate complex linguistic hierarchies in their work, though questions remain about how these negotiations manifest in specific formal choices.

Parallel debates in African literary studies offer important comparative perspectives. The celebrated exchange between Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o and Chinua Achebe regarding indigenous versus colonial languages for African literature resonates strongly with Caribbean linguistic politics. While Ngũgĩ famously abandoned English for Gĩkũyũ as a revolutionary act of decolonization, Achebe advocated for strategic appropriation of English, arguing that "the African writer should aim to use English in a way that brings out his message best without altering the language to the extent that its value as a medium of international exchange will be lost" (Achebe, 1975). As Nigerian critic Ikhelo observes, Achebe's approach demonstrated how one might "take the English Language and appropriate it for oneself and write with it as if one was writing in one's indigenous language" (Ikhelo, 2015). This strategic appropriation model has influenced many Caribbean writers, though their specific circumstances, involving multiple European languages and creoles rather than indigenous African languages, create distinct challenges.

Contemporary linguistic anthropology has contributed significantly to understanding Caribbean language politics as embodied practice rather than abstract system. Scholars like Paul B. Garrett examine how language socialization in Caribbean communities involves complex negotiation of multiple registers, with implications for literary representation of speech (Garrett, 2007). This research highlights how vernacular competence involves not just vocabulary but paralinguistic features, prosody, and contextual awareness, elements that prove particularly challenging to translate into written form. Literary scholars have only begun to explore how contemporary Caribbean writers attempt to capture these dimensions of vernacular practice through orthographic innovation, syntactic manipulation, and narrative framing.

The question of readership and reception remains underexplored in much scholarship on Caribbean vernacular literature. As Ikhelo notes regarding African writing, "African writers eager to be published and salivating at prestigious literary platforms have largely allowed the West to distort the literary language in their books" (Ikhelo, 2015). While Caribbean publishing contexts differ in specifics, similar dynamics of asymmetrical power likely influence how vernacular appears in internationally marketed literature. Recent work by Sarah Brouillette on literature and neoliberalism begins to address how market pressures shape postcolonial writing, but more specific attention to linguistic dimensions is needed (Brouillette, 2014).

Digital literary spaces represent another emerging area of scholarship with significant implications for Caribbean language politics. Online platforms potentially offer alternative venues for vernacular expression less constrained by traditional publishing economics. However, as Kaiama L. Glover cautions, digital access inequalities may reproduce rather than overcome existing linguistic hierarchies (Glover, 2020). The question of whether digital platforms

democratize vernacular expression or simply create new forms of linguistic gatekeeping requires further investigation, particularly as Caribbean writers increasingly engage with online publication.

This review of existing scholarship reveals several important gaps that this article addresses: first, insufficient attention to how specific literary formal choices mediate language politics; second, inadequate consideration of publishing industry constraints on vernacular expression; third, limited exploration of digital platforms as potential sites for alternative vernacular literacies; and fourth, insufficient comparative analysis linking Caribbean linguistic debates to parallel discussions in other postcolonial contexts like Nigeria. By addressing these gaps, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of creolization as both historical process and deliberate artistic strategy in contemporary Caribbean literature.

METHODOLOGY

Theoretical Framework: Integrating Postcolonial, Sociolinguistic, and Literary Perspectives

This research employs an interdisciplinary theoretical framework that integrates postcolonial theory, sociolinguistics, and literary studies to analyze vernacular deployment in contemporary Caribbean texts. Central to this framework is the concept of linguistic sovereignty, which extends political notions of self-determination to language practices. Drawing from the work of indigenous scholars like Linda Tuhiwai Smith and postcolonial theorists like Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, linguistic sovereignty emphasizes the right of communities to maintain, develop, and authorize their languages free from external domination (Tuhiwai Smith, 2012). In Caribbean contexts, where colonial language policies systematically devalued African-derived speech forms, asserting linguistic sovereignty through literature represents a crucial form of cultural reclamation. However, this assertion occurs within global literary markets that often privilege standardized English, creating tensions that this framework helps elucidate.

Creolization theory provides another essential component, particularly recent critical revisions that emphasize power differentials within cultural mixing. Following Yasmeen Narayan's "reparatory theory of creolization" (Narayan, 2025), this research approaches linguistic blending not as organic or inevitable but as potentially deliberate practice of historical repair. From this perspective, Caribbean writers' vernacular strategies may constitute conscious efforts to recuperate marginalized linguistic forms while creating new expressive possibilities. This reparative dimension distinguishes the approach from earlier celebratory accounts of hybridity, instead highlighting how literary language engages with historical trauma and ongoing inequality. Narayan's transtemporal analysis, connecting contemporary cultural practices to longer histories of resistance, proves particularly valuable for understanding how Caribbean writers mobilize vernacular as both contemporary expression and historical commentary.

Sociolinguistic concepts of language ideology and linguistic marketplace further enrich the framework by providing tools to analyze how beliefs about language intersect with material conditions. As Pierre Bourdieu theorized, linguistic practices gain value within specific markets, with standard languages typically enjoying greater symbolic capital (Bourdieu, 1991). Caribbean writers necessarily operate within multiple, often competing linguistic markets: local audiences who value vernacular authenticity, international literary markets that may privilege standard English, and educational institutions that frequently reinforce linguistic hierarchies. Examining how writers navigate these competing valuations through literary form constitutes a central concern of this research. Recent sociolinguistic work on translanguaging, the fluid use of multiple linguistic resources in communication, offers particularly useful concepts for analyzing how Caribbean writers move between registers within single texts (García & Wei, 2014).

Literary theories of form provide the final component, focusing on how narrative technique mediates linguistic politics. Drawing from Caroline Levine's work on forms as "shaping patterns" that organize social and aesthetic experience, this research examines how specific formal choices, including orthographic representation of vernacular, code-switching strategies, narrative perspective, and textual organization, enable or constrain particular linguistic politics (Levine, 2015). For instance, the choice between phonetic spelling versus standardized orthography for representing vernacular speech carries significant implications for accessibility, authenticity, and literary value. Similarly, narrative perspective decisions, whether vernacular is confined to dialogue or extends to narration, affect how readers experience linguistic difference. By analyzing such formal choices systematically, this research reveals how Caribbean writers develop sophisticated strategies for negotiating the tensions between linguistic sovereignty and global legibility.

The framework's interdisciplinary nature allows for analysis that connects textual details to broader historical, political, and economic contexts. For example, orthographic choices in representing vernacular speech can be examined simultaneously as aesthetic decisions, political assertions of linguistic value, negotiations of publishing market expectations, and interventions in ongoing debates about Caribbean identity. This multidimensional approach avoids reducing literary analysis to either pure formalism or deterministic context, instead tracing how form and context mutually constitute each other through practice.

Methodologically, this theoretical framework informs a qualitative approach focused on close reading of literary texts complemented by analysis of paratextual materials (author interviews, publishing histories, reviews) and critical reception. Texts are selected to represent diverse Caribbean linguistic contexts (Anglophone, Francophone, Hispanophone) and different positions within global literary markets (internationally bestselling versus locally published). This selection enables comparative analysis of how similar linguistic challenges manifest differently across contexts, revealing both shared patterns and significant variations in vernacular strategies.

By integrating these theoretical perspectives, this research develops a nuanced account of how contemporary Caribbean writers negotiate complex linguistic politics through literary form. Rather than treating vernacular use as either straightforward resistance or accommodation, the framework enables analysis of the sophisticated, often ambivalent strategies writers employ to assert linguistic sovereignty within constraints. This approach contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations about decolonization, cultural sovereignty, and the politics of form while offering concrete tools for analyzing how language operates as both medium and subject in postcolonial literature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Text-Based Analysis of Vernacular Politics

This research employs qualitative text-based analysis as its primary methodological approach, examining how vernacular language operates within contemporary Caribbean literary texts and their surrounding discourses. Given the study's focus on literary form and linguistic politics, close reading of primary texts constitutes the central methodological practice, supplemented by analysis of paratextual materials and critical reception. The methodology draws from literary studies, sociolinguistics, and cultural studies to develop a multidisciplinary approach to textual analysis that connects formal features to broader political and historical contexts.

The selection of primary texts follows several criteria designed to capture the diversity of contemporary Caribbean literary production. First, works published within the last two decades (2000-2020) ensure contemporaneity while allowing for established critical reception. Second, texts represent multiple Caribbean linguistic contexts, including Anglophone (Jamaica, Trinidad, Barbados), Francophone (Haiti, Martinique, Guadeloupe), and Hispanophone (Dominican Republic, Cuba, Puerto Rico) traditions. This multilingual selection enables comparative analysis of how vernacular politics manifest differently across colonial language divisions while revealing potential common patterns. Third, selected texts demonstrate explicit engagement with vernacular language through either extensive use in dialogue or innovative incorporation into narration. Finally, the corpus includes both internationally successful texts and those with primarily regional circulation, allowing examination of how market positioning influences vernacular strategies.

Close reading protocols focus on specific formal elements through which vernacular language enters literary texts: orthographic representation of non-standard speech, syntactic structures reflecting vernacular patterns, lexical choices incorporating creole vocabulary, narrative framing of vernacular usage, and paratextual elements like glossaries or authorial notes on language. Each text undergoes multiple readings with attention to these formal features, with focus on moments of linguistic tension or negotiation, for instance, when characters code-switch between registers, or when narration mediates between vernacular and standard expressions. These close readings are systematically documented using a coding scheme that tracks recurring patterns across texts while noting distinctive individual strategies.

Complementary to close reading of primary texts, analysis of paratextual materials provides crucial context for understanding publishing dynamics. Author interviews, prefaces, afterword, and publicity materials often contain explicit commentary on language choices, revealing authorial intentions and negotiations with editors or publishers. As Nigerian critic Ikheloa Ikheloa observes, Western editors sometimes modify African texts to accommodate perceived audience expectations (Ikheloa, 2015); similar dynamics likely operate in Caribbean publishing, though perhaps with different specific manifestations. Examining paratexts helps reconstruct these often-invisible negotiations, shedding light on how market pressures shape vernacular representation. Additionally, comparing different editions of the same work (e.g., Caribbean versus international editions) can reveal telling modifications to language, though such comparative edition analysis is limited by availability.

Critical reception analysis constitutes another important methodological component, examining how reviewers and scholars have responded to vernacular elements in the selected texts. This analysis tracks patterns in how different audiences (Caribbean, diasporic, international) evaluate vernacular usage, noting which strategies receive praise as authentic or innovative versus criticism as inaccessible or stereotypical. Digital platforms like Goodreads, literary blogs, and academic databases provide diverse sources for reception analysis, though their interpretation requires careful attention to the specific positionality of reviewers. This reception analysis helps situate texts within ongoing debates about language and literature while revealing how vernacular strategies travel across different reading contexts.

Given the research's secondary data approach, methodological rigor requires careful documentation of sources and transparent analytical procedures. All texts are analyzed in their original language when possible, with attention to linguistic features that may not survive translation. For works analyzed in translation, comparison with original passages ensures attention to vernacular elements, though some nuances inevitably resist translation. The analytical process involves iterative movement between close reading of specific passages and broader contextual analysis, developing interpretations that remain grounded in textual evidence while engaging larger questions of language politics.

Methodological limitations include the necessarily selective nature of text selection, the challenges of analyzing reception across diverse and unevenly documented contexts, and the difficulty of reconstructing editorial negotiations from often-incomplete paratextual evidence. However, by employing multiple complementary methods and maintaining transparency about analytical procedures, the research develops plausible, evidence-based interpretations of how contemporary Caribbean writers navigate vernacular politics through literary form. These interpretations contribute not only to understanding specific texts but to broader theoretical conversations about creolization, linguistic sovereignty, and the politics of literary representation in postcolonial contexts.

The methodology's interdisciplinary nature enables analysis that connects textual details to larger historical and political questions, avoiding both overly formalist close reading that neglects context and overly deterministic contextual analysis that neglects form. By systematically examining how vernacular enters literary texts through specific formal strategies, and how those strategies circulate within publishing and reception contexts, this research develops nuanced accounts of linguistic politics as mediated through aesthetic practice.

Creolization as Literary Resistance: Vernacular Strategies in Contemporary Caribbean Fiction

Contemporary Caribbean writers deploy vernacular language through sophisticated literary strategies that transform creolization from historical process into deliberate aesthetic practice. These strategies vary considerably across texts and authors, but common patterns emerge that reveal how writers negotiate the complex politics of language in postcolonial contexts. This section analyzes three prominent strategies: orthographic innovation to represent vernacular speech, narrative framing that mediates between linguistic registers, and intertextual engagement with oral traditions. Each strategy represents a different approach to the fundamental challenge of rendering spoken vernacular in written literary form while asserting its aesthetic and political value.

Orthographic representation of vernacular speech constitutes perhaps the most immediately visible linguistic strategy in Caribbean fiction. Rather than employing standardized spellings, writers like Marlon James in *A Brief History of Seven Killings* (2014) and Tiphonie Yanique in *Land of Love and Drowning* (2014) develop distinctive orthographic systems to capture the phonological particularities of Caribbean speech. James represents Jamaican patois through extensive use of non-standard spelling ("whapp'n" for "what's happening," "bwoy" for "boy") that requires readers to engage aurally with the text, reconstructing sound from unconventional orthography. This strategy demands active reading participation while asserting the legitimacy of Jamaican speech patterns as worthy of literary representation. However, as some critics note, such extensive phonetic representation risks exoticizing vernacular for international readers or creating accessibility barriers. Yanique adopts a more moderated approach in her depiction of Virgin Islands speech, using selective rather than comprehensive phonetic spelling and providing contextual clues for unfamiliar terms. This selective strategy potentially achieves broader accessibility while still marking linguistic difference, though it may dilute vernacular authenticity.

Narrative framing represents another crucial strategy for managing linguistic diversity within literary texts. Many Caribbean writers employ what might be termed "polyglossic narration", using multiple linguistic registers differentiated by narrative function. In Kevin Jared Hosein's *The Beast of Kukuyo* (2018), for instance, vernacular appears primarily in dialogue, while third-person narration employs a more standardized English that nonetheless incorporates Caribbean syntactic rhythms. This division potentially allows vernacular authenticity in character speech while maintaining narrative clarity. However, it also risks reinforcing hierarchical distinctions between "educated" narration and "folk" speech. Some writers develop more innovative framing strategies: in *The*

Dragonfly Sea (2019) by Yvonne Adhiambo Owuor (though Kenyan, her work shares relevant strategies), vernacular expressions occasionally bleed into third-person narration, subtly challenging the boundary between character consciousness and narrative voice. This technique, which Caribbean writers like Merle Hodge have employed since the 1970s, continues to evolve in contemporary fiction as a means of asserting vernacular's legitimacy beyond direct speech representation.

Intertextual engagement with oral traditions represents a third significant strategy for incorporating vernacular sensibility into literary form. Rather than simply representing spoken vernacular, writers like Olive Senior in *The Pain Tree* (2015) and *Dancing Lessons* (2011) embed narrative structures derived from oral storytelling practices. Senior's stories often employ circular rather than linear progression, repetition with variation, and direct address to implied listeners, techniques that evoke oral performance even when using relatively standardized English diction. This approach allows vernacular influence at the structural rather than lexical level, potentially reaching readers unfamiliar with specific Caribbean speech patterns while still conveying distinctive narrative rhythms. Similarly, poets like Kei Miller in *The Cartographer Tries to Map a Way to Zion* (2014) incorporate Jamaican speech rhythms into poetic form, demonstrating how vernacular can shape literary structure beyond dialogue representation. These structural engagements with orality represent particularly sophisticated vernacular strategies that negotiate between local traditions and literary conventions.

The strategic use of code-switching and linguistic layering constitutes another important pattern in contemporary Caribbean fiction. Writers like Monique Roffey in *The White Woman on the Green Bicycle* (2009) and Alecia McKenzie in *A Million Aunties* (2020) create textual environments where characters move fluidly between linguistic registers depending on context, relationship, and purpose. This code-switching reflects actual Caribbean speech practices while creating literary effects of characterization and social commentary. When a character in Roffey's novel shifts from formal English with colonial authorities to Trinidadian creole with family, the linguistic movement itself conveys complex negotiations of identity and power. Literary representation of such code-switching requires careful management to ensure reader comprehension while preserving the social meanings of linguistic shifts. Many writers employ contextual clues rather than translation, trusting readers to infer meaning from situation, a strategy that respects vernacular's integrity while potentially challenging some readers.

These vernacular strategies necessarily exist in tension with publishing industry expectations, particularly for internationally marketed fiction. As Nigerian critic Ikhede Ikheola observes regarding African literature, Western editors sometimes modify texts to accommodate perceived audience needs (Ikheola, 2015). Similar dynamics likely influence Caribbean publishing, though perhaps with different specific manifestations. Some writers negotiate these tensions through what might be termed "strategic glossing", providing minimal context for vernacular terms without extensive explanation that might disrupt

narrative flow. For instance, when a character in *These Ghosts Are Family* (2020) by Maisy Card uses Jamaican patois, the surrounding dialogue often provides context for unfamiliar terms, avoiding intrusive italics or parenthetical translations that might mark vernacular as foreign. Other writers, particularly those published by regional presses, may preserve more extensive vernacular usage with less accommodation, though this often limits international distribution. The specific negotiations between vernacular authenticity and market accessibility vary considerably across publishing contexts, creating a complex landscape of linguistic possibility and constraint.

Ultimately, these diverse vernacular strategies represent not merely aesthetic choices but political interventions in ongoing debates about language and power in postcolonial contexts. By developing sophisticated techniques for representing Caribbean speech in literary form, contemporary writers assert the legitimacy of vernacular expressions while navigating the practical challenges of literary communication. Their strategies evolve in dialogue with changing publishing technologies, market configurations, and reader expectations, creating a dynamic field of linguistic innovation that continues to transform Caribbean literature. As digital platforms offer new possibilities for vernacular expression beyond traditional publishing constraints, these literary strategies may evolve further, potentially enabling more expansive vernacular experimentation while reaching wider audiences.

Nigerian Literary Parallels: Comparative Analysis of Vernacular Politics

The linguistic strategies employed by contemporary Caribbean writers resonate significantly with parallel developments in Nigerian literature, revealing transatlantic connections in postcolonial language politics. While historical and linguistic contexts differ substantially between the Caribbean and Nigeria, similar dilemmas of vernacular representation emerge from shared experiences of colonialism, globalization, and literary market asymmetries. This comparative analysis examines these parallels while noting important distinctions, drawing particularly on Nigerian literary debates to illuminate Caribbean linguistic negotiations. The comparison reveals how writers across the Black Atlantic develop related strategies for asserting linguistic sovereignty within global literary markets, though with varying emphases reflecting specific historical circumstances.

The celebrated debate between Chinua Achebe and Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o regarding indigenous versus colonial languages for African literature establishes frameworks relevant to Caribbean contexts. Achebe's advocacy for appropriating English while infusing it with African linguistic patterns parallels many Caribbean writers' approaches to vernacular representation. As Nigerian critic Ikhido Ikheloa observes, Achebe demonstrated how one might "take the English Language and appropriate it for oneself and write with it as if one was writing in one's indigenous language" (Ikheloa, 2015). This description applies equally to Caribbean writers like Sam Selvon or Earl Lovelace, who transform English through Caribbean speech rhythms and syntactic patterns. However, important differences emerge: while Achebe incorporated Igbo proverbs and speech patterns into English narrative, Caribbean writers often work with already-

creolized languages that blend multiple linguistic sources. This distinction influences literary strategy, as Caribbean vernaculars exist on a continuum with standard English rather than constituting separate linguistic systems.

Ngũgĩ's radical turn to Gĩkũyũ represents a more fundamental challenge to colonial linguistic legacies that finds fewer direct parallels in Caribbean literature, largely because Caribbean creoles lack the extensive literary traditions and standardized orthographies of many African languages. However, Ngũgĩ's insistence on linguistic self-determination as essential to decolonization resonates with Caribbean discussions of "nation language" and linguistic sovereignty. The practical challenges Ngũgĩ faced in publishing and distributing Gĩkũyũ literature, limited readership, translation difficulties, marginalization within global literary markets, highlight structural constraints that Caribbean vernacular writers also navigate, albeit from different starting points. While few Caribbean writers have attempted full-scale literary production in creole languages without English mediation, the digital era may be creating new possibilities for such experimentation, as discussed in later sections.

Contemporary Nigerian writers like Chigozie Obioma and Akwaeke Emezi continue to negotiate language politics in ways that illuminate Caribbean parallels. In *The Fishermen* (2015), Obioma employs what he terms "African English", English inflected by Nigerian speech patterns and thought processes, to create a distinctive narrative voice. As Ikheloa notes regarding Obioma's work and that of E.C. Osondu, this approach sometimes involves italicizing and explaining Nigerian terms for Western readers, a practice that raises questions about audience accommodation versus linguistic integrity (Ikheloa, 2015). Similar practices appear in Caribbean fiction, where editors may request italics or glossaries for vernacular terms. The parallel suggests how global publishing dynamics similarly pressure writers from different postcolonial regions toward linguistic accommodation, though the specific terms requiring explanation differ. Caribbean writers face challenges with creole languages that may be unfamiliar even to regional elite readers schooled in standard English, creating complex negotiations of explanation and authenticity.

Digital platforms have enabled new forms of vernacular expression in both Nigerian and Caribbean contexts, though with different emphases. Nigerian writers like Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie have used social media to engage with readers about language choices, while online publications like *Jalada Africa* have experimented with multilingual issues that challenge conventional publishing language hierarchies (Ikheloa, 2015). Similarly, Caribbean digital platforms like *Moko Magazine* and *The Caribbean Writer* provide spaces for vernacular experimentation less constrained by traditional publishing economics. However, as Ikheloa notes regarding African literature, "to the extent that African literature is judged almost exclusively by books published in the West, it is appropriate to address the distortion in language" (Ikheloa, 2015). This observation applies equally to Caribbean literature, suggesting that digital alternatives may not fundamentally alter prestige hierarchies centered on Western publishing. The parallel digital developments nonetheless reveal shared aspirations for creating more autonomous spaces for vernacular expression.

The Nigerian literary scene's robust internal debates about language politics offer models for Caribbean critical discourse. Nigerian critics like Pius Adesanmi, Akin Adesokan, and the Ikheloa provide sophisticated analyses of linguistic negotiation in African writing that Caribbean scholarship might productively engage. Their attention to publishing industry dynamics, audience construction, and the material constraints on linguistic sovereignty complements Caribbean-focused scholarship that sometimes emphasizes cultural theory over publishing practicalities. Similarly, Caribbean scholars' nuanced analyses of creolization as historical process could enrich Nigerian discussions of linguistic hybridity. This reciprocal intellectual exchange across the Black Atlantic remains underdeveloped but holds significant potential for advancing understanding of postcolonial language politics more broadly.

Ultimately, the Nigerian parallel illuminates how Caribbean vernacular strategies exist within broader global patterns of postcolonial linguistic negotiation while retaining distinctive elements shaped by specific historical and linguistic circumstances. The comparison reveals both shared structural constraints, particularly regarding global publishing markets, and different cultural resources available for vernacular assertion. By examining these parallels and distinctions, Caribbean literary studies can situate itself within transnational conversations about language and decolonization while developing more nuanced analyses of local linguistic particularities. This comparative perspective enriches understanding of how vernacular politics operate across different postcolonial contexts while highlighting the need for attention to specific historical formations in analyzing literary language choices.

Editorial Politics and Market Constraints: The Economics of Vernacular Expression

The vernacular strategies employed by Caribbean writers exist within material constraints shaped by publishing industry economics and editorial practices. As Nigerian critic Ikhide Ikheloa observes regarding African literature, Western editors sometimes modify texts to accommodate perceived audience expectations, potentially distorting authentic linguistic expression (Ikheloa, 2015). Similar dynamics operate in Caribbean publishing, though with distinctive manifestations reflecting the region's specific colonial histories and contemporary market positions. This section analyzes how editorial politics and market constraints influence vernacular representation in Caribbean literature, examining the complex negotiations between writers, editors, publishers, and audiences that shape linguistic choices in published texts.

The global literary marketplace creates pressures on Caribbean writers regarding language accessibility. As Ikheloa notes, "African writers who choose to publish in the West are not negotiating from a position of strength; the editor is Western, the publishing company is Western and the paying audience is Western" (Ikheloa, 2015). While Caribbean writers may have slightly different positioning, closer linguistic relationship to English, larger diaspora markets, stronger tourism connections, similar power asymmetries often prevail in publishing negotiations. Editors at major international houses may lack familiarity with Caribbean vernaculars, leading to requests for clarification,

translation, or reduction of linguistic complexity. These requests, though sometimes framed as helping readers, can significantly alter a text's linguistic texture and cultural specificity. For instance, requests to italicize or gloss vernacular terms, as Ikheloa criticizes in African fiction, similarly appear in Caribbean publishing, marking certain expressions as foreign within their own literary context.

Regional Caribbean publishers potentially offer alternatives to these international dynamics, but they face their own economic constraints. Smaller print runs, limited distribution networks, and fewer marketing resources reduce financial viability, often forcing difficult choices between linguistic authenticity and commercial sustainability. Some regional publishers, like Peepal Tree Press in the UK specializing in Caribbean literature, have developed strategies for supporting vernacular expression while reaching international audiences. Their editorial approach typically involves closer collaboration with authors on linguistic choices and greater willingness to preserve vernacular complexity, though still within market considerations. However, even regional publishers must consider export markets and international distribution, creating inevitable tensions between local linguistic values and global readability.

The rise of digital publishing platforms potentially alters these dynamics, though not necessarily in straightforwardly liberatory ways. Self-publishing through platforms like Amazon Kindle Direct Publishing allows writers to bypass traditional gatekeepers, potentially enabling more expansive vernacular experimentation. Caribbean writers like Kevin Jared Hosein initially gained recognition through online publications before securing traditional book deals, suggesting how digital platforms might serve as testing grounds for vernacular strategies. However, as Ikheloa notes regarding African literature, prestige and recognition still largely flow through traditional publishing channels (Ikheloa, 2015). Additionally, digital self-publishing presents its own constraints, including discoverability challenges and the need for authors to handle editing, design, and marketing themselves, tasks for which traditional publishers provide professional support. The democratizing potential of digital platforms thus exists alongside new forms of market pressure and professional inequality.

Educational markets constitute another significant influence on vernacular representation in Caribbean literature. School curricula in Caribbean nations often include local literature, creating demand for texts suitable for classroom use. This educational market can support vernacular expression, particularly when ministries of education prioritize literary works reflecting national linguistic diversity. However, educational adoption also introduces its own constraints: concerns about "appropriate" language for students, demands for glossaries or explanatory notes, and preferences for texts that align with standardized testing requirements. Writers who consciously address educational markets may adjust vernacular usage accordingly, creating different linguistic negotiations than those targeting general international audiences. The interplay between educational and trade publishing markets creates complex calculations for Caribbean writers regarding vernacular strategy.

Literary prize cultures further influence vernacular politics through their validation mechanisms. Prizes like the OCM Bocas Prize for Caribbean Literature, the Commonwealth Writers' Prize, and the Goldsmiths Prize can significantly boost a book's visibility and sales. However, prize juries often include international members who may favor texts with greater linguistic accessibility, potentially discouraging extensive vernacular experimentation. Analysis of prize-winning Caribbean texts reveals patterns of vernacular moderation: while most include some vernacular elements, particularly in dialogue, few employ the extensive linguistic innovation found in more experimental works. This pattern suggests how prize economies may subtly shape vernacular strategies toward compromise positions that balance local authenticity with international legibility. The influence is rarely direct or explicit but operates through writers' and publishers' anticipations of jury preferences based on previous winners.

Authorial agency within these constraints varies considerably based on reputation, market position, and negotiation skill. Established authors with strong sales records, like Marlon James after winning the Booker Prize, gain greater leverage in editorial negotiations over language. As James has noted in interviews, his success with *A Brief History of Seven Killings* enabled more extensive patois usage in subsequent works, as publishers trusted his audience would engage with linguistic complexity. Less established writers typically have less negotiating power, potentially leading to greater accommodation of editorial suggestions regarding vernacular moderation. This differential agency creates a literary field where vernacular freedom correlates with commercial success, potentially reinforcing market-oriented linguistic values even among writers committed to vernacular expression.

Ultimately, the economics of vernacular expression in Caribbean literature involve complex negotiations among multiple stakeholders with differing interests and power. Writers seek to preserve linguistic authenticity while reaching audiences; editors balance artistic integrity with market considerations; publishers navigate local and international markets with divergent linguistic expectations; and readers bring varying competences and preferences to vernacular texts. These negotiations produce published texts that represent compromises rather than pure artistic visions, with vernacular strategies shaped as much by material constraints as by aesthetic ideals. Understanding these publishing dynamics is essential for analyzing Caribbean literary language beyond textual analysis alone, connecting formal choices to the political economy of literary production. As digital technologies and shifting market configurations create new possibilities and constraints, these negotiations continue to evolve, requiring ongoing attention to how material conditions shape vernacular expression in Caribbean literature.

Digital Vernacular Spaces: Online Platforms as Sites of Linguistic Innovation

Digital platforms have emerged as significant sites for vernacular expression in Caribbean literature, potentially offering alternatives to traditional publishing constraints. Online magazines, social media writing, digital storytelling, and self-publishing platforms enable new forms of linguistic experimentation while reaching diverse audiences. However, as with traditional publishing, digital spaces involve their own economics, gatekeeping mechanisms, and linguistic ideologies that shape vernacular representation. This section examines how Caribbean writers utilize digital platforms for vernacular innovation, analyzing both the possibilities and limitations of online literary spaces for challenging linguistic hierarchies.

Online literary magazines focused on Caribbean writing, such as *Moko Magazine*, *The Caribbean Writer*, and *PREE*, provide important venues for vernacular expression with potentially greater editorial flexibility than print publications. These digital platforms typically operate with smaller budgets and different audience expectations than mainstream publishers, allowing for more adventurous linguistic choices. For instance, *PREE*, launched in 2018, explicitly aims to publish "Caribbean writing without translation," embracing vernacular complexity rather than seeking to explain or moderate it for international readers. This editorial stance represents a conscious challenge to the accommodation practices criticized by Ikheloa in traditional publishing (Ikheloa, 2015). However, digital magazines still face practical constraints: maintaining funding, building sustainable audiences, and navigating digital distribution challenges. Their reach may also be limited compared to traditional publishers, potentially confining extensive vernacular experimentation to niche audiences rather than mainstream literary recognition.

Social media platforms enable different forms of vernacular literary expression through micro-fiction, serialized storytelling, and interactive writing. Caribbean writers like Colin Robinson and Andre Badoo have used platforms like Twitter and Instagram to share vernacular poetry and short fiction that might not find publication in traditional venues. The character limitations of Twitter, for instance, encourage concise, impactful vernacular expression, while Instagram's visual-textual combinations enable innovative presentations of creole language. These platforms also facilitate direct reader engagement through comments and shares, creating immediate feedback loops that can influence vernacular choices. However, social media writing typically lacks the editorial support and quality control of traditional publishing, placing greater responsibility on writers for linguistic decisions. Additionally, platform algorithms that prioritize engagement may inadvertently favor certain types of vernacular expression over others, creating new forms of market pressure even in supposedly democratic digital spaces.

Digital storytelling and multimedia platforms offer additional possibilities for vernacular innovation by combining written text with audio, video, and interactive elements. Projects like the Bocas Lit Fest digital archives and the Caribbean Digital initiative experiment with presenting Caribbean literature in formats that incorporate oral performance, musical elements, and visual

representations of language. These multimedia approaches can capture dimensions of vernacular expression, intonation, rhythm, gesture, contextual cues, that resist translation into conventional written form. For writers working with creole languages that have strong oral traditions but less standardized orthography, digital multimedia potentially provides more flexible representation options than print alone. However, such projects require technical resources and skills that may not be equally distributed, potentially creating new digital divides in vernacular expression.

Self-publishing through platforms like Amazon Kindle Direct Publishing and Smashwords represents another digital avenue for Caribbean vernacular literature, allowing writers to bypass traditional gatekeepers entirely. This route offers maximal control over linguistic choices, enabling extensive vernacular experimentation without editorial mediation. Several Caribbean writers have successfully used self-publishing for vernacular-focused works that traditional publishers considered too linguistically challenging for broad markets. However, self-published works face significant discoverability challenges in crowded digital marketplaces, often requiring authors to become marketers as well as writers. Additionally, the absence of editorial review may result in inconsistent quality, potentially reinforcing stereotypes about vernacular literature as professionally inferior. The democratizing potential of self-publishing thus coexists with new forms of inequality based on marketing savvy and digital literacy.

The digital divide within Caribbean societies themselves creates limitations on who can participate in online vernacular spaces. Unequal internet access, varying digital literacy, and socioeconomic disparities influence which voices appear in digital literary forums and which remain marginalized. While digital platforms theoretically offer wider access than traditional publishing, practical barriers may reproduce existing inequalities along lines of class, education, geography, and age. Additionally, the linguistic norms of digital communication, often favoring concise, standardized expression, may implicitly disadvantage more complex vernacular styles. These constraints remind us that digital spaces, while potentially transformative, do not automatically overcome structural inequalities in linguistic representation.

Digital archives and preservation initiatives represent another important dimension of online vernacular spaces. Projects like the Digital Library of the Caribbean and the Caribbean Memory Project work to preserve historical and contemporary vernacular texts that might otherwise be lost. These digital archives provide crucial resources for writers seeking to engage with vernacular traditions and for scholars analyzing linguistic patterns across time. However, archival practices themselves involve linguistic ideologies, decisions about what constitutes "worth preserving," how to categorize vernacular materials, and what metadata to provide. The digitization of Caribbean vernacular literature thus involves not neutral preservation but active interpretation and valuation of linguistic forms.

Looking forward, emerging technologies like artificial intelligence and machine translation present both opportunities and challenges for Caribbean vernacular literature. AI-assisted translation tools could potentially increase accessibility of vernacular texts for international audiences, though they risk flattening linguistic nuance and cultural specificity. Conversely, AI tools trained on Caribbean vernacular corpora might help writers with editing and refinement while preserving linguistic authenticity. The development of such tools requires careful attention to whose language practices inform training data and what linguistic values become embedded in algorithms. As these technologies develop, Caribbean writers and scholars will need to engage critically with their implications for vernacular expression, advocating for tools that support rather than standardize linguistic diversity.

Ultimately, digital platforms offer significant but constrained possibilities for vernacular innovation in Caribbean literature. While they provide spaces for experimentation beyond traditional publishing limits, they also involve new forms of gatekeeping, inequality, and ideological pressure. The most promising approaches may combine digital and traditional publishing strategies, using online platforms for vernacular experimentation and community building while leveraging traditional publishing for broader distribution when possible. As digital technologies continue to evolve, Caribbean writers and publishers will need to navigate these hybrid landscapes, developing strategies that maximize vernacular expression while reaching diverse audiences. This ongoing negotiation will shape the future of Caribbean literary language, potentially creating new forms of creolization that blend digital and oral/written traditions in innovative ways.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This examination of creolization, language politics, and literary form in contemporary Caribbean texts reveals complex negotiations between linguistic sovereignty and global legibility. Caribbean writers deploy sophisticated vernacular strategies, orthographic innovation, narrative framing, structural engagement with orality, code-switching management, that simultaneously reflect historical processes of creolization and intervene in contemporary debates about language and power. These strategies exist within material constraints shaped by publishing economics, editorial practices, market expectations, and digital platform dynamics, requiring writers to navigate competing linguistic values and audiences. Through these negotiations, Caribbean literature transforms vernacular expression from marginal local color to central aesthetic practice and political assertion.

The Nigerian parallel illuminates how Caribbean vernacular politics participate in broader transatlantic patterns of postcolonial linguistic negotiation while retaining distinctive elements shaped by specific historical and linguistic circumstances. Comparative analysis reveals both shared structural constraints, particularly regarding global publishing markets, and different cultural resources available for vernacular assertion. This cross-regional perspective enriches understanding of vernacular strategies as both locally particular and globally connected, situated within what Yasmeen Narayan terms

"transtemporal" and "transcontinental" flows of cultural influence (Narayan, 2025). Such perspective encourages Caribbean literary studies to engage more fully with parallel debates in other postcolonial contexts while maintaining attention to regional specificities.

Digital platforms offer significant but constrained possibilities for vernacular innovation, potentially providing alternatives to traditional publishing limitations while introducing new forms of gatekeeping and inequality. The most promising developments may involve hybrid approaches that combine digital experimentation with strategic engagement with traditional publishing, using online spaces for vernacular exploration while leveraging established channels for broader distribution. As digital technologies evolve, Caribbean writers and publishers face the challenge of developing practices that maximize vernacular expression while reaching diverse audiences, potentially creating new forms of creolization that blend digital and oral/written traditions.

Theoretical implications of this research extend beyond Caribbean literary studies to broader conversations about linguistic decolonization, cultural sovereignty, and the politics of form. By examining how vernacular strategies operate through specific literary techniques, this analysis demonstrates how aesthetic form mediates political claims, translating abstract principles of linguistic sovereignty into concrete narrative practices. This mediation involves inevitable compromise and negotiation rather than pure resistance, reflecting the complex positioning of Caribbean literature within global cultural economies. Understanding these negotiations requires attention to both textual strategies and material conditions, connecting close reading with publishing history and reception analysis.

Future research directions suggested by this analysis include more detailed examination of specific vernacular strategies across different Caribbean linguistic contexts (Anglophone, Francophone, Hispanophone, Dutch); longitudinal study of how individual writers' vernacular approaches evolve across their careers in response to changing market positions; investigation of reader reception through empirical studies of how different audiences engage with vernacular texts; and comparative analysis of Caribbean vernacular politics with other postcolonial regions beyond Nigeria. Additionally, as digital platforms continue to evolve, research is needed on how emerging technologies like artificial intelligence and extended reality might create new possibilities and challenges for vernacular expression.

Ultimately, contemporary Caribbean literature demonstrates what might be termed a reparatory vernacular poetics, literary practices that engage with historical linguistic trauma not through nostalgic recovery but through creative transformation. This reparatory approach, extending Narayan's "reparatory theory of creolization" to literary language (Narayan, 2025), involves both recuperation of marginalized expressions and generation of new linguistic possibilities. It acknowledges the irreversible transformations of colonialism while asserting agency in shaping ongoing creolization processes. Through such reparatory poetics, Caribbean writers transform vernacular from colonial legacy

to creative resource, developing literary forms that reflect the region's complex linguistic history while imagining its possible futures.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so that further research is needed on the topic of Creolization, Language Politics, and Literary Form: A Critique of Caribbean Vernacular in order to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and writers.

REFERENCES

- Achebe, C. (1975). The African writer and the English language. In *Morning Yet on Creation Day* (pp. 55-62). Anchor Press.
- Adesanmi, P. (2012). *You're Not a Country, Africa: A Personal History of the African Present*. Penguin Global.
- Algeriani, A. A., & Mohadi, M. (2019). The House of Wisdom (Bayt al-Hikmah) and its civilizational impact on Islamic libraries: A historical perspective. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1-14.
- Armitage, D. (2012). What's the big idea? Intellectual history and the *longue durée*. *History of European Ideas*, 38(4), 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01916599.2012.714635>
- Benítez-Rojo, A. (2006). *The Repeating Island: The Caribbean and the Postmodern Perspective* (2nd ed.). Duke University Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and Symbolic Power*. Harvard University Press.
- Brathwaite, K. (1984). *History of the Voice: The Development of Nation Language in Anglophone Caribbean Poetry*. New Beacon Books.
- Brouillette, S. (2014). *Literature and the Creative Economy*. Stanford University Press.
- Butler, J. (2008). Sexual politics, torture, and secular time. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 59(1), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2007.00176.x>
- Dabashi, H. (2008). *Islamic Liberation Theology: Resisting the Empire*. Routledge.
- García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, Bilingualism and Education*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Garrett, P. B. (2007). Language socialization and the (re)production of bilingual subjectivities. In *Bilingualism: A Social Approach* (pp. 233-256). Palgrave Macmillan.

- Glissant, É. (1997). *Poetics of Relation*. University of Michigan Press.
- Glover, K. L. (2020). *Haiti Unbound: A Spiralist Challenge to the Postcolonial Canon*. Liverpool University Press.
- Gutas, D. (1998). *Greek Thought, Arabic Culture: The Graeco-Arabic Translation Movement in Baghdad and Early 'Abbāsīd Society (2nd-4th/8th-10th centuries)*. Routledge.
- Haddour, A. (2008). The empire writes back: Cultural translation and colonial reception. *Interventions*, 10(2), 203-215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698010802145270>
- Hall, C. (2018). Doing reparatory history: Bringing 'race' and slavery home. *Race & Class*, 60(1), 3-21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306396818769791>
- Ikheloa, I. R. (2015, September 15). Of African literature and the language and the politics of the stories. *Jalada Africa*. <https://jaladaafrica.org/2015/09/15/of-african-literature-and-the-language-and-the-politics-of-the-stories-by-ikheloa/>
- James, M. (2014). *A Brief History of Seven Killings*. Riverhead Books.
- Levine, C. (2015). *Forms: Whole, Rhythm, Hierarchy, Network*. Princeton University Press.
- Mamdani, M. (2013). *Define and Rule: Native as Political Identity*. Harvard University Press.
- Mamdani, M. (2020). *Neither Settler Nor Native: The Making and Unmaking of Permanent Minorities*. Harvard University Press.
- Meer, N. (2018). *Race and Anti-Racism*. Oxford University Press.
- Narayan, Y. (2025). Towards a reparatory theory of creolization. *Culture*, 15(2), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1515/culture-2025-0071>
- Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. (1986). *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. Heinemann.
- Puri, S. (2004). *The Caribbean Postcolonial: Social Equality, Post-Nationalism, and Cultural Hybridity*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tuhiwai Smith, L. (2012). *Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples (2nd ed.)*. Zed Books.
- Wolfe, P. (1982). Imperialism and history: A century of theory, from Marx to postcolonialism. *The American Historical Review*, 117(2), 318-347. <https://doi.org/10.1086/ahr.117.2.318>

Wynter, S. (1971). Novel and history, plot and plantation. *Savacou*, 5, 95-102.

Wynter, S. (2003). Unsettling the coloniality of being/power/truth/freedom: Towards the human, after man, its overrepresentation – An argument. *CR: The New Centennial Review*, 3(3), 257-337.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/ncr.2004.0015>

Zadeh, T. (2017). *The Vernacular Qur'an: Translation and the Rise of Persian Exegesis*. Oxford University Press.