Multitech Journal of Science and Technology (MJST)
Vol.3, No.3, 2026:305-336

Sustainable Palm Oil through the Triple Bottom Line Framework: Pathways to Community Welfare Transformation

Loso Judijanto
IPOSS Jakarta
Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Sustainable Palm Oil, Triple Bottom Line, Community Welfare Transformation, Smallholder Farmers, Certification Schemes, Social Sustainability, Environmental Conservation, Inclusive Development, Circular Economy, Resilience

Received : 2 January
Revised : 18 February
Accepted: 20 March

A B S T R A C T

The palm oil industry faces mounting pressure to reconcile economic productivity with environmental conservation and social equity. This qualitative literature review examines sustainable palm oil production through the Triple Bottom Line (3P) framework—Profit, People, Planet—and analyzes its role in transforming community welfare. Synthesizing 85 peer-reviewed articles and authoritative reports from 2020 to 2025, predominantly from Scopus and Web of Science databases, this study identifies critical thematic patterns linking sustainability practices to welfare outcomes. Findings reveal that sustainable intensification practices enhance smallholder productivity by 10-25% and increase incomes by 7-25%, thereby lifting millions out of poverty. However, welfare transformation remains heterogeneous and context-dependent. The People dimension demonstrates progress in labor rights, gender mainstreaming, and community empowerment, though implementation gaps persist. The Planet dimension shows certification schemes protecting 466,600 hectares of High Conservation Value forests, while circular economy innovations reduce greenhouse gas emissions by converting palm oil mill effluent into biogas. Integration of all three dimensions—through certification, inclusive business models, and multi-stakeholder governance—yields the most robust welfare-transformation pathways. The study emphasizes the heterogeneity among smallholders, necessitating differentiated interventions across five resilience classes. Critical barriers include certification costs, land tenure insecurity, gender inequality, and climate vulnerability

DOI: https://doi.org/10.59890/mjst.v3i3.185
E-ISSN: 3089-9966
https://slamultitechpublisher.my.id/index.php/mjst/index

INTRODUCTION

Background and Context

Palm oil (Elaeis guineensis) has emerged as the world's most consumed vegetable oil, accounting for approximately 40% of global vegetable oil production despite occupying only 10% of land devoted to oil crops. This exceptional land-use efficiency—yielding 3.8 tonnes of oil per hectare compared to 0.5 tonnes for soybean—positions palm oil as economically indispensable. Indonesia and Malaysia collectively produce 85% of the global palm oil supply, with Indonesia alone generating USD 27.76 billion in export revenue annually. The sector directly employs 8 million workers in Indonesia and nearly 1 million in Malaysia, supporting approximately 20 million livelihoods when indirect employment is included(AALI, 2024; IPOSS, 2026; Judijanto, 2026b).

Beyond its economic significance, palm oil plays a critical role in global food security and poverty alleviation. Studies show that palm oil cultivation has lifted 2.6 million rural Indonesians out of poverty this century, contributing to 25% higher household incomes for smallholder families than alternative crops. In palm-growing regions of Jambi Province, oil palm accounts for 70% of non-farm household income through multiplier effects. The commodity's affordability—priced significantly lower than soybean, rapeseed, and sunflower oils—makes it essential for low-income consumers globally, particularly in developing nations, where it serves as a pro-poor food source (IPOA, 2025; Judijanto, 2025f, 2025e).

However, this economic success story coexists with profound sustainability challenges. Palm oil expansion has been accused of being associated with large-scale deforestation, with the Indonesian-Malaysian palm oil sector accounting for approximately 1.4% of global net greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Between 2015 and 2022, industrial palm oil production in Indonesia emitted an average of 220 million tonnes of CO_2 equivalent annually, representing nearly one-fifth of Indonesia's total national emissions. Critically, 92% of these emissions originate from peatland subsidence and fires on drained peatlands, despite peatlands constituting only 14% of total plantation area. Potential biodiversity loss, habitat fragmentation threatening iconic species like Sumatran orangutans and tigers, and ecosystem degradation compound environmental concerns(Judijanto, 2025b, 2025c).

Social sustainability challenges further complicate the narrative. Some cases of labor rights violations, including child labor and gender-based discrimination, persist in parts of the industry. Women workers often remain invisible as "shadow workers" without formal employment contracts, receiving low or no wages as their labor is subsumed within husbands' compensation. Indigenous peoples and local communities face land conflicts, inadequate implementation of Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) protocols, and power asymmetries in negotiating with large companies. Land tenure insecurity affects millions of smallholders who lack formal documentation, constraining their access to credit, extension services, and certification programs (Veriasa et al., 2022a, 2022b).

The Triple Bottom Line Paradigm

In response to these multifaceted challenges, the concept of sustainable palm oil has gained prominence, operationalized through the Triple Bottom Line (3P) framework, which encompasses Profit (economic sustainability), People (social sustainability), and Planet (environmental sustainability). Originally conceptualized by John Elkington in 1994, the TBL paradigm shifts corporate accountability beyond financial performance to encompass social equity and environmental stewardship. In palm oil contexts, this translates to production systems that are economically viable for farmers and companies, socially just for workers and communities, and environmentally responsible in conserving ecosystems and mitigating climate change (Ayompe et al., 2025).

Certification schemes—particularly the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO), and Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO)—have emerged as primary governance mechanisms operationalizing the 3P framework. RSPO, established in 2004 as a multi-stakeholder platform, sets principles and criteria addressing all three dimensions, requiring members to commit to No Deforestation, No Peat, No Exploitation (NDPE) policies. As of 2024, RSPO certification has protected 466,600 hectares of High Conservation Value (HCV) and High Carbon Stock (HCS) forests since adopting these approaches. The 2024 RSPO Principles & Criteria and Independent Smallholder (ISH) Standard, adopted in November 2024, represent the latest evolution addressing identified gaps in gender equality, smallholder accessibility, and environmental protection (Bou Dib et al., 2018; RSPO, 2024a).

National certification schemes complement private governance. ISPO, mandatory for Indonesian producers since 2020, integrates sustainability into national regulatory frameworks, though debates persist regarding its stringency compared to RSPO. MSPO similarly mandates no deforestation with a cut-off date of December 31, 2019, and protects HCV areas and primary forests. These schemes create governance architecture for sustainable transformation, yet adoption rates remain limited—only 19% of global palm oil production is certified as sustainable (Judijanto, 2025g, 2025i; Muchlis et al., 2025).

Community Welfare Transformation

Central to sustainability discourse is the question of how palm oil production affects community welfare—the multidimensional well-being encompassing economic security, social equity, health, education, and environmental quality. Drawing on Amartya Sen's capabilities approach and the Sustainable Livelihoods Framework, welfare extends beyond income to encompass five capital assets: financial, human, natural, physical, and social. Transformation implies fundamental, sustained changes in these dimensions, enabling communities to escape poverty, build resilience, and exercise agency over their futures (Widjaja & Meylinda, 2021).

Recent scholarship documents substantial heterogeneity in welfare outcomes among palm oil smallholders. A 2024 study identified five distinct resilience classes based on asset endowments, with vulnerable groups (older, independent, low-skilled, socially isolated farmers) experiencing minimal benefits, while adaptive groups (younger, educated, well-connected, certified farmers) achieve significant welfare gains. This heterogeneity underscores that sustainable practices alone do not guarantee equitable welfare transformation; rather, differentiated interventions tailored to specific farmer contexts prove essential (Budiman et al., 2025).

Gender dimensions add further complexity. Women contribute substantially to palm oil production as field workers, processors, and managers, yet face systematic barriers including limited land rights, wage gaps, exclusion from decision-making, and vulnerability to sexual harassment. The 2024 RSPO standards mandate gender committees and gender-responsive policies, representing progress, yet implementation gaps remain between policy and practice (Martin et al., 2015; Vamuloh et al., 2020; Wadudu, 2025).

Research Objectives and Contribution

Despite burgeoning literature on sustainable palm oil, significant gaps persist. First, studies typically examine single dimensions (economic or environmental or social) rather than integrating all three 3P components, limiting holistic understanding. Second, quantitative analyses dominate, with insufficient qualitative exploration of transformation processes and lived experiences. Third, most research focuses on successful cases or certified producers, potentially overlooking excluded smallholders and marginalized groups. Fourth, rapid developments—including the 2024 RSPO standards revision, emerging digital traceability technologies, and evolving climate policies—necessitate updated synthesis.

This qualitative literature review addresses these gaps through four primary objectives:

1. To synthesize theoretical and conceptual foundations of sustainable palm oil, the 3P framework, and community welfare transformation, articulating linkages across these domains;
2. To identify thematic patterns in the nexus between sustainable palm oil practices (across Profit, People, Planet dimensions) and welfare transformation outcomes;
3. To analyze transformation pathways from conventional to sustainable systems, examining mechanisms, enablers, and barriers; and
4. To provide evidence-based policy recommendations for inclusive and sustainable palm oil development benefiting economic growth, social equity, and environmental conservation.

The study contributes to academic discourse by integrating the TBL framework with the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach in palm oil contexts, empirically mapping transformation pathways through a comprehensive literature synthesis, and identifying critical knowledge gaps. For policy and practice, it offers actionable recommendations for diverse stakeholders—governments, companies, certification bodies, civil society, and smallholder

organizations—grounded in systematic evidence review. Ultimately, this research aims to inform pathways toward truly sustainable and equitable palm oil systems that serve as engines of development while safeguarding the ecological foundations upon which prosperity depends.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptualizing Sustainable Palm Oil

Sustainability in palm oil contexts has evolved from a narrow focus on productivity maximization to the holistic integration of economic, social, and environmental dimensions. Contemporary definitions emphasize production systems that maintain long-term productivity while conserving natural capital, respecting human rights, and distributing benefits equitably. This shift reflects broader changes in the development paradigm, from growth-centric models to sustainable development that prioritizes intergenerational equity and planetary boundaries (Chang et al., 2025).

Certification standards operationalize sustainability through auditable criteria. RSPO's eight principles address: (1) ethical conduct and transparency; (2) legal compliance; (3) economic viability; (4) environmental responsibility; (5) HCV areas conservation; (6) social responsibility; (7) responsible new plantings; and (8) continuous improvement. The 2024 revisions strengthen requirements for gender equality, labor rights, traceability, and climate action, responding to stakeholder feedback and evolving global standards, including the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) (RSPO, 2024a, 2025a).

ISPO, mandatory since 2020 for Indonesian producers, embodies state-led governance integrating sustainability into national law. Comprising seven principles covering legality, environmental management, and social aspects, ISPO aims to enhance competitiveness while ensuring compliance. Critics note tensions between ISPO's developmental orientation, which prioritizes smallholder inclusion, and RSPO's more stringent environmental standards, though recent harmonization efforts aim to achieve convergence (Choiruzzad et al., 2021).

Sustainability encompasses temporal dimensions—transformation processes unfolding over years—and spatial scales from individual farms to jurisdictional landscapes. Jurisdictional approaches, gaining prominence in Indonesia, integrate sustainability into district-level spatial planning, complementing farm-level certification by addressing leakage effects and facilitating smallholder access to sustainable value chains. This multi-scalar governance recognizes that farm-level changes require enabling policy, market, and institutional environments (CIFOR-ICRAF, 2024; Judijanto, 2025j).

The Triple Bottom Line Framework

The TBL framework, articulated by Elkington in 1994) and popularized through corporate social responsibility discourse, reconceptualizes organizational success beyond shareholder profit to encompass stakeholder welfare and environmental stewardship. The three dimensions—economic (Profit), social (People), and environmental (Planet)—constitute interdependent

rather than competing objectives, with long-term viability requiring integration across all three (Loviscek, 2021; Rimmel, 2025).

Profit Dimension (Economic Sustainability): Economic viability ensures palm oil production remains financially attractive to farmers and companies, generating income, employment, and tax revenues. Indicators include productivity (tonnes fresh fruit bunches per hectare), profitability (net income per hectare), return on investment, and market access. Sustainable intensification—achieving higher yields on existing land through Good Agricultural Practices (GAP)—emerges as a critical pathway to reconciling economic and environmental goals by reducing pressure to expand land. Studies document that GAP adoption, including optimized fertilization, integrated pest management, and proper harvesting, increases yields by 10-25% and income by 7-20% (Kormin, 2022).

Certification creates market-based incentives through premium pricing and preferential access to sustainability-committed buyers, though evidence on the magnitude of premiums remains mixed. More consistently, certification improves productivity through capacity building and extension services accompanying the certification process (Herdiansyah & Mamola, 2025).

People Dimension (Social Sustainability): Social sustainability encompasses human rights, labor standards, community development, gender equality, and indigenous peoples' rights. Core components include (Muamar, 2024):

- Labor Rights: Prohibition of forced labor, child labor, and discrimination; ensuring safe working conditions, fair wages, and freedom of association (Fauzi, 2025).
- Gender Equality: Equitable treatment, equal pay, maternity protection, prevention of sexual harassment, and women's participation in decision-making(Proklamanto & Soetjipto, 2021).
- Community Development: Provision of infrastructure (roads, schools, health facilities), respect for customary rights, FPIC implementation, and benefit-sharing mechanisms (RSPO, 2024c).
- Inclusive Governance: Participation of affected communities in decisions impacting their livelihoods and environments(RSPO, 2025c).

The 2024 RSPO standards mandate gender committees in all certified operations, reflecting policy progress, though the quality of implementation varies. Gender-sensitive value chain analyses reveal persistent barriers that women face, including limited land ownership, restricted access to credit, and unequal wages, necessitating targeted interventions (RSPO, 2024a).

Planet Dimension (Environmental Sustainability): Environmental sustainability prioritizes ecosystem conservation, biodiversity protection, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and natural resource management. Key elements include(RSPO, 2024d):

- Deforestation Prevention: NDPE commitments prohibit clearing primary forests, HCV areas, and HCS forests. Despite progress, deforestation increased in 2022-2023 after a decade of decline, attributed to weakened enforcement and market pressures (Jong, 2024).

- Peatland Protection: RSPO prohibits new plantings on peat (post-November 2018), and best management practices mandate water table maintenance at 40-60 cm below surface to reduce emissions. Rewetting degraded peatlands within existing plantations reduces emissions by 34% without increasing methane emissions, offering natural climate solutions (Sari, 2024).
- Biodiversity Conservation: HCV-HCS integrated approach identifies and protects critical habitats, with RSPO having conserved 466,600 hectares as of 2023. Wildlife corridors, reforestation projects, and set-aside areas maintain ecological connectivity (MPOC, 2024).
- Circular Economy: Converting palm oil mill effluent (POME) to biogas captures methane, generates renewable energy, and reduces emissions. Co-digestion with empty fruit bunches (EFB) enhances methane production by 20-40%, thereby strengthening economic and environmental performance (Siagian et al., 2024).

Integration and Trade-offs: While synergies exist—efficient practices reducing costs and emissions simultaneously—tensions arise between dimensions. Short-term certification costs versus long-term benefits, local economic development versus global conservation goals, and inclusivity versus efficiency represent inherent trade-offs requiring governance mechanisms for negotiation and compromise. Multi-stakeholder platforms like RSPO enable dialogue, though power asymmetries between actors (large companies versus smallholders) necessitate safeguards ensuring marginalized voices are heard (Euractiv, 2025; Judijanto, 2025c).

Community Welfare Transformation

Welfare, conceptualized through the Sustainable Livelihoods Framework, comprises five capital assets enabling people to pursue livelihood strategies and achieve well-being outcomes. Financial capital (savings, access to credit), human capital (skills, education, health), natural capital (land, water, biodiversity), physical capital (infrastructure, tools), and social capital (networks, trust, organizations) constitute the asset base that determines livelihood resilience and transformation potential (Yagur-Kroll et al., 2025).

Transformation implies fundamental, sustained shifts in these assets and capabilities. In palm oil contexts, transformation pathways include:

1. Economic Upgrading: Transition from subsistence to commercial agriculture, productivity increases, income growth, asset accumulation, and livelihood diversification. Smallholders who adopt oil palm often experience a 25% increase in income compared to traditional crops such as rice and rubber (Budiman et al., 2025).
2. Social Empowerment: Organizational development through cooperatives and farmer associations, capacity building via training programs, enhanced participation in decision-making, and strengthened collective action. Gender committees empower women's voices and challenge patriarchal norms (Ageung et al., 2025).
3. Environmental Stewardship: Shifts from extractive to sustainable practices, conservation awareness and behaviors, ecosystem

restoration participation, and natural capital maintenance supporting long-term livelihoods (Novita et al., 2024).

4. Resilience Building: Diversifying income sources, accessing insurance and credit, adopting climate-smart practices, and strengthening social networks to buffer against shocks (Hendrawan et al., 2024).

Research identifies substantial heterogeneity among smallholders in transformation trajectories. A 2024 study categorized Indonesian smallholders into five resilience classes based on 44 indicators across five capital types. Vulnerable groups (Class 1: 22% of the sample) had low assets across all dimensions, whereas adaptive groups (Class 5: 18%) had high assets, enabling proactive responses to challenges. Middle classes (60% combined) showed mixed profiles, with some constrained by single asset deficits (e.g., strong social capital but weak financial capital). This heterogeneity necessitates differentiated policy interventions: vulnerable groups require intensive support to address multiple constraints, while adaptive groups can lead the diffusion of innovation (Hendrawan et al., 2024).

Gender dimensions critically shape welfare transformation. Women's roles in palm oil are substantial but systematically undervalued—often working as unpaid family labor or casual workers without contracts. Studies document that addressing gender inequality through equitable employment, land rights, access to credit and training, and prevention of harassment enhances not only women's welfare but also household and community well-being. Evidence suggests that gender-inclusive projects achieve higher productivity and sustainability outcomes, as women's participation strengthens social capital and community cohesion (Farrelly Mitchell, 2025).

METHODOLOGY

1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach to synthesize and analyze existing knowledge on sustainable palm oil through the 3P framework and its linkages to community welfare transformation. Qualitative literature reviews, distinct from systematic reviews in their flexibility and interpretive depth, enable comprehensive mapping of emerging research areas, identification of thematic patterns, and generation of conceptual insights. This approach is particularly appropriate for exploratory questions spanning multiple disciplines—economics, environmental science, sociology, development studies—and integrating diverse evidence types including empirical studies, conceptual papers, and policy analyses (Zarei, 2025).

The review follows established qualitative review principles: systematic literature search, transparent selection criteria, rigorous data extraction, and thematic synthesis. While not adhering to strict systematic review protocols (e.g., PRISMA), the methodology ensures replicability and rigor through documented processes and explicit analytical frameworks (Mak & Thomas, 2022).

2. Literature Search Strategy

Literature searches spanned January to December 2025, targeting publications from 2020-2025 to capture contemporary developments while including seminal earlier works. Multiple databases were queried: Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and specialized repositories (CIFOR, RSPO, WWF).

Search terms combined primary keywords ("sustainable palm oil," "3P," "triple bottom line," "community welfare," "transformation," "smallholder") with secondary terms ("RSPO," "ISPO," "certification," "biodiversity," "climate change," "gender," "poverty," "livelihoods"). Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) enabled comprehensive yet focused searches. For example: ("sustainable palm oil" OR "certification" OR "RSPO" OR "ISPO") AND ("welfare" OR "poverty" OR "income" OR "livelihoods") AND ("smallholder" OR "community").

Snowballing techniques supplemented database searches by following citations in key articles and reviewing reference lists to identify additional relevant sources. Grey literature from reputable organizations (RSPO Impact Reports, CIFOR policy briefs, World Bank analyses) provided practice-oriented evidence that complemented the academic literature.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria encompassed:

1. **Relevance:** Studies addressing sustainable palm oil, 3P dimensions (profit, people, planet), welfare/poverty/livelihoods, certification, or related topics
2. **Temporal scope:** Publications since 2020, with selective inclusion of seminal pre-2020 works
3. **Language:** English or Indonesian
4. **Quality:** Peer-reviewed articles, or reports from established institutions
5. **Document types:** Empirical research (quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods), conceptual/theoretical papers, policy analyses, systematic reviews

Exclusion criteria included:

1. Studies with minimal relevance to research objectives (e.g., purely technical agronomic studies without sustainability dimensions)
2. Publications before 2020, unless foundational works

Selection Process and Final Sample

Initial database searches yielded approximately 450 potentially relevant documents. Title and abstract screening reduced this to 180 for full-text assessment. After a detailed review applying inclusion/exclusion criteria, 85 sources constituted the final sample: 68 peer-reviewed journal articles (80%), 12 institutional reports (14%), and 5 policy documents (6%). Geographic distribution was dominated by Indonesia (58%) and Malaysia (28%), with smaller contributions from other producing regions (Cameroon, Colombia, and Mexico: 14% combined).

Disciplinary diversity characterized the sample: environmental science and sustainability studies (35%), agricultural economics and development (30%), sociology and anthropology (15%), policy and governance (12%), and interdisciplinary sustainability research (8%). This diversity-enriched analysis integrates multiple perspectives on complex sustainability challenges.

Data Extraction and Thematic Analysis

Data extraction employed a structured template capturing: bibliographic information (authors, year, journal, citations), study characteristics (geographic location, scale, research design, methods, sample size), key findings related to 3P dimensions and welfare outcomes, supporting evidence (quantitative data, qualitative quotes), and identified limitations or gaps.

Thematic analysis proceeded iteratively, combining deductive and inductive approaches. Deductive coding applied the 3P framework and Sustainable Livelihoods Framework as organizing structures, categorizing evidence by Profit, People, Planet dimensions and five capital assets. Inductive coding identified emergent themes not predetermined by frameworks—such as heterogeneity among smallholders, certification implementation gaps, and digital traceability innovations (Mak & Thomas, 2022).

Synthesis involved: (1) **within-theme analysis** examining patterns, consistencies, and contradictions within each theme; (2) **across-theme analysis** exploring linkages, synergies, and trade-offs among themes; and (3) **critical appraisal** assessing methodological quality, evidence strength, and limitations. Narrative synthesis structured the findings into coherent storylines that address the research objectives.

Limitations and Reflexivity

Several limitations merit acknowledgment. First, language constraints (English and Indonesian only) may exclude relevant studies in Malay, Spanish, or other languages. Second, publication bias likely skews the sample toward statistically significant findings and successful interventions, potentially underrepresenting null results or failed initiatives. Third, the rapidly evolving field means some very recent developments (e.g., 2025 publications) may be underrepresented due to indexing lags. Fourth, qualitative synthesis involves interpretive choices; different researchers might emphasize alternative themes or framings.

Researcher positionality shapes analysis. As sustainability researchers committed to equitable development, we approach palm oil with recognition of both its poverty-reduction contributions and its environmental costs. This dual awareness informs balanced assessment, avoiding simplistic vilification or uncritical promotion. Reflexivity requires continuous interrogation of assumptions, seeking disconfirming evidence, and acknowledging the complexity and uncertainty inherent in sustainability challenges.

Findings: Thematic Analysis of Sustainable Palm Oil and Welfare Transformation

1. Profit Dimension: Economic Sustainability and Viability

A. Productivity Enhancement and Income Generation

Sustainable intensification—achieving higher yields on existing land through improved practices—emerges as a central economic pathway. A 2024 systematic review of 33 Scopus-indexed studies found that precision agriculture, organic fertilization, agroforestry integration, and sustainability certification generate productivity gains of 10-25% for smallholders. Specific practices include optimized fertilizer application based on soil testing, integrated pest management reducing chemical inputs while maintaining yields, proper

harvesting timing to maximize oil content, and efficient water management (Ayompe et al., 2025; Chalil & Barus, 2020; Rahutomo et al., 2023).

Income effects accompany productivity gains. The same review documented 7-20% increases in income associated with the adoption of sustainable intensification. A 2025 study of smallholder farmers in Cameroon reported that implementing Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) yielded 10-20% gains in both yield and income. In Indonesia, palm oil adoption by smallholders (versus traditional crops) boosts household incomes by approximately 25%, with financial returns to land ten times higher than rice and returns to labor twenty times higher (IPOA, 2025; Judijanto, 2024, 2025d; Renner et al., 2024).

Certification-associated premiums provide additional economic incentives, though evidence remains mixed on magnitudes. Some certified smallholders report price premiums of 5-10%, while others receive no direct premium but benefit from assured market access and the technical support that accompanies certification. RSPO's 2024 Impact Report emphasizes that economic benefits derive less from premiums than from productivity improvements and market relationships developed through certification processes (Renner et al., 2024; RSPO, 2025a; Suhardjo & Suparman, 2025).

B. Poverty Alleviation and Economic Development

Aggregated impacts on poverty reduction are substantial. Palm oil cultivation has lifted an estimated 2.6 million rural Indonesians out of poverty this century, with palm plantation areas experiencing a 2.7% faster rate of poverty reduction than non-palm regions. In palm-growing districts, the contribution of oil palm to household income ranges from 63% to 78%, enabling higher expenditures on food (a 14% increase), education (31%- 39% higher), and non-food items (a 37% increase). School dropout rates decline in palm oil communities as households can afford to send children to secondary and tertiary education (IPOA, 2025; Judijanto, 2025e).

Multiplier effects extend beyond farmers. Palm oil accounts for 70% of non-farm household incomes in Jambi Province through backward linkages (input supply, transportation, services) and forward linkages (processing, trading). Regional economic transformation is evident: Pasangkayu Regency in West Sulawesi has evolved from an underdeveloped region into an economic powerhouse, with agriculture (dominated by palm oil) contributing 44.7% to the province's GDP growth in 2023. Infrastructure development—roads, schools, health clinics—accompanies plantation expansion, though sustainability and quality concerns arise regarding corporate-provided services (AALI, 2024; Judijanto, 2025e; Ningsih & Fitrisia, 2020).

C. Economic Tensions and Heterogeneity

Economic benefits are distributed unevenly. Certification costs range from USD 200 to 500 per farmer for group certification, excluding resource-poor smallholders. Even certified farmers exhibit heterogeneous outcomes based on farm size, location, market access, and household characteristics. Large independent smallholders (5-25 hectares) capture disproportionate benefits

compared to marginal holders (<2 hectares) (Judijanto, 2025g, 2025n; Veriasa et al., 2022a).

Price volatility creates vulnerability. Palm oil prices fluctuated significantly from 2020 to 2024, with COVID-19 disruptions, Indonesia's export restrictions, and shifts in biofuel demand causing instability. Smallholders lacking storage facilities and market information face exploitation by middlemen who offer below-market prices, undermining their economic viability (Reich et al., 2025; Sharma, 2025).

2. People Dimension: Social Sustainability and Welfare

A. Employment and Labor Rights

Palm oil directly employs 8 million workers in Indonesia and 950,000 in Malaysia, with total employment including indirect jobs exceeding 20 million. Year-round harvesting cycles provide continuous income, in contrast to seasonal crops. However, employment quality varies dramatically. Permanent workers in certified estates typically receive benefits (health insurance, housing, pensions), while casual laborers—often women—face informal arrangements, below-minimum wages, and absence of social protection (Martial et al., 2024; Muamar, 2024; Sayaza & Stephanie, 2025).

RSPO and ISPO standards address labor rights through requirements prohibiting forced labor, child labor, and discrimination; ensuring safe working conditions; and respecting freedom of association. The 2024 RSPO revisions strengthen enforcement mechanisms and grievance procedures. Implementation monitoring reveals progress: documented cases of forced labor and child labor declined in certified operations, though violations persist in uncertified supply chains. Occupational health and safety improvements include the provision of protective equipment, first aid training, and reduced pesticide exposure through integrated pest management (Chrisendo et al., 2020; RSPO, 2025a; Sibhatu, 2023).

B. Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Gender inequality remains a critical challenge. Women constitute a significant proportion of the palm oil labor force but often work as "shadow workers"—unregistered family members assisting their husbands without formal employment contracts or independent wages. A 2025 study of Indonesian palm oil plantations found that women's earnings typically are combined with husbands' pay, rendering women's contributions invisible. Wage gaps persist even where women hold formal positions, with women earning 15-25% less than men for comparable work (Anwar & Adianto, 2020; Farrelly Mitchell, 2025; Judijanto, 2025l).

The 2024 RSPO standards mandate gender committees in all certified operations, providing platforms for women's voices, grievance reporting, and participation in decision-making. Evidence from companies implementing gender committees shows positive outcomes: increased female participation in training programs, improved working conditions (e.g., menstrual leave, childcare facilities), and greater representation in leadership positions, including on cooperative boards. However, implementation quality varies, with some committees functioning symbolically rather than substantively empowering (Fauzi, 2025; Judijanto, 2026a).

Gender-sensitive value chain analyses reveal systematic barriers women face: limited land ownership (90% of palm land registered to men), restricted credit access (women securing only 30% of agricultural loans), exclusion from technical training, and vulnerability to sexual harassment. Addressing these barriers requires integrated interventions: legal reforms that ensure joint land titling, financial products tailored to women, safe working environments with anti-harassment policies, and capacity-building specifically targeting women (Proklamanto & Soetjipto, 2021; Tabe-Ojong & Molua, 2024).

C. Community Development and Indigenous Peoples' Rights

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs accompanying plantations provide infrastructure and services—roads, schools, health clinics, and clean water—that benefit communities. Social Impact Assessments (SIA), required under certification standards, identify community needs and inform benefit-sharing agreements. However, concerns arise regarding service sustainability (dependency on companies), quality (substandard infrastructure), and accountability (limited community input in design and management) (Arhian et al., 2023; Paoli et al., 2020; RSPO, 2024c; Soleha, 2022).

Indigenous peoples and local communities rights represent contentious terrain. Free, Prior, Informed Consent (FPIC), mandated by RSPO since 2013, requires companies to obtain community consent before acquiring land or developing operations. Implementation faces challenges: power asymmetries between companies and communities, information asymmetries hindering genuinely informed consent, manipulation through elite capture, and inadequate grievance redress when conflicts arise. Positive examples exist in which participatory mapping, transparent negotiations, and benefit-sharing mechanisms (equity stakes, employment preferences, infrastructure investments) create mutually beneficial arrangements, but these remain exceptions rather than the norm (Ahmed & Gasparatos, 2020; Basnett et al., 2017; Ikhsan et al., 2025; Wa Kuasa Baka et al., 2023).

3. Planet Dimension: Environmental Sustainability

A. Deforestation and Biodiversity Conservation

Palm oil expansion historically drove substantial deforestation. From 1990 to 2010, Indonesia lost 24 million hectares of forest, with palm oil implicated in significant proportions. Zero-deforestation commitments (NDPE policies) by major producers, traders, and buyers, combined with RSPO's prohibition on clearing primary forests, HCV areas, and HCS forests post-2018, contributed to a decade of declining deforestation rates. However, deforestation increased in 2022-2023, attributed to weakened enforcement, the exclusion of smallholders from sustainability initiatives, and economic pressures following COVID-19 (Abu-Bakar et al., 2026; Kurniawan et al., 2025; Mohd-Azlan et al., 2023).

Biodiversity impacts are severe in landscapes lacking conservation planning. Studies document a 50-90% decline in species richness in converted areas compared to primary forests. Flagship species—Sumatran tigers, orangutans, and elephants—face the risk of extinction from habitat loss and fragmentation. However, integrated landscape approaches show promise. HCV-HCS frameworks identify critical habitats for protection, with RSPO having

conserved 466,600 hectares as of 2023. Wildlife corridors connecting forest patches enable species movement, and projects in Malaysia are planting fig species to support diverse wildlife. Set-aside areas within plantations maintain some biodiversity, though species composition shifts toward generalists (Dröge et al., 2024; Hamidun et al., 2025; Scriven et al., 2026; Tarigan et al., 2021).

B. Climate Change: Emissions and Mitigation

Palm oil's climate footprint is substantial, with Indonesian-Malaysian production emitting 220 million tonnes CO_2e annually, representing nearly one-fifth of Indonesia's total national emissions. Critically, 92% of emissions originate from peatlands despite constituting only 14% of the plantation area. Drained peatlands decompose rapidly, releasing stored carbon, and fires on dried peat generate massive smoke clouds that affect regional air quality and human health (Hatano, 2025; Judijanto, 2026c; Setiyanto, 2024).

Peatland management innovations offer mitigation pathways. A 2024 study published in *Science of the Total Environment* documented that rewetting degraded peatlands in existing oil palm plantations—through canal blocking and water table management—reduces carbon dioxide emissions by 34% without increasing methane emissions. This finding challenges assumptions that peatland rewetting inevitably increases methane emissions, suggesting that rewetted palm plantations can contribute 13% to Indonesia's natural climate solutions mitigation potential. RSPO's prohibition on new peat planting (post-November 2018) prevents further peatland conversion, though 2.2 million hectares of existing plantations on peat require ongoing management (Imanudin et al., 2021; Judijanto, 2026d; Novita et al., 2024).

C. Circular Economy: Waste Valorization and Renewable Energy

Palm oil mills generate substantial waste streams—empty fruit bunches (EFB), palm kernel shells, palm oil mill effluent (POME)—traditionally disposed of via open pond systems, creating methane emissions. Circular economy approaches convert these wastes into valuable products and renewable energy, creating economic and environmental synergies (Judijanto, 2025k; Siagian et al., 2024).

POME biogas projects capture methane for electricity generation. A mill processing 60 tonnes per hour can generate 21 million kWh annually from POME biogas, sufficient to power mill operations and sell surplus to the grid. Economic analyses demonstrate profitability even without carbon credits, with payback periods of 3-5 years. Co-digestion of POME with EFB increases methane production by 20-40%, optimizes carbon-to-nitrogen ratios, and yields biofertilizer in the form of digestate, thereby creating integrated waste management systems (Chin et al., 2013; Judijanto, 2025m, 2025a).

Additional valorization pathways include: EFB conversion to biochar for soil amendments or activated carbon for industrial applications; palm kernel shells as biomass fuel or construction materials; and bio-CNG production from upgraded biogas for vehicle fuel. Malaysia's National Key Economic Areas initiative aims to establish biogas facilities in all palm oil mills by 2020, while Indonesia incentivizes renewable energy through feed-in tariffs. However, policy implementation remains incomplete (Judijanto, 2025a, 2025h; Sagala et al., 2023, 2025).

4. Integration and Transformation Pathways

A. Certification as a Transformation Mechanism

Certification schemes operationalize integrated 3P approaches through auditable standards, third-party verification, and continuous improvement requirements. The 2024 RSPO Independent Smallholder Standard, adopted November 2024, simplifies requirements and reduces costs for smallholder group certification, addressing long-standing accessibility critiques. Innovations include greater responsibility for group managers (reducing the burden on individual farmers), streamlined documentation, and integration with support mechanisms such as the RSPO Smallholder Support Fund and the Smallholder Trainer Academy (Habibie & Darwin, 2023; RSPO, 2025b; Suhardjo & Suparman, 2025).

Documented impacts of certification on welfare transformation include: 14-25% income increases for certified smallholders through productivity gains and market access; improved labor conditions, including reduced forced and child labor, enhanced occupational safety, and strengthened grievance mechanisms; and environmental conservation protecting 466,600 hectares of HCV-HCS forests. However, critical limitations persist: only 19% of global palm oil is certified, indicating limited reach; costs remain prohibitive for the poorest smallholders despite simplification efforts; audit quality variability undermines credibility; and "greenwashing" concerns arise when certification substitutes for substantive transformation (Aziz et al., 2021; Hadi et al., 2024; Hirbli, 2024; Yusuf et al., 2021).

B. Inclusive Business Models and Digital Innovation

Inclusive closed-loop models connecting smallholders with companies, banks, and government agencies demonstrate potential for holistic transformation. These models provide comprehensive support: subsidized inputs, technical training, financing through credit cooperatives, and guaranteed market access. Documented outcomes include 40-76% productivity increases and 50-200% income gains, alongside improved farm management practices and environmental stewardship. Replicability requires enabling conditions: supportive policies, committed private partners, accessible finance, and functional farmer organizations (AALI, 2020; Raisa et al., 2024; Tambi et al., 2021).

Digital innovations enhance traceability and inclusion. Blockchain-based platforms provide transparent, immutable records of transactions from plantation to product, addressing EUDR compliance requirements and consumer trust concerns. The WWF-Indonesia Hamurni application uses geolocation to trace fresh fruit bunches from independent smallholders to mills, thereby integrating smallholders into certified supply chains that were previously dominated by large plantations. Satellite monitoring using AI and remote sensing detects deforestation in near-real time, enabling intervention before violations occur. However, technology adoption faces barriers: digital literacy gaps among older smallholders, infrastructure limitations (connectivity, smartphone access), and data privacy concerns (Ann et al., 2025; Hariyadi et al., 2021; Judijanto, 2025k; Lim et al., 2021; Unilever, 2024).

*C. **Resilience and Heterogeneity***

Welfare transformation outcomes depend critically on smallholder resilience—the capacity to withstand shocks (price volatility, climate variability, pests) and adapt proactively. A comprehensive 2024 study assessing 44 indicators across five capital dimensions identified five resilience classes among Indonesian smallholders (Hendrawan et al., 2024):

- **Class 1 (22%): Vulnerable** – Low assets across all dimensions; elderly, independent, low education, socially isolated; minimal income and high vulnerability
- **Class 2 (18%): Financially Constrained** – Moderate human and social capital but weak financial capital; middle-aged, cooperative members, limited savings and credit access
- **Class 3 (25%): Socially Isolated** – Adequate financial and physical capital but weak social capital; independent operators, limited cooperation, moderate income
- **Class 4 (17%): Emerging Adaptive** – Moderately high assets but inconsistent; younger, some education, nascent organizational involvement
- **Class 5 (18%): Adaptive** – High assets across dimensions; young, educated, cooperative leaders, diversified income, strong networks, certified

This heterogeneity necessitates differentiated interventions. Vulnerable smallholders require intensive, multi-dimensional support: land tenure security, accessible credit, basic technical training, and social capital building through group formation. Adaptive smallholders can drive innovation as early adopters and peer trainers. Middle classes benefit from targeted assistance addressing specific constraints (e.g., financial products for Class 2, cooperative facilitation for Class 3) (Hendrawan et al., 2024).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Integrated 3P Framework: Synergies and Trade-offs

Evidence demonstrates that sustainable palm oil requires simultaneous attention to all three dimensions—Profit, People, Planet. Synergies abound: efficient resource use reduces costs and environmental impacts; conservation areas provide ecosystem services (pollination, water regulation) supporting productivity; social equity enhances community cooperation and compliance. The most successful transformations integrate dimensions holistically, exemplified by certified operations implementing biogas facilities (Planet), generating renewable energy revenue (Profit), and creating green jobs (People) (MPOC, 2024).

However, tensions arise. Short-term certification costs may deter resource-poor smallholders despite long-term benefits, creating equity concerns. Zero-deforestation commitments protecting the planet may constrain economic opportunities for communities dependent on forest conversion, necessitating alternative livelihood support. Balancing local economic development with global environmental goals requires governance mechanisms enabling negotiation, compromise, and equitable burden-sharing (CIFOR-ICRAF, 2024).

Context matters profoundly. Indonesia's mandatory ISPO emphasizes smallholder inclusion and economic development, reflecting state priorities for poverty reduction, while RSPO's voluntary, market-driven approach prioritizes environmental stringency and appeals to international buyers. Malaysia's MSPO balances national sovereignty with export competitiveness. These divergent governance models reflect different political economies, stakeholder configurations, and development trajectories, underscoring that no universal blueprint exists—adaptations to local contexts prove essential (Astari et al., 2025).

2. Transformation Pathways: Enablers and Barriers

Welfare transformation unfolds through multiple interconnected and mutually reinforcing pathways—economic upgrading, social empowerment, environmental stewardship, and resilience building. Economic upgrading without social equity risks elite capture and marginalization; environmental conservation without livelihood support breeds resentment and non-compliance; social empowerment without economic viability remains fragile (Herdiansyah & Mamola, 2025).

Key Enablers include: (1) Secure land tenure enabling long-term investment and credit access; (2) Accessible, affordable certification pathways like the simplified 2024 RSPO ISH Standard; (3) Inclusive business models providing comprehensive support; (4) Functional smallholder organizations (cooperatives, associations) enabling collective action; (5) Gender-responsive policies empowering women; (6) Policy coherence aligning ISPO, SDG commitments, and climate targets; (7) Multi-stakeholder governance platforms facilitating dialogue and trust-building; and (8) Digital technologies enhancing traceability and transparency (Pasaribu, 2025).

Persistent Barriers comprise: (1) Certification costs excluding poorest smallholders; (2) Land tenure insecurity affecting millions; (3) Gender inequality and women's exclusion; (4) Limited extension services and technical capacity; (5) Market power asymmetries enabling middleman exploitation; (6) Weak enforcement of regulations and standards; (7) Climate change impacts reducing productivity and increasing vulnerability; and (8) Slow consumer uptake of certified sustainable palm oil limiting demand-side pull (Chang et al., 2025).

3. Policy Implications and Recommendations

1. Integrated Policy Frameworks

National policies must align ISPO, SDG commitments, nationally determined contributions (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement, and spatial planning into coherent strategies. Indonesia's 2024 sustainable palm oil policy integrates production enhancement, environmental protection, and social welfare, representing progress though implementation gaps remain. Jurisdictional approaches implementing sustainability at district levels complement farm-level certification by addressing landscape-scale challenges like deforestation, leakage, and smallholder inclusion. Subnational governments play crucial roles as intermediaries between national policies and local realities(Astari et al., 2025).

2. Smallholder-Centric Interventions

Given heterogeneity, differentiated support proves essential. Recommendations include (Budiman et al., 2025):

- Land Tenure Security: Accelerate land documentation programs (TORA, Perhutanan Sosial), simplify titling procedures, recognize customary rights, and enable joint land registration for spouses(Veriasa et al., 2022a).
- Financial Inclusion: Subsidize certification for the poorest smallholders, develop credit products with favorable terms, establish insurance schemes managing price and weather risks, and pilot payment for ecosystem services (PES) providing income from conservation (AALI, 2020).
- Technical Capacity Building: Expand extension services, establish farmer field schools, leverage peer-to-peer learning through lead farmers, and use digital platforms to disseminate best practices (Ayompe et al., 2025).
- Organizational Strengthening: Support cooperative formation and governance, provide management training, facilitate market linkages, and integrate women and youth into leadership (Ageung et al., 2025).

3. Gender Mainstreaming and Social Inclusion

Mandatory gender committees in all certified operations, though positive, require strengthening through capacity building for committee members, adequate resources for activities, integration into grievance mechanisms, and monitoring of outcomes. Broader transformations necessitate legal reforms that ensure women's land rights, equitable wages through enforcement of minimum wage laws, prevention of sexual harassment through safe complaint mechanisms, and women's inclusion in training and leadership development (RSPO, 2025c).

Indigenous peoples and local communities require robust FPIC implementation—participatory mapping, culturally appropriate consultation, transparent benefit-sharing, and accessible grievance redress. Precedent cases demonstrating equitable partnerships should inform broader practice (RSPO, 2024b).

4. Environmental Conservation and Climate Action

NDPE enforcement must be strengthened through: transparent monitoring using satellite technology, sanctions for violations, incentives for compliance (preferential finance, market access), and support for smallholders meeting requirements. Peatland management should prioritize a moratorium on new peat plantations, mandatory rewetting in existing plantations, financial support for emission reductions, and integration into carbon credit schemes (Sari, 2024).

Circular economy investments—biogas facilities, EFB utilization, POME treatment—merit policy support through feed-in tariffs to ensure economic viability, technical assistance for technology adoption, access to carbon credits, and regulatory frameworks that streamline grid connection (Setiawan et al., 2025).

5. Governance and Accountability

Multi-stakeholder platforms require strengthening to ensure inclusive participation, transparent decision-making, and equitable power distribution. Private governance (RSPO) and public regulation (ISPO) should converge

through mutual recognition, harmonized standards, and joint monitoring. Financial institutions must integrate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria into lending decisions, avoid financing deforestation, and preferentially support sustainable producers(Choiruzzad et al., 2021).

Digital traceability using blockchain, satellite monitoring, and IoT can enhance transparency, though attention to smallholder accessibility, data privacy, and the avoidance of exclusionary technological barriers is critical (Awwaaba, 2025).

4. Limitations and Future Research Directions

This review's limitations suggest future research priorities. Methodologically, the predominance of cross-sectional studies limits understanding of transformation dynamics over time; longitudinal studies that track smallholders over years would illuminate causal mechanisms and temporal processes. Selection bias toward successful cases and certified producers necessitates research on excluded smallholders and failed interventions to understand barriers holistically (Hendrawan et al., 2024).

Thematically, gender and marginalized groups remain underrepresented despite recognized importance; in-depth qualitative studies exploring women's lived experiences, indigenous perspectives, and youth aspirations would enrich understanding. Climate change impacts on palm oil productivity and adaptation strategies require urgent attention, given the accelerating warming. Jurisdictional approaches, emerging as a policy frontier, need rigorous evaluation of their effectiveness and scalability (CIFOR-ICRAF, 2024).

Theoretically, while this review integrates TBL with the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach, further conceptual development could refine frameworks specific to palm oil contexts, potentially incorporating political economy dimensions, power relations, and structural constraints. Meta-analyses quantitatively synthesizing effect sizes across studies would complement qualitative synthesis, though challenges include heterogeneity in outcome measures and contexts (Astari et al., 2025).

Geographically, research concentrates on Indonesia and Malaysia; evidence from other producers (West Africa, Latin America) remains limited. Comparative studies across regions would illuminate how different agroecological conditions, political systems, and market contexts shape transformation trajectories (Ayompe et al., 2025).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This qualitative literature review comprehensively synthesizes evidence on sustainable palm oil, using the Triple Bottom Line framework, and its role in transforming community welfare. Based on analysis of 85 peer-reviewed studies and authoritative reports since 2020, several substantive conclusions emerge.

First, sustainable palm oil production that integrates the Profit, People, and Planet dimensions is achievable and imperative. Evidence documents substantial economic benefits—10-25% productivity increases, 7-25% income gains, 2.6 million Indonesians lifted from poverty—demonstrating palm oil's poverty-reduction potential. Simultaneously, certification schemes have protected 466,600 hectares of critical ecosystems, while circular economy innovations

reduce greenhouse gas emissions by converting waste into renewable energy. Social progress—improved labor conditions, gender mainstreaming through mandatory committees, community development—shows that economic growth, environmental conservation, and social equity can coexist. However, achieving this integration requires intentional governance.

Second, welfare transformation is real but uneven. Transformation outcomes are heterogeneous, with five distinct smallholder resilience classes experiencing vastly different trajectories. Vulnerable groups (22% of smallholders) with low levels of assets across the financial, human, natural, physical, and social capital dimensions require intensive, multi-dimensional support, while adaptive groups (18%) with high levels of assets drive innovation and serve as models. Failure to address this heterogeneity through differentiated interventions risks exacerbating inequality, with sustainability initiatives benefiting already-advantaged farmers while excluding the poorest.

Third, certification serves as a crucial but insufficient tool for transformation. RSPO, ISPO, and MSPO provide essential frameworks, standards, and verification mechanisms operationalizing sustainability. Documented positive impacts—income increases, labor rights improvements, forest conservation—validate certification's role. However, only 19% of global palm oil is certified, indicating limited reach. Costs and complexity exclude resource-poor smallholders, slow consumer adoption limits market pull, audit quality varies, and greenwashing concerns persist. The 2024 RSPO ISH Standard simplifies requirements and reduces costs, representing progress, yet certification alone cannot drive systemic transformation without complementary policy support, inclusive business models, capacity building, and market development.

Fourth, gender equality and social inclusion remain critical frontiers. Women constitute substantial proportions of the palm oil labor force, yet face systematic discrimination—invisible as shadow workers, earning lower wages, excluded from land ownership and decision-making, vulnerable to harassment. The 2024 RSPO standards mandate gender committees and positive policy progress that must be matched by rigorous implementation and broader structural reforms, including legal recognition of women's land rights, equitable wages, safe working environments, and women's participation in leadership. Similarly, indigenous peoples and local communities require robust FPIC implementation, land tenure security, and equitable benefit-sharing to ensure palm oil development respects rights rather than perpetuating marginalization.

Fifth, climate change presents both threat and opportunity. Palm oil currently contributes 220 million tonnes CO_2e annually, nearly one-fifth of Indonesia's national emissions, with 92% from peatlands. Urgent action—zero deforestation enforcement, peatland rewetting, a 34% emissions reduction, and circular-economy biogas projects—can transform the sector from a climate problem to a climate solution. However, climate change simultaneously threatens sector productivity through rising temperatures, rainfall variability, and pest pressures, necessitating adaptation strategies such as drought-resistant varieties, water management, and diversification.

Sixth, digital innovations offer transformative potential. Blockchain-based traceability platforms, AI-driven satellite monitoring, mobile applications that connect smallholders to supply chains, and geospatial mapping for land documentation enhance transparency, support EUDR compliance, and facilitate smallholder inclusion. However, technology is not a panacea; digital divides, infrastructure gaps, and data privacy concerns necessitate careful, inclusive implementation to ensure technology empowers rather than excludes vulnerable groups.

Policy Recommendations emphasize: (1) Integrated policy frameworks aligning ISPO, SDG commitments, and climate targets with jurisdictional approaches implementing sustainability at landscape scales; (2) Smallholder-centric support through subsidized certification, land tenure security, accessible finance, technical training, and organizational strengthening tailored to heterogeneous needs; (3) Mandatory gender mainstreaming and social inclusion with robust implementation of gender committees, women's land rights, FPIC for indigenous peoples, and grievance mechanisms; (4) Environmental imperatives including NDPE enforcement using satellite monitoring, peatland rewetting for emission reductions, and circular economy investments in biogas and waste valorization; and (5) Multi-stakeholder governance strengthening platforms for inclusive dialogue, converging public and private standards, integrating ESG into finance, and leveraging digital traceability while ensuring accessibility.

Future research directions include: longitudinal studies tracking transformation over time; in-depth qualitative research exploring lived experiences of marginalized groups; evaluations of jurisdictional approaches and digital technologies; comparative analyses across producing regions; and meta-analyses quantitatively synthesizing effect sizes.

Ultimately, sustainable palm oil and community welfare are not competing goals but mutually reinforcing imperatives. The Triple Bottom Line framework provides a robust paradigm for envisioning and operationalizing this integration. Evidence synthesized in this review demonstrates that palm oil can be—indeed must be—both an engine of poverty reduction and economic development, and a model of environmental stewardship and social justice. The transformation is underway but incomplete. Achieving truly sustainable and equitable palm oil systems requires collective action by governments implementing coherent policies, companies adopting and enforcing NDPE commitments, certification bodies strengthening standards and accessibility, civil society maintaining vigilance and advocacy, financial institutions channeling capital toward sustainability, and smallholder organizations building collective power. The choices made now will determine whether palm oil becomes a beacon of integrated sustainability or remains mired in controversy. The path forward is clear; the political will must follow.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so further research is needed on the topic of Sustainable Palm Oil through the Triple Bottom Line Framework: Pathways to Community Welfare Transformation to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and writers.

REFERENCES

AALI. (2020). Inclusive Closed-Loop Model Key to Improve Oil Palm Smallholders' Productivity and Livelihood: Kadin. Astra Agro Lestari News. https://www.astra-agro.co.id/2020/12/05/inclusive-closed-loop-model-key-to-improve-oil-palm-smallholders-productivity-and-livelihood-kadin/

AALI. (2024). Palm Oil Industry Transforms Pasangkayu into West Sulawesi's Economic Powerhouse. Astra Agro Lestari News. https://www.astra-agro.co.id/2024/05/16/palm-oil-industry-transforms-pasangkayu-into-west-sulawesis-economic-powerhouse/

Abu-Bakar, M. A. A., Mustafa, N., Mahmud, H., Kasim, A. S., Ramli, Z., Afni, S. N., Abidin, K. Z., Abdul-Patah, P., Azhar, B., Zamzuri, Z. H., & Mohd-Taib, F. S. (2026). Contributions of High Conservation Value Forests (HCVFs) on mammal diversity in heterogeneous oil palm landscapes. Global Ecology and Conservation, 65, e04047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2025.e04047

Ageung, I. V., Uwin, S., Handyman, Z. P. D., Ayuningdyah, N., Hashim, D., & Jevona, B. B. H. (2025). Inclusive Smallholders Program: Realizing a Sustainable Palm Oil Supply Chain. Inovasi Digital: Inclusive Smallholders Program. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/inclusive-smallholders-program-realizing-sustainable-palm-huprc/

Ahmed, A., & Gasparatos, A. (2020). Multi-dimensional energy poverty patterns around industrial crop projects in Ghana: Enhancing the energy poverty alleviation potential of rural development strategies. Energy Policy, 137, 111123. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.111123

Ann, Y. J., Ishak, Z., Zolkafly, A. S., Samsamnun, F. S. M., Meng, G. C., & Jamil, N. S. (2025). A Predictive Readiness Model for Blockchain Adoption in the Malaysian Palm Oil Industry. 2025 6th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Sciences: From Insights To Impact: Leveraging AI And Data Science For Strategic Decisions, AiDAS 2025 - Conference Proceedings, 204–209. https://doi.org/10.1109/AiDAS67696.2025.11213858

Anwar, K., & Adianto, A. (2020). Politik pemberdayaan kelompok tani sawit swadaya di Kampung Sialang Palas, kecamatan Lubuk Dalam, Siak. Unri Conference Series: Community Engagement, 2. https://doi.org/10.31258/unricsce.2.409-415

Arhian, V. S., Murti B S, S. H., & Baliarti, E. (2023). CSR implementation of palm oil plantations through the integrated farming system program in empowering local farmer groups. BIO Web of Conferences, 81. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20238100011

Astari, A. J., Lovett, J. C., & Wasesa, M. (2025). Sustainable pathways in Indonesia's palm oil industry through historical institutionalism. World Development Sustainability, 6, 100200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wds.2024.100200

Awwaaba, A. (2025). WWF-Indonesia Encourages Digitalization of Traceability of Sustainable Palm Oil Industry through Hamurni Application. WWW Indonesia. https://www.wwf.id/en/blog/wwf-indonesia-encourages-digitalization-traceability-sustainable-palm-oil-industry-through

Ayompe, L. M., Nkongho, R. N., Acobta, A. N., Masso, C., & Egoh, B. N. (2025). Transforming palm oil production: sustainable techniques and waste management strategies for Cameroon's smallholder farmers. Frontiers Sustainable Food System, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1606323

Aziz, N. F., Chamhuri, N., & Batt, P. J. (2021). Barriers and benefits arising from the adoption of sustainable certification for smallholder oil palm producers in Malaysia: A systematic review of literature. Sustainability, 13(18), 10009. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810009

Basnett, B. S., Gnych, S., & Anandi, C. A. M. (2017). Transforming the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil for greater gender equality and women's empowerment. In CIFOR Brief. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR). https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/006383

Bou Dib, J., Alamsyah, Z., & Qaim, M. (2018). Land-use change and income inequality in rural Indonesia. Forest Policy and Economics, 94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.06.010

Budiman, F., Idris, I., & Aimon, H. (2025). Sustainable intensification in oil palm smallholdings: Global insights into productivity and welfare gains. International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies, 8(3), 2036–2051. https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i3.6942

Chalil, D., & Barus, R. (2020). Is certification an effective tool to improve smallholdings' performance? E3S Web of Conferences, 211, 05004. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202021105004

Chang, S. K., Temesi, Á., & Ridoutt, B. (2025). Editorial: From palm to plate, from awareness to action – toward sustainable palm oil supply chains and consumption. Frontiers in Nutrition, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2025.1671825

Chin, M. J., Poh, P. E., Tey, B. T., Chan, E. S., & Chin, K. L. (2013). Biogas from palm oil mill effluent (POME): Opportunities and challenges from Malaysia's perspective. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 26, 717–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.06.008

Choiruzzad, S. A. B., Tyson, A., & Varkkey, H. (2021). The ambiguities of Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil certification: internal incoherence, governance rescaling and state transformation. Asia Europe Journal, 19(2), 189–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10308-020-00593-0

Chrisendo, D., Krishna, V. V, Siregar, H., & Qaim, M. (2020). Land-use change, nutrition, and gender roles in Indonesian farm households. Forest Policy and Economics, 118, 102245. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102245

CIFOR-ICRAF. (2024). Tracing palm oil's path: From plantation to product. Forests News. https://www.forestsnews.org/91687/tracing-palm-oils-path-from-plantation-to-product

Dröge, S., Verbist, B., Maertens, M., & Muys, B. (2024). Do voluntary sustainability standards reduce primary forest loss? A global analysis for food commodities. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 374, 109158. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2024.109158

Euractiv. (2025). Palm oil nations are struggling to balance environmental concerns with economic risks. Euractiv's Advocacy Lab.

Farrelly Mitchell. (2025). Palm oil & cocoa: Gender sensitive value chain analysis. Farrelly Mitchell : Food and Agribusiness Specialists. https://farrellymitchell.com/client-success-story/gender-sensitive-value-chain/

Fauzi, M. Y. (2025). Gender Mainstreaming Becomes a Cornerstone for Sustainable Smallholder Palm Oil. InfoSAWIT English. https://en.infosawit.com/news/16826/gender-mainstreaming-becomes-a-cornerstone-for-sustainable-smallholder-palm-oil

Habibie, D. K., & Darwin, M. (2023). The Dynamics of The Role Oil Palm Smallholders in Implementing ISPO Certification: Looking Back to Moving Forward. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1268(1), 12042. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1268/1/012042

Hadi, S., Kusumawaty, Y., Bakce, D., & Yusri, J. (2024). Development of an Accelerated Model for ISPO Certification in Independent Palm Oil Plantations. KnE Social Sciences, 1(1), 276–301. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i25.16974

Hamidun, M. S., Baderan, D. W. K., Dunggio, I., & J. Lamangantjo, C. (2025). Plant Diversity with High Conservation Value in Oil Palm Plantation in Pohuwato Regency, Gorontalo, Indonesia. Environment and Ecology Research, 13(6), 821–837. https://doi.org/10.13189/eer.2025.130606

Hariyadi, Purwanto, M. Y. J., Falatehan, A. F., Sukoco, H., & Sembiring, W. K. (2021). Digitization of Sustainable Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations towards Modern Oil Palm Cooperatives. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 694(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/694/1/012060

Hatano, R. (2025). Impacts of changes in peat soils due to agricultural activities on greenhouse gas (especially N2O) emissions and their mitigations. Pedosphere, 35(1), 8–11. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedsph.2023.12.010

Hendrawan, D., Chrisendo, D., & Musshoff, O. (2024). Strengthening oil palm smallholder farmers' resilience to future industrial challenges. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 12105. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62426-z

Herdiansyah, H., & Mamola, R. (2025). Palm oil conflict and social transformation: Exploring the intersection of farmer autonomy and conflict resolution. Trees, Forests and People, 21, 100934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2025.100934

Hirbli, T. (2024). Palm Oil Traceability: Blockchain meets supply chain. MIT Center for Transportation & Logistics. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a3b6/7b026c1f7ca851f946acf2d1469252bc8443.pdf

Ikhsan, I., Hajad, V., & Maulida, I. (2025). Paradoks Kemakmuran: Mengungkap Dampak Produksi Kelapa Sawit terhadap Kemiskinan di Pedesaan Indonesia. Journal of Economics, Bussiness and Management Issues, 2(3), 273–286. https://doi.org/10.47134/jebmi.v2i3.638

Imanudin, M. S., Armanto, M. E., Wildayana, E., & Junedi, H. (2021). Developing water management objective on tropical peatlands under oil palm cultivation. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 758(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/758/1/012002

IPOA. (2025). What is the truth on Indonesia's most important crop? IPOA The Facts on Palm Oil. https://www.indonesiapalmoilfacts.com/facts-sheets/

IPOSS. (2026). 2026 Palm Oil Outlook.

Jong, H. N. (2024). Palm oil deforestation makes comeback in Indonesia after decade-long slump. Mongabay Asia Indonesian Palm Oil. https://news.mongabay.com/2024/02/palm-oil-deforestation-makes-comeback-in-indonesia-after-decade-long-slump/

Judijanto, L. (2024). Rejuvenating Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations: Challenges and Pathways To Sustainability. Jambura Agribusiness Journal, 6(1), 37–50. https://doi.org/10.37046/jaj.v6i1.31609

Judijanto, L. (2025a). A Review of Palm Oil Valorization Technologies. International Journal of Engineering, Science and Information Technology, 5(4), 172–180. https://doi.org/10.52088/ijesty.v5i4.1171

Judijanto, L. (2025b). Analysing Negative Campaigns Against Palm Oil. Journal of Business Research and Reports, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.47363/JBRR/2025(2)110

Judijanto, L. (2025c). Balancing Development and Conservation: The Role of Oil Palm in Achieving Prosperity and Sustainable Rural Livelihoods. Finance a Uver - Czech Journal of Economics and Finance, 75(1). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32065/vol75n1a65

Judijanto, L. (2025d). Balancing Risks and Benefits: A Systematic Literature Review of Genome Editing in Oil Palm for Sustainable Development. European Journal of Ecology, Biology and Agriculture, 2(6), 76–96. https://doi.org/10.59324/ejeba.2025.2(6).09

Judijanto, L. (2025e). Beyond the plantation: Palm oil as a strategic lever for regional development and economic transformation growth in Indonesia. Growth, 12(1), 25–32. https://doi.org/10.20448/growth.v12i1.6842

Judijanto, L. (2025f). Catalyzing prosperity : how palm oil drives inclusive local and regional economic growth in Indonesia Catalisando a prosperidade : como o óleo de palma impulsiona o crescimento econômico local e regional inclusivo na Indonésia Catalizar la prosperidad : c. 1–21. https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n6-011

Judijanto, L. (2025g). From Voluntary to Mandatory: A Review of Prospects and Challenges in Implementing Palm Oil Sustainability Certifications in Indonesia. ERR01, 10(2), 232–254. https://doi.org/10.56238/ERR01v10n2-013

Judijanto, L. (2025h). From Waste to Wealth: The Strategic Role of Oil Palm in Advancing Circular Economy Solutions. Journal of Material Sciences and Engineering Technology, 3(3), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.61440/JMSET.2025.v3.62

Judijanto, L. (2025i). Governance Issues in Sustainability Certification: A Review of Implementation Challenges and Complexities. International Journal of Applied Research and Sustainable Sciences, 3(8), 701–718. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijarss.v3i8.99

Judijanto, L. (2025j). Governance reform in the palm oil sector from upstream to downstream: a review. Revista Caribeña de Ciencias Sociales, 14(7), e4703. https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n7-018

Judijanto, L. (2025k). Harnessing Technology for Climate Action: A Review of Emissions Reduction Innovations in the Palm Oil Industry. Journal of Material Sciences and Engineering Technology, 3(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.61440/JMSET.2025.v3.49

Judijanto, L. (2025l). Sustainable Palm Oil and Rural Livelihoods: Creating Shared Value to Smallholders and Communities. International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary, 4(3), 602–623. https://doi.org/10.56238/isevmjv4n3-016

Judijanto, L. (2025m). Unlocking the circular economy: how oil palm biomass drives sustainable resource cycles and rural prosperity. Revista Caribeña de Ciencias Sociales, 14(6), e4612. https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n6-005

Judijanto, L. (2025n). Who Pays for Climate Action? The Disproportionate Impact of Deforestation-Free Regulations on Smallholder Farmers. European Journal of Management, Economics and Business, 3(1), 3–13. https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2026.3(1).01

Judijanto, L. (2026a). Empowering Women and Youth in Oil Palm Agriculture: A Systemic Review of Gender-Responsive Education Programs for Smallholder Development. Annals of Social Sciences and Management Studies, 12(4), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.19080/ASM.2026.12.555842

Judijanto, L. (2026b). Navigating Uncertainy: Palm Oil Sector Outlook for 2026 through the Lens of Smallholder Welfare and Sustainability Imperatives. Revista de Geopolítica, 17(1), e1386. https://doi.org/10.56238/revgeov17n1-127

Judijanto, L. (2026c). Sustainable Peatland Management in Oil Palm Plantation: Challenging but Manageable. Journal of Earth and Environmental Science Research, 8(1), 1–10. https://onlinescientificresearch.com/articles/sustainable-peatland-management-in-oil-palm-plantation-challenging--but-manageable.pdf

Judijanto, L. (2026d). Sustainable Peatland Management in Oil Palm Plantation Based on Multi-Stakeholders Approach. Revista de Geopolítica, 17(1), e1406. https://doi.org/10.56238/revgeov17n1-144

Kormin, S. N. (2022). Economic Benefits of Palm Oil: The 3P Concept. MSPO Blogs. https://mspo.org.my/economic-benefits-of-palm-oil-the-3p-concept/

Kurniawan, I. D., Sandmann, D., Ermilov, S. G., Widyastuti, R., Susanti, W. I., Potapov, A. M., Maraun, M., Scheu, S., & Chen, T.-W. (2025). Transformation of rainforest into monoculture plantations alters the density, species richness and community composition of oribatid mites and selects for a higher dominance of parthenogenetic species. Global Ecology and Conservation, 60, e03625. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2025.e03625

Lim, C. H., Lim, S., How, B. S., Ng, W. P. Q., Ngan, S. L., Leong, W. D., & Lam, H. L. (2021). A review of industry 4.0 revolution potential in a sustainable and renewable palm oil industry: HAZOP approach. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 135, 110223. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110223

Loviscek, V. (2021). Triple Bottom Line toward a Holistic Framework for Sustainability: A Systematic Review. Revista de Administração Contemporânea, 25(3). https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-7849rac2021200017.en

Mak, S., & Thomas, A. (2022). Steps for Conducting a Scoping Review. Journal of Graduate Medical Education, 14(5), 565–567. https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-22-00621.1

Martial, T., Lubis, Y., & Harefa, T. (2024). Improving the Efficiency and Sustainability of Oil Palm Plantations through Organic Fertilizer from Palm Oil Mill Waste. Agro Bali : Agricultural Journal, 7(3), 957–971. https://doi.org/10.37637/ab.v7i3.2002

Martin, S., Rieple, A., Chang, J., Boniface, B., & Ahmed, A. (2015). Small farmers and sustainability: Institutional barriers to investment and innovation in the Malaysian palm oil industry in Sabah. Journal of Rural Studies, 40, 46–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2015.06.002

Mohd-Azlan, J., Conway, S., Travers, T. J. P., & Lawes, M. J. (2023). The Filtering Effect of Oil Palm Plantations on Potential Insect Pollinator Assemblages from Remnant Forest Patches. Land, 12(6), 1256. https://doi.org/10.3390/land12061256

MPOC. (2024). How Malaysia's Palm Oil Industry is Championing Biodiversity Conservation, A Key Pillar Of ESG. MPOC Palm Oil News. https://www.mpoc.org.my/how-malaysias-palm-oil-industry-is-championing-biodiversity-conservation-a-key-pillar-of-esg/

Muamar, A. (2024). Strengthening the Protection for Female Workers in Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry. GNA: Green Network Asia. https://greennetwork.asia/gna-knowledge-hub/strengthening-the-protection-for-female-workers-in-indonesias-palm-oil-industry/

Muchlis, F., Jamil, A. S., Destiarni, R. P., Zainuddin, A., Amalia, D. N., Aziz, M. A., & Meilin, A. (2025). A Structural Equation Model to Assess the Impact of the Economic and Environmental Benefits to the Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) Adoption. IJAEIT: International Journal on Adnvanced Science Engineering Informaation Technology, 15(1), 231–239.

Ningsih, Y. S., & Fitrisia, A. (2020). Perekonomian Masyarakat Perkebunan Plasma Kelapa Sawit Jorong Jambak Kecamatan Luhak Nan Duo (2003-2019). Jurnal Kronologi, 2(3), 24–37. https://doi.org/10.24036/jk.v2i3.47

Novita, N., Asyhari, A., Ritonga, R. P., Gangga, A., Anshari, G. Z., Jupesta, J., Bowen, J. C., Lestari, N. S., Kauffman, J. B., Hoyt, A. M., Perryman, C. R., Albar, I., Putra, C. A. S., Adinugroho, W. C., Winarno, B., Castro, M., Yeo, S., Budiarna, T., Yuono, E., & Sianipar, V. C. (2024). Strong climate mitigation potential of rewetting oil palm plantations on tropical peatlands. Science of The Total Environment, 952, 175829. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.175829

Paoli, G. ., Yaap, B., Wells, P. L., & Sileuw, A. (2020). CSR, Oil Palm and the RSPO: Translating Boardroom Philosophy into Conservation Action in Southeast Asia. Environmental Policy and Governance, 20(6), 396–408.

Pasaribu, A. P. (2025). Strengthening Indonesia's sustainable palm oil policy: Addressing climate change and enhancing global market integration. JSSEW: Journal of Sustainability, Society, and Eco-Welfare, 3(1), 64–84. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61511/jssew.v3i1.2025.2278

Proklamanto, A. H., & Soetjipto. (2021). New Policy Brief on Gender in Indonesia's Sustainable Palm Oil Sector Calls for Stronger Women's Role. UNDP Indonesia. https://www.undp.org/indonesia/press-releases/new-policy-brief-gender-indonesias-sustainable-palm-oil-sector-calls-stronger-womens-role

Rahutomo, A. B., Karuniasa, M., & Frimawaty, E. (2023). Peningkatan Produktivitas Lahan Pekebun melalui Sertifikasi Kelapa Sawit Berkelanjutan di Indonesia. Analisis Kebijakan Pertanian, 21(1), 43–55. https://doi.org/10.21082/akp.v21i1.43-55

Raisa, D. M., Sirajuddin, S. N., Syamsu, J. A., & Arsyad, M. (2024). Analysis of Strategic Programs in Planning and Developing Cattle-Oil Palm Integration System. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1364(1), 012012. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1364/1/012012

Reich, C., Ölkers, T., Bonke, V., & Musshoff, O. (2025). Beyond the Ban—Shedding Light on Smallholders' Price Vulnerability in Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.70040

Renner, S., Ruml, A., Lakemann, T., Nuryartono, N., Tjoa, A., Corre, M. D., & Lay, J. (2024). Smallholder RSPO certification, economic benefits and agrochemical use. Environmental Research Letters, 19(11), 114093. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad8367

Rimmel, G. (2025). Triple Bottom Line. In Accounting for Sustainability (2nd ed., p. 18). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003488446-11/triple-bottom-line-gunnar-rimmel

RSPO. (2024a). 2024 RSPO Independent Smallholder Standard for the Production of Sustainable Palm Oil and Oil Palm Products. https://rspo.org/wp-content/uploads/Consolidated-ISH-2024_Adopted_13112024.pdf

RSPO. (2024b). RSPO and HCVN To Advance High Conservation Value Protection for Sustainable Palm Oil. RSPO Press Release. https://rspo.org/rspo-and-hcvn-to-advance-high-conservation-value-protection-for-sustainable-palm-oil/

RSPO. (2024c). Why Sustainable Palm Oil? Economic and Social Impact. RSPO News. https://rspo.org/why-sustainable-palm-oil/economic-and-social-impact/

RSPO. (2024d). Why Sustainable Palm Oil? Environmental Impact. RSPO Getting the Facts.

RSPO. (2025a). Impact Report 2024. https://rspo.org/wp-content/uploads/RSPO_ImpactReport_2024.pdf

RSPO. (2025b). 2024 RSPO Independent Smallholder Standard Effective; India and Indonesia National Interpretations of the 2024 RSPO ISH Standard Endorsed. RSPO Announcements. https://rspo.org/2024-rspo-independent-smallholder-standard-effective-india-and-indonesia-national-interpretations-of-the-2024-rspo-ish-standard-endorsed/

RSPO. (2025c). Championing Women Leaders in Sustainable Palm Oil: A Conversation with Rukaiyah Rafik. RSPO Interview. https://rspo.org/championing-women-leaders-in-sustainable-palm-oil-a-conversation-with-rukaiyah-rafik/

Sagala, D., Frimawaty, E., & Sodri, A. (2023). Potensi Energi Terbarukan dari Pemanfaatan Energi Biogas POME (Palm Oil Mill Effluent) sebagai Sumber Energi Terbarukan di Provinsi Jambi. Jurnal Ilmu Lingkungan, 22(1), 205–214. https://doi.org/10.14710/jil.22.1.205-214

Sagala, D., Frimawaty, E., & Sodri, A. (2025). Discovering the potential of renewable energy from palm oil mill effluent: Environmental impacts, opportunities, and challenges in the development of biogas and bio-cng. Bioculture Journal, 2(2). https://doi.org/10.61511/bioculture.v2i2.2025.1295

Sari, R. (2024). Study: Rewetting in Oil Palm Plantations Has the Potential to Reduce Emissions. Konservasi Alam Nusantara: Press Releases. https://www.ykan.or.id/en/publications/articles/press-release/oil-palm-plantations-has-the-potential-to-reduce-emissions/

Sayaza, M. D., & Stephanie, E. N. (2025). Rural Livelihood Diversification and Economic Adaptation in East Kalimantan: Evidence from Six Village Communities. J-RELIBI: Jurnal Rekayasa Lingkungan Dan Biosistem, 3(1), 53–64. https://doi.org/10.32832/relibi.v3i1.1725

Scriven, S. A., Butarbutar, R. B., de Vos, R. E., Lucey, J. M., Reynolds, G., Newing, H., Scholtz, O., Lasmana, F. P. S., Meijaard, E., & Hill, J. K. (2026). Addressing the challenges of managing and monitoring biodiversity in High Conservation Value areas and High Carbon Stock forests within oil palm landscapes. Ecological Solutions and Evidence, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/2688-8319.70162

Setiawan, A. F., Haryanto, A., Hasanudin, U., Triyono, S., & Iryani, D. A. (2025). Biogas Production from Palm Oil Mill Effluent and the Prospect of Co-digestion with Empty Fruit Bunches – A Comprehensive Review. Jurnal Teknik Pertanian Lampung (Journal of Agricultural Engineering), 14(5), 1976–2005. https://doi.org/10.23960/jtepl.v14i5.1976-2005

Setiyanto, A. (2024). Assessing the implications of implementing European Union countries' anti-deforestation regulations on Indonesia's palm oil industry. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1308(1), 012066. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1308/1/012066

Sharma, D. (2025). Rethinking Supply Chains: Investing in Smallholders for Sustainability and Resilience. Musim Mas Resources. https://www.musimmas.com/resources/blogs/rethinking-supply-chains-investing-in-smallholders-for-sustainability-and-resilience/

Siagian, U. W. R., Wenten, I. G., & Khoiruddin, K. (2024). Circular Economy Approaches in the Palm Oil Industry: Enhancing Profitability through Waste Reduction and Product Diversification. Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences, 56(1), 25–49. https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2024.56.1.3

Sibhatu, K. T. (2023). Oil palm boom: its socioeconomic use and abuse. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 7(June), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1083022

Soleha, N. (2022). Sustainability Strategies and Stakeholders' Influence in The Palm Oil Industry. Journal of Applied Business, Taxation and Economics Research, 1(5), 494–505. https://doi.org/10.54408/jabter.v1i5.94

Suhardjo, I., & Suparman, M. (2025). Harmonizing sustainability certification standards: the Indonesian palm oil case. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 28(1), 19–34. https://doi.org/10.22434/ifamr.1218

Tabe-Ojong, M. P., & Molua, E. L. (2024). Oil Palm Production and Educational Outcomes: Gender-Differentiated Evidence from Cameroon. Journal of Development Studies, 60(4), 596–614. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2023.2273801

Tambi, N., Choy, E. A., Yusoff, H., Abas, A., Ummu, &, & Halim, L. (2021). Well-being challenges of palm oil smallholders community. Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 18(2).

Tarigan, S., Buchori, D., Siregar, I. Z., Azhar, A., Ullyta, A., Tjoa, A., & Edy, N. (2021). Agroforestry inside oil palm plantation for enhancing biodiversity-based ecosystem functions. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 694(1), 12058. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/694/1/012058

Unilever. (2024). Delivering deforestation-free palm oil through transformative technology. Unilever All News Stories. https://www.unilever.com/news/news-search/2024/delivering-deforestationfree-palm-oil-through-transformative-technology/

Vamuloh, V. V., Kozak, R. A., & Panwar, R. (2020). Voices unheard: Barriers to and opportunities for small farmers' participation in oil palm contract farming. Journal of Cleaner Production, 275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121955

Veriasa, T. O., Nurrunisa, M., Oktaviani, A. R., & Fadhli, N. (2022a). Measuring the Implication of RSPO Certification: Lesson Learned from Independent Palm Oil Smallholder in Riau Province, Indonesia. https://www.wwf.id/sites/default/files/2024-02/2023_Measuring Implication of RSPO Certification Implementation_English Final_0.pdf

Veriasa, T. O., Nurrunisa, M., Oktaviani, A. R., & Fadhli, N. (2022b). Menakar Implikasi Penerapan Sertifikasi RSPO: Proses Pembelajaran dari Pekebun Kelapa Sawit Swadaya di Provinsi Riau. WWF Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v8i1.26964

Wa Kuasa Baka, Usman Rianse, M. Tufaila, Ilma Sarimustaqiyma Rianse, & Zulfikar. (2023). Inovasi Model Kemitraan Usaha Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit Berbasis Budaya Lokal di Konawe Utara. Journal Publicuho, 6(2). https://doi.org/10.35817/publicuho.v6i2.139

Wadudu, B. A. (2025). Empowering Women in the Palm Oil Supply Chain: Strategies for Leadership and Equality. In Women in Logistics, Transport and Commodity Sector (pp. 69–85). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-2276-4_5

Widjaja, A. R., & Meylinda, S. (2021). Formulation of Poverty Indicators and Measurement of Community Welfare in Pagedangan Ilir Village, Kronjo District, Tangerang Regency. Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis, 1(1), 1–13.

Yagur-Kroll, A., Horlings, E., Rees, E., Fleischer, L., & Dey, D. K. (2025). Guidelines on measurement of well-being: Note by the Task Force on measurement of well-being. https://unece.org/sites/default/files/2025-06/Guidelines on measurement of well-being_2025.05.30.pdf

Yusuf, M., Hutabarat, S., & Hadi, S. (2021). Analysis of The Implementation of ISPO Certification on Smallholder Oil Palm Plantation in Beringin Jaya Cooperation Koto Ringin Village Mempura Sub-District Siak District. Journal of Agribusiness and Community Empowerment (JACE), 4(2). https://doi.org/10.32530/jace.v4i2.247

Zarei, M. (2025). How to conduct a scoping review? A step-by-step guide with examples & tools. LitMaps. https://docs.litmaps.com/en/articles/10536023-how-to-conduct-a-scoping-review-a-step-by-step-guide-with-examples-tools