

A New Paradigm of Socio-Cultural Communication: A Review of Adaptation, Meaning Negotiation, and Identity Strengthening in the Global and Digital Era

Loso Judijanto

IPOSS Jakarta

Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Sociocultural Communication, Intercultural Communication, Globalization, Social Identity, Digital Literacy, Communication Models, Communication Ethics, Social Media, Cultural Diversity, Hybrid Communication

Received : 3 July

Revised : 18 August

Accepted: 20 September

©2025 Judijanto: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

This article examines communication from a socio-cultural perspective, focusing on the dynamics of interaction that arise amidst cultural diversity and the currents of globalization in the digital age. Based on a Qualitative Literature Review method of more than sixty scientific articles published from 2015 to 2025, this study highlights how values, norms, symbols, and social identities influence the formation of meaning, communication strategies, and the effectiveness of cross-cultural interactions. The analysis results indicate that interactive, transactional, and contextual communication models are most relevant for understanding meaning negotiation in multicultural societies. Factors such as social position, power structures, language, digital literacy, and ethical awareness play significant roles in reinforcing or hindering communication effectiveness. The findings also emphasize that the success of intercultural communication requires sensitivity to differences, strategies for message adaptation, and synergy between local values and global perspectives. In the context of the digital era, social media plays a dual role as a facilitator of cultural exchange while also being a space prone to polarization if not managed with ethical principles. This study recommends the development of an inclusive hybrid communication strategy that combines multicultural approaches with the use of technology, as well as further exploration of the impact of digital platforms on the transformation of cultural identity. These findings contribute to strengthening sociocultural communication theory and practical implications for fostering social harmony in an increasingly interconnected global society

INTRODUCTION

Sociocultural communication is a complex phenomenon that has become the focus of researchers in this era of globalization and digitalization. In the context of a society that is increasingly connected and diverse, communication processes that involve differences in social and cultural backgrounds require a deep understanding to achieve effectiveness in interactions between individuals and groups. The transformation of communication technology and digital media has fundamentally altered the landscape of sociocultural communication, creating both opportunities and new challenges in building cross-cultural understanding (Safitri et al., 2025).

The Urgency of the Issue

The digital era, marked by the massive use of social media, has significantly changed the dynamics of socio-cultural communication. Recent research shows that social media platforms like Instagram and TikTok have become key facilitators in cultural exchange, enhancing cultural awareness and empathy through engaging multimedia content. However, behind the ease of access to information and cross-cultural interactions, various complex challenges arise that require serious attention from academics and communication practitioners (Satria et al., 2025). One of the main urgencies in the study of socio-cultural communication is the phenomenon of communication gaps that arise due to differences in cultural orientations. Research indicates that high-context and low-context cultures exhibit different communication patterns, requiring adjustments in message delivery to achieve effective understanding. Furthermore, in the context of Indonesia, which has extraordinary cultural, ethnic, and customary diversity, socio-cultural communication becomes a vital instrument in maintaining social harmony and preserving local wisdom (Evizariza, 2024). Globalization has created an entropic impact on the ethnocultural environment, where global innovations have the potential to erode traditional elements of various ethnocultures that are important markers of ethnic identity. This phenomenon raises concerns about the erosion of local cultural identity and the weakening of traditional socio-integrative potential in multicultural societies (Alexandrova et al., 2024).

The challenges of socio-cultural communication are becoming increasingly complex with the emergence of various barriers such as language differences, stereotypes, and misunderstandings of both verbal and non-verbal message meanings. In the era of cyber society, communication ethics is becoming increasingly important to prevent communication disruptions and disharmony due to a lack of responsibility and communication ethics (Mallappiang, 2022).

Research Objectives

Based on the urgency of the identified issues, this research aims to: (1) analyze the basic components of socio-cultural communication and their relevance to contemporary contexts; (2) identify communication models that are suitable for the cultural context in the digital era; (3) evaluate the socio-cultural factors that influence the effectiveness of intercultural communication processes; and (4) develop a comprehensive understanding of communication strategies that can enhance harmony in socio-cultural interactions.

Article Novelty

The novelty of this article lies in its integrative approach that combines the classical perspectives of socio-cultural communication with the dynamics of communication in the digital era. This article presents the latest synthesis of various cutting-edge research that shows how digital technology, particularly social media, has transformed cross-cultural communication patterns (Safitri et al., 2025). Unlike previous studies that tended to focus on a single aspect of cultural communication, this article offers a holistic analytical framework that integrates cultural psychology, neuroscience, and communication technology dimensions in understanding socio-cultural communication phenomena. The article also provides theoretical contributions by exploring the concept of cultural communication as an imaginative and performative communicative process, rather than merely the transmission of information between different cultural groups (Drion, 2022).

Another novelty is the emphasis on the importance of digital literacy in socio-cultural communication as a response to the challenges of the digitalization of communication and information. This article also integrates a perspective of communication ethics based on religious values and local wisdom as a solution to the problems of communication in cyberspace (Apriya et al., 2023). Thus, this article not only presents a comprehensive review of socio-cultural communication but also provides practical contributions for the development of more effective communication strategies in multicultural societies in the digital era.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Foundations of Communication in the Socio-Cultural Context

Communication is always present as the main glue in social and cultural relationships between individuals and groups (Delante, 2020; Enwere et al., 2024). In the socio-cultural context, communication not only serves as a process of information exchange but also becomes a means of transmitting values and forming collective identity (Buzilă & Lazăr, 2019; Siddiqui, 2025). Understanding Communication from a Socio-Cultural Perspective.

Communication from a sociocultural perspective reflects the way individuals exchange meanings shaped by norms, values, and symbols within a particular society. Communication cannot be considered neutral as it is always influenced by the social and cultural context in which it takes place. In this view, communication encompasses symbolic and social dimensions that go beyond mere verbal message delivery (Magut, 2016; Verdon et al., 2015). Every act of communication is a reflection of the habits, beliefs, and social structures of the community involved. Communication is understood as a process that is not only technical in nature but also cultural and ideological. This indicates that in practice, communication cannot be separated from the complex and evolving cultural meanings (Amoussou & Allagbe, 2018; Brock, 2018).

The influence of culture in communication also creates differences in perspectives and communication strategies between one society and another. The way opinions are expressed, emotions are articulated, and conflicts are

resolved can vary depending on the social norms and cultural habits that are adopted (Kecskes, 2015; Vu, 2019). Socio-cultural communication is the process of constructing and interpreting meaning that depends not only on language but also on collective experiences, history, and cultural symbols that are mutually agreed upon within a particular community (Aririguzoh, 2022; Delante, 2020). Therefore, communication is not only understood as a technical or psychological activity but also as an integral part of social and cultural life that shapes human identity and behavior (Rahman & Fitri, 2021; Valentiyo et al., 2025).

Basic Components of Socio-Cultural Communication

The main components of socio-cultural communication include the message sender, the message receiver, the communication channel, the message itself, along with the cultural context surrounding it. This context plays a crucial role in influencing the success or failure of communication between individuals. In cross-cultural communication, for example, the cultural backgrounds of the sender and receiver can lead to misunderstandings if not anticipated properly (Aleksandrova et al., 2024; Kittler, 2018). Understanding the elements of communication and how to interact with each other becomes important for creating effective communication (Barker, 2016; Gozalova et al., 2017). Nonverbal aspects such as facial expressions, eye contact, gestures, and the use of physical space are also part of the components that influence the meaning of messages in specific socio-cultural contexts (Pang et al., 2024; Purnell, 2018).

Sociocultural communication also involves layered symbolic dimensions, where meaning is not only found in words but also in signs that are interpreted through shared cultural experiences (Carter & Fuller, 2016; Hua & Kramsch, 2016). In the context of local Indonesian culture, nonverbal elements such as gestures, intonation, and body language become crucial components that represent values of politeness, social hierarchy, and power relations in interpersonal communication (Aswaruddin, Halwa, et al., 2025; Maysarah et al., 2025). Therefore, the success of sociocultural communication depends not only on the clarity of the message but also on the appropriateness of the symbols used in relation to the prevailing cultural values in society (Ningrum & Tazqiyah, 2024; Wibisono et al., 2022).

Relevant Communication Models in Cultural Context

The communication model used in socio-cultural studies cannot be linear and simple (Mondada, 2016, 2019). An interactional and transactional model approach is more appropriate because it can depict communication as a two-way process involving the negotiation of meanings within a social context. This model emphasizes that meanings are co-constructed by participants based on their experiences and cultural backgrounds (Bayer et al., 2016; Lizardo, 2016). Moreover, the contextual model also places culture as the primary framework that influences message interpretation. In intercultural interactions, the success of communication is greatly determined by the ability to understand the communication patterns of other cultures and sensitivity to prevailing social values (Ou & Gu, 2020; Stahl & Tung, 2015).

Understanding the contextual communication model also helps in analyzing how cultural identities are constructed and negotiated through communication (Groves et al., 2015; Rashidi & Meihami, 2017). This model illustrates that communication is never value-free, but is always influenced by power structures, social norms, and collective history. The transactional model allows for the identification of the simultaneous meaning-making processes by both the sender and the receiver within a specific social context, in which culture becomes the dominant variable in shaping message interpretation (M. Chen & Miller, 2015; de Villiers et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2016). Therefore, selecting a communication model that aligns with local cultural characteristics is crucial to avoid conflicts of meaning and miscommunication (Alfarabi et al., 2025; Amin, 2017; Kusuma & Aditya, 2023; Lutfi, 2018).

The Role of Language and Symbols in Cultural Social Interaction

Language and symbols are the heart of communication in a socio-cultural context. Language is not just a tool of communication, but also a system of representation that reflects the social structure and worldview of a community (Bella Tiara Putri et al., 2024; Novia, 2025). Symbols, both verbal and nonverbal, serve as the primary medium to convey messages, assert identity, and build social relations (Yessica & Utami, 2025). In a multicultural society, cultural symbols such as traditional clothing, rituals, and local languages become means of communication for identity and solidarity. Therefore, understanding language and symbols in a specific social context is crucial in creating inclusive and meaningful communication (Mustira & Wiryany, 2025; Sulaiman & Kurniasari, 2025).

Language also carries ideologies and values embedded in the culture of its users. Each word has a social connotation that can have different meanings depending on who uses it and in what context (Al Harist & Lani, 2024; Azhari, 2019). Language in socio-cultural communication functions not only as a tool for expression but also as a means of reproducing values, where the use of certain cultural symbols can reinforce social positions and clarify group identity boundaries (Kasmuri et al., 2025; Purba et al., 2024). Therefore, the ability to read and interpret symbols in cultural communication becomes an important skill in understanding the dynamics of social interaction in a pluralistic society (R. Lestari & Hasanah, 2024; Sutanto & Salim, 2024).

Social Cultural Factors That Influence the Communication Process

Sociocultural factors such as social class, religion, education, ethnicity, and gender have a significant impact on the way individuals communicate. Each of these factors shapes preferences for communication style, language structure, and the level of formality in interactions (Khoiriyah, 2023; Nadia et al., 2025). For example, individuals from different social class backgrounds may have differences in word choice and interaction patterns. Similarly, religious values can shape the boundaries of communication topics considered appropriate or inappropriate. Awareness of these factors is key to understanding the complexities of interpersonal communication in a diverse society (Ibrahim, 2025; Pangaribuan, 2011).

Social context can also create disparities in communication, especially when there is dominance of certain cultures over others. This situation creates a communication hierarchy where one group has more access to public communication spaces compared to other groups (Abdullah & Nasvian, 2025; Ruwiyanto et al., 2025). The communication process in a multi-ethnic society is greatly influenced by the socio-cultural positions of the communicators, and the imbalance of access to dominant cultural symbols often leads to the marginalization of communication for minority groups. Understanding the influence of socio-cultural structures on communication not only helps in enhancing the effectiveness of interactions but also supports the creation of more equitable and fair communication spaces (Budiyati & Sunarto, 2025; Irwanto et al., 2025; Pratama, 2020).

METHODOLOGY

This research uses a Qualitative Literature Review approach by conducting an in-depth study of scientific literature relevant to the topic of communication from a socio-cultural perspective. The qualitative literature review method was chosen because it offers greater flexibility in exploring and interpreting the existing body of knowledge without being bound to very rigid protocols (Pare & Kitsiou, 2017).

Research Design

The Qualitative Literature Review method in this study adopts a narrative approach that allows researchers to explore concepts, provide context, and build a comprehensive theoretical framework. Unlike systematic reviews, the narrative approach offers greater freedom in searching for and synthesizing articles, enabling researchers to delve into key themes, debates, and trends in socio-cultural communication literature (Hecker & Kalpokas, 2024).

Literature Search and Selection Strategy

The literature search was conducted in a phased and iterative manner through several major academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, and JSTOR. The keywords used in the search include a combination of: "socio-cultural communication", "intercultural communication", "cross-cultural communication", "social cultural communication", "cross-cultural communication", and "social identity in communication" along with other relevant keywords (Strauss et al., 2024). The established inclusion criteria include: (1) journal articles published within the timeframe of 2015-2025; (2) articles in Indonesian or English; and (3) articles that focus on socio-cultural aspects in communication. Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria include articles that do not have a direct relevance to the research focus (Busetto et al., 2020).

Data Analysis and Synthesis Process

Data analysis is conducted through a thematic analysis approach to the conceptual developments in the field of socio-cultural communication, involving the synthesis of findings to produce a coherent understanding of theoretical and empirical aspects in the research area (Sun, 2025). The analysis process builds a deep understanding of how various studies contribute to the understanding of communication in a socio-cultural context (Abderrahim, 2024).

Methodological Limitations

This research acknowledges several methodological limitations that are inherent in the qualitative literature review approach. First, subjectivity in the selection and interpretation of articles cannot be completely avoided, despite the application of various validation strategies. Second, the focus on literature in Indonesian and English may limit the scope of broader cultural perspectives (Aneas & Sandin, 2009). Third, although a comprehensive search has been conducted, there remains a possibility of missing some relevant articles. Fourth, the narrative approach used offers high interpretative flexibility but may reduce reproducibility compared to systematic reviews (Zarei, 2025).

Methodological Significance

The choice of a Qualitative Literature Review methodology in this research provides several significant advantages. First, the flexibility in exploration allows for the identification of emergent themes that may not be captured in more rigid approaches. Second, the ability to integrate interdisciplinary perspectives provides a more holistic understanding of socio-cultural communication (Allen, 2017). Third, the narrative approach facilitates the construction of persuasive arguments and identifies research gaps that can guide future studies. Fourth, this method is particularly suitable for exploring complex and multi-faceted topics such as communication in a socio-cultural context.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relevance and Principles of Socio-Cultural Communication in Community Life

Socio-cultural communication is an integral part of human life that is inseparable from the dynamics of society. Amidst the complexity of social relationships and cultural diversity, communication plays a role as a means to convey messages, build understanding, and create harmony in interactions between individuals and groups (A. J. L. Putri et al., 2025; Simamora et al., 2024).

The Importance of Intercultural Communication in the Era of Globalization

The development of globalization has created a broader and more intense space for intercultural interaction, which demands effective cross-cultural communication skills. Intercultural communication not only involves the exchange of information but also encompasses the understanding of different cultural values, norms, and symbols (Mudrik & Fawwaz, 2024; Mumtaz et al., 2024). In this context, communication becomes an important tool to avoid misunderstandings, stereotypes, and prejudices that often arise in cross-cultural interactions (Meilani et al., 2024; Muhtarom et al., 2024). Proficiency in intercultural communication can strengthen relationships between nations, enrich personal experiences, and build wider social and economic networks (Widiyanarti, Fadianti, et al., 2024; Widiyanarti et al., 2024). In a multinational work environment, for example, the ability to convey messages while considering the cultural background of the recipient can determine the success of collaboration and conflict resolution. (N. S. Azzahra & Samatan, 2024; Satata et al., 2025). Globalization indeed opens up opportunities, but without adequate intercultural communication, those opportunities can turn into sources of social

friction and disintegration (K. W. Putri et al., 2024; Widiyanarti, Nuhayatuazzakiyah, et al., 2024). The importance of intercultural communication becomes increasingly evident when we realize that globalization does not automatically eliminate cultural differences, but rather brings them together in a space of interaction (Suradi, 2018). In this regard, inclusive and empathetic communication becomes a fundamental basis for building social cohesion amidst diversity. Intercultural communication competence includes cultural awareness, sensitivity to differences in values, and the ability to adjust communication behavior according to the cultural context faced (Meltareza & Poedjadi, 2024; M. H. Putri et al., 2024; Yuliani, 2025). This approach is not only technical but also reflective, as it requires communicators to understand themselves in relation to others. In this era of globalization, intercultural communication is not just an option, but a fundamental necessity in building a global society that respects and strengthens one another (Chairozi, 2025; G.-M. Chen et al., 2021; Kusumawati et al., 2024).

Ethical Principles in Socio-Cultural Communication

Communication in the socio-cultural context should not only focus on the effectiveness of message delivery but also on ethical principles that ensure the sustainability of fair and dignified interactions (N. A. Azzahra et al., 2025; Suryani et al., 2025). Communication ethics call for respect for local values, honesty in conveying information, and accountability for the impact of the messages conveyed. In a diverse society, ethical principles are crucial to prevent communication from becoming a tool for domination or the dissemination of discrimination. Ethical communication practices also include openness to different viewpoints, an empathetic attitude towards message recipients, and appreciation for the nuances of cultural diversity. The existence of this ethical principle encourages intercultural dialogue that is not only informative but also transformative, as it can change perceptions and open spaces for collaboration across social identities (Aslan & Ningtyas, 2025; Hasibuan et al., 2025). Ethical values in communication must be consistently applied in various social contexts, whether in interpersonal communication, organizations, or media. Communication that does not adhere to ethical principles has the potential to exacerbate social tensions and create polarization (Murtadlo & Muhid, 2025). Sociocultural communication ethics include honesty, responsibility, respect for differences, and the attitude of not imposing one's values on others. These principles serve as normative guidelines for creating constructive and non-destructive communication. Furthermore, in the digital era, where information spreads very quickly and crosses borders, the application of communication ethics becomes increasingly urgent. Ethical socio-cultural communication functions not only as a means of conveying messages but also as a way to uphold human dignity amidst differences (Hariadi et al., 2025; Mahmood & Ahmad, 2022).

The Role of Social Identity in the Communication Process

Social identity is a crucial factor in determining how individuals form, understand, and respond to communication messages. Each individual carries a set of social identities such as ethnicity, religion, gender, and social class that influence how they view the world and interpret the messages received (Marta

& Rieuwpassa, 2018; Rusfandi, 2024). In a socio-cultural context, communication becomes an arena where identities are not only displayed but also negotiated. The communication relationships formed between individuals from different identity backgrounds often contain power dynamics, emotional affiliations, and the need for recognition.. Understanding the role of social identity is crucial in preventing bias, discrimination, or exclusion in social interactions (Arikarani et al., 2025; Harefa & Lase, 2024; Rachmat Panca Putera, 2024). A communication process that is sensitive to social identity allows for the formation of inclusive and equitable interaction spaces (Aroby et al., 2024; Yahya et al., 2025). When individuals' identities are valued and not stereotyped, communication becomes more open and enriching. Recognition of social identity in communication enhances feelings of acceptance and trust among individuals, as well as strengthens solidarity within diverse community groups (Tojiri, 2025). This indicates that communication is not merely a tool for exchanging messages, but also a medium for forming social relations based on respect and recognition of identity. Therefore, communication strategies that are sensitive to social identity need to be developed across various sectors, from education to public policy, so that socio-cultural interactions can proceed harmoniously and mutually strengthen each other (Basri et al., 2025; Vega & Elías, 2023).

Local and Global Cultural Dynamics in Communication

PracticesIn the contemporary era, communication practices take place in spaces that are simultaneously influenced by local cultures and global cultural flows. This dynamic creates both challenges and opportunities for the formation of adaptive and balanced communication patterns. Local culture, with its traditional values, provides a normative framework for communication, while global culture brings innovation in ways of interacting and conveying messages (Budi Setyaningrum, 2018; Herman, 2024). The tension between the two is often evident in the differences in perceptions regarding symbolic meanings, language styles, and communication etiquette. Nevertheless, the success of communication amidst these dynamics depends on the ability to synergize both without losing cultural identity or falling behind on global developments (F. Chandra et al., 2024; Harmaini et al., 2024). The importance of understanding the interaction between local and global cultures becomes crucial to avoid clashes of values that could damage social relationships. Communication that does not take local context into account can be considered insensitive, while rejection of global influences can lead to cultural isolation. An effective communication strategy is one that can facilitate a dialogue between local and global values equally, thus creating inclusive and contextual hybrid forms of communication (Camelia, 2024; Mubarok et al., 2024). Such communication allows communities to maintain their cultural identity while also being able to adapt to global dynamics. In daily communication practices, It is important to develop critical awareness of cultural influences and to build communication narratives that bridge differences, rather than sharpen them (I. D. R. Putri & Sukmawan, 2025; Wijaya & Setyawan, 2020).

Social Consequences of Intercultural Communication Failure

The failure to establish intercultural communication can lead to significant social impacts, ranging from misunderstandings, social conflicts, to the marginalization of certain groups (Nomleni et al., 2019; Ramadani et al., 2024). When communication is conducted without understanding the cultural background of the message recipient, there is a high likelihood of misinterpretation, even offensive ones. In such situations, communication no longer serves as a tool for unification, but rather triggers social disintegration. Phenomena such as racism, xenophobia, and intolerance are often direct consequences of failed intercultural communication. This indicates that intercultural communication not only has implications for interpersonal relationships, but also on social stability at large (Azis et al., 2018; Erlangga et al., 2021). It is important to recognize that communication failure is not merely a technical error, but rather a structural issue involving cultural bias, a lack of intercultural literacy, and the absence of dialogue space. Failed intercultural communication is often caused by ethnocentrism, which is the tendency to consider one's own culture superior to others, ultimately creating social distance and prejudice (Dianto, 2019; Pardela et al., 2023). To avoid these negative consequences, inclusive communication strategies, intercultural education, and habituation to open dialogue between identities are necessary. Thus, successful intercultural communication is not only about resolving differences but also enriching diversity and strengthening social structures (N. P. Lestari & Pratama, 2024).

The Implementation of Socio-Cultural Communication in Various Contexts

Sociocultural communication does not only stop at the level of concepts and theories but touches the reality of people's daily lives. Human interaction in various social spaces is influenced by cultural values that are internalized through the communication process. In practice, forms of communication reflect adaptation to the dynamics of society and the diverse cultures that continue to develop, especially in various life contexts such as education, organizations, media, and digital technology (Gulo, 2023; Rahmah, Widiyanarti, Ahadiyyah, et al., 2024).

Implementation of Sociocultural Communication in Educational Environments

The educational environment is the main platform for shaping the socio-cultural character of individuals from an early age. Communication in educational institutions involves interactions among students, educators, and educational staff, where each individual brings diverse socio-cultural backgrounds. In this process, communication becomes the primary tool for conveying universal values such as tolerance, cooperation, and appreciation of diversity (Aswaruddin, Ray, et al., 2025; Kirom, 2017). The implementation of socio-cultural communication in schools or campuses is evident in teaching approaches that integrate both local and global cultural aspects. An inclusive curriculum, the use of a language of instruction that is sensitive to diversity, and co-curricular activities that emphasize cross-cultural interaction are part of an effective communication strategy to support the formation of an adaptive and humanistic learning environment (Banks, 2020; Pane, 2019).

Effective communication in education is not only oriented towards delivering academic material, but also towards understanding cross-cultural elements that enrich the learning experience. The success of the implementation of socio-cultural communication in educational environments is marked by the institution's ability to create open dialogue spaces, empathetic conflict management, and the instillation of cultural values through exemplary behavior and daily practices (Hikmah & Muizzuddin, 2025; Khoiri et al., 2025; Rambe, 2024). An educational environment that prioritizes culture-based communication plays a significant role in shaping a supportive learning climate, especially in the context of multicultural higher education. Therefore, socio-cultural communication is not just a pedagogical tool, but also an integral part of the identity formation process of learners (Alhazmi & Nyland, 2021).

Effective Communication Strategies in Multicultural Society

A multicultural society is formed by individuals from diverse cultural, linguistic, and social value backgrounds. In this context, communication becomes a vital instrument for bridging differences and creating social cohesion. The implementation of socio-cultural communication in a multicultural society demands inclusive and adaptive strategies (Alfarabi et al., 2025; Ulya, 2025). This includes the use of symbols, language, and narratives that can be accepted by various cultural groups without causing the dominance of any particular culture. A participatory communication approach is essential for empowering communities to voice their identities and interests (Y. Fatimah et al., 2025; Katarina Leba et al., 2024). Furthermore, sensitivity to issues of discrimination, stereotypes, and marginalization is an important part of ethical and just socio-cultural communication in plural societies (Spitzberg & Changnon, 2021).

The use of local media, interfaith dialogue, citizen forums, and community-based development programs are real examples of communication strategies that encourage social engagement and cross-cultural understanding (Amtiran & Kriswibowo, 2024; E. Chandra et al., 2025). In its implementation, these strategies must be tailored to the cultural characteristics of the local community so that the messages conveyed can be received meaningfully. The effectiveness of communication in multicultural societies is greatly influenced by sensitivity to cultural norms and the ability to manage differences constructively (Satriyo & Patera, 2025). Therefore, the success of communication in multicultural societies heavily relies on the communicator's ability to adapt messages as well as build empathy and openness towards differences (Okoro & Washington, 2022).

Cultural Social Communication in the Workplace and Organizations

The work environment is a complex social space with intense interactions among individuals from diverse backgrounds. In this context, socio-cultural communication becomes a crucial foundation for creating a healthy and productive work environment. The implementation of culture-based communication in organizations includes managing differences in values, communication styles, and hierarchical structures that can influence the dynamics of interpersonal relationships (Bahu et al., 2025; Riyadi et al., 2025). An inclusive work culture supports diversity and encourages employee involvement

in decision-making, conflict resolution, and personal development. Cross-cultural communication in the workplace also fosters more effective collaboration, sharper innovation, and strengthened relationships between departments or cross-functional teams (Hofstede & Minkov, 2020; Illa Rohillah & Ira Septi Sulistiana, 2025).

The use of intercultural communication training, the formation of diverse work teams, and The development of culturally sensitive organizational policies is one of the tangible implementations of socio-cultural communication in the workplace (N. S. Azzahra & Samatan, 2024; Indriyanti, 2025). Multinational organizations that integrate culture-based communication into their internal management policies can significantly enhance employee job satisfaction and loyalty (R. Fatimah & Perkasa, 2024). It is crucial for organizational leaders to build cross-cultural communication skills, not only as an operational strategy but also as part of a sustainable and globally competitive organizational value system (Tiana, 2025; Zhang & Guttormsen, 2023).

The Role of Media in Conveying Cultural Values

Mass media, both conventional and digital, plays a central role in shaping the cultural awareness of society (Alamsyah et al., 2024; Khairul Rizki & Muhammad Eka Answaril Ikhsan, 2025). As a means of public communication, the media has the power to reproduce cultural values, create social representations, and disseminate narratives of collective identity. The implementation of socio-cultural communication through media includes the selection of content that reflects cultural diversity, fair representation of minority groups, and the presentation of unbiased discourse. Media can serve as a bridge of cultural understanding between groups, or conversely, become a tool for the dominance of certain cultures if not managed ethically and sensitively to the social context (Couldry & Hepp, 2021; Islamiyah et al., 2025).

In practice, multicultural journalism, documentaries about local cultures, and television programs that raise cultural issues become effective means of conveying cultural values widely (Trinugraheni & Sarifah, 2022). Media oriented towards cultural diversity can enhance tolerance and appreciation for differences among the broader community (Gustini et al., 2025). It is crucial for media practitioners to have cultural literacy and social responsibility in every production and distribution of information. Media not only records cultural realities but also constructs it with meanings and symbolic representations communicated to the public (Mahmoud & Zhang, 2020).

Transformation of Socio-Cultural Communication in the Digital Era

The development of digital technology has revolutionized the way humans communicate, including in the socio-cultural dimension. Social media, online platforms, and communication applications enable rapid and massive cultural exchange across geographic boundaries (Haris et al., 2024; Hasan et al., 2023). The implementation of socio-cultural communication in the digital era is marked by the emergence of digital culture, virtual identities, and online communities that blur the boundaries between locality and globalization (Rahmah, Widiyanarti, Urbach, et al., 2024). In this context, communication occurs not only verbally but also visually and symbolically, conveying cultural messages in a multimodal manner (Alfazri & Syahputra, 2024). The main

challenges in the digital era are maintaining cultural authenticity, minimizing the spread of misleading information, and promoting digital literacy based on cultural values (Asy'ari et al., 2025; Miller & Sinanan, 2022).

This transformation also opens up new spaces for broader cultural participation, especially for groups that were previously marginalized (Hasan et al., 2023; N. N. Putri et al., 2025). Online communities provide a platform to express cultural identity, build solidarity, and promote traditional values in an innovative format (S. Fatimah, 2025; Widyaningrum, 2021). Digital media plays a dual role as a space for resistance and cultural integration in contemporary digital society, where users actively shape meanings and cultural representations through online interactions. Therefore, the transformation of socio-cultural communication in the digital era requires a critical and ethical understanding of the evolving dynamics of virtual interactions (Fariadi, 2024; Huang & Tran, 2024).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This article emphasizes that communication from a socio-cultural perspective is a key element in building harmonious relationships amidst the diversity of modern society, particularly in the context of multicultural Indonesia that is influenced by globalization. Socio-cultural communication not only serves as a medium for exchanging messages but also as a process of negotiating meanings and identities shaped by norms, values, and symbols within a society. This study highlights the importance of understanding the symbolic and social dimensions in communication practices, where language, symbols, and social identities become the main tools affecting the effectiveness of interactions between individuals and between groups.

Models of communication that are interactional, transactional, and contextual have proven to be more relevant for examining the dynamics of communication in a pluralistic society. The ability to adapt to cultural differences and sensitivity to social context is a crucial foundation to avoid miscommunication and social conflicts. This study also highlights the significant role of socio-cultural factors—such as social position, power structures, and the interaction between local and global cultures—in shaping everyday communication practices and presenting specific challenges to inclusivity.

It is also emphasized that social identity is a crucial dimension that influences how individuals understand and interpret communication messages. Communication practices that are sensitive and responsive to the diversity of identities can create a fair interaction space and strengthen social solidarity within the community. Effective communication strategies in a multicultural context, such as the use of local media, citizen forums, and community-based programs, can only succeed if they rely on a deep understanding of local characteristics and cultural values.

Critical awareness of the influence of globalization and the importance of dialogue between local and global cultures serves as the main catalyst for the formation of an inclusive and adaptive hybrid communication pattern. Conversely, the failure to establish good cross-cultural communication has the potential to trigger social fragmentation, prejudice, and exclusion of minority

groups. Therefore, socio-cultural communication is not merely a technical issue, but an integral issue that concerns the sustainability of social harmony in this digital age.

As a recommendation, further research is suggested to expand interdisciplinary approaches to cover aspects of socio-cultural communication in more specific contexts, for instance in digital media, education, or multinational work environments. Subsequent research also needs to explore new identity dimensions emerging from the advances in communication technology and to delve into transformative communication strategies that promote inclusivity and conflict mitigation in diverse societies. A more in-depth qualitative and exploratory approach is essential to understand the nuances, challenges, and new opportunities in socio-cultural communication practices today and in the future.

FURTHER STUDY

This research still has limitations so that further research is needed on the topic of *A New Paradigm of Socio-Cultural Communication: A Review of Adaptation, Meaning Negotiation, and Identity Strengthening in the Global and Digital Era* to perfect this research and increase insight for readers and writers.

REFERENCES

- Abderrahim, B. (2024). Qualitative Data Analysis In Communication Research: Foundations And Applications. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, 42(Special Issue 1), 132-145. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59670/asqbss88>
- Abdullah, M. G., & Nasvian, M. F. (2025). Analisis Budaya Komunikasi Organisasi Di Tim Marketing Central Jawa Timur Park Dalam Meningkatkan Efektivitas Kerja Tim. *JPPISB Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengkajian Ilmiah Sosial Budaya*, 4(2), 209-217. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47233/jppisb.v4i2.2184>
- Al Harist, M. Q., & Lani, O. P. (2024). Pergeseran Nilai-nilai Budaya dalam Komunikasi pada Remaja Jorong Mungka Tengah Menggunakan Aplikasi Tiktok. *KINEMA: Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Penyiaran*, 3(1), 99. <https://doi.org/10.31958/kinema.v3i1.13015>
- Alamsyah, I. L., Aulya, N., & Satriya, S. H. (2024). Transformasi Media dan Dinamika Komunikasi dalam Era Digital: Tantangan dan Peluang Ilmu Komunikasi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Research Student*, 1(3), 168-181.
- Aleksandrova, O., Kolinko, M., Ishchuk, A., Kozlovets, M., Petryshyn, H., Hotsalyuk, A., & Taran, G. (2024). Understanding Intercultural Communication as a Condition for Sustainable Development. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 13(2), 261. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2024.v13n2p261>
- Amin, M. A. S. (2017). Komunikasi sebagai Penyebab dan Solusi Konflik Sosial. *Jurnal Common*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.34010/common.v1i2.573>
- Amoussou, F., & Allagbe, A. A. (2018). Principles, Theories and Approaches to Critical Discourse Analysis. *International Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.20431/2347-3134.0601002>

- Amtiran, A. A., & Kriswibowo, A. (2024). Kepemimpinan Agama Dan Dialog Antaragama. *Jurnal Penelitian Agama Hindu*, 8(3), 331-348. <https://doi.org/10.37329/jpah.v8i3.3165>
- Aneas, M. A., & Sandin, M. P. (2009). Intercultural and Cross-Cultural Communication Research: Some Reflections about Culture and Qualitative Methods. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-10.1.1251>
- Apriya, S., Akbar, W., & Jaki, A. (2023). The urgency of digital literacy in social media to prevent fraud in Islamic banking. *SERAMBI: Jurnal Ekonomi Manajemen Dan Bisnis Islam*, 5(3), 135-154. <https://doi.org/10.36407/serambi.v5i3.1118>
- Arikarani, Y., Suradi, S., Ngimadudin, N., & Wulandari, Y. (2025). Pendidikan Agama Islam Multikultural: Konsep, Nilai dan Praktiknya di Lingkungan Madrasah. *Ej*, 7(2), 233-254. <https://doi.org/10.37092/ej.v7i2.993>
- Aririguzoh, S. (2022). Communication competencies, culture and SDGs: effective processes to cross-cultural communication. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 9(1), 96. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01109-4>
- Aroby, M. A. W., Wahyuni, E., & Yasin, M. (2024). Peran Guru dalam Identitas Sosial Siswa di Era Teknologi (Studi Kasus di MIN 1 Kutai Timur). *Ahsan: Jurnal Ilmiah Keislaman Dan Kemasyarakatan*, 1(1). <https://www.jurnal.pustari.com/index.php/ahsan/article/view/16>
- Aslan, & Ningtyas, D. T. (2025). Dialog Identitas: Integrasi Tradisi Keagamaan Lokal di Tengah Arus Budaya Global. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional*, 3(2). <https://sociohum.net/index.php/PROSIDINGNASIOANAL/article/view/56>
- Aswaruddin, Halwa, S., Hasibuan, M. K. P., Dahyanti, N., & Maulida, K. A. W. (2025). Keterampilan Komunikasi Verbal dan Non Verbal Dalam Pembelajaran. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia:Teori, Penelitian Dan Inovasi*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59818/jpi.v5i1.1108>
- Aswaruddin, Ray, A. U. M., Hasibuan, I. T., & Diastami, S. M. (2025). Peran Komunikasi Lintas Budaya Dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pendidikan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia: Teori, Penelitian, Dan Inovasi*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59818/jpi.v5i1.1109>
- Azis, A., Sahra, A., & Budi, N. F. (2018). Pelatihan Komunikasi Interpersonal untuk Meningkatkan Teamwork Karyawan pada PT X. *Al-Qalb: Jurnal Psikologi Islam*, 10(1), 41-58. <https://ejournal.uinib.ac.id/jurnal/index.php/alqalb/index>
- Azzahra, N. A., Ridha, M., Saepudin, Agustian, S. P., & Azzahra, F. (2025). Etika Komunikasi Sebagai Landasan Interaksi Sosial yang Efektif, Sopan dan Bertanggung Jawab. *Jurnal Komunikasi & Kewirausahaan*, 1(1). <https://jurnas.saintekmu.ac.id/index.php/jkk/article/view/170>
- Azzahra, N. S., & Samatan, N. (2024). Penggunaan Bahasa Dalam Komunikasi Antar Budaya di Lingkungan Kerja "Nizaro Furniture." *ARKANA: Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Media*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.62022/arkana.v3i02.7824>

- Bayer, J. B., Ellison, N. B., Schoenebeck, S. Y., & Falk, E. B. (2016). Sharing the small moments: ephemeral social interaction on Snapchat. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(7), 956–977. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1084349>
- Bella Tiara Putri, Citra Sukma Ayu, Mita Atiiqah Br Ginting, Siti Saidah, & Sahkholid Nasution. (2024). Budaya dan Bahasa : Refleksi Dinamis Identitas Masyarakat. *Semantik : Jurnal Riset Ilmu Pendidikan, Bahasa Dan Budaya*, 3(1), 20–32. <https://doi.org/10.61132/semantik.v3i1.1321>
- Brock, A. (2018). Critical technocultural discourse analysis. *New Media & Society*, 20(3), 1012–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816677532>
- Budi Setyaningrum, N. D. (2018). Budaya Lokal di Era Global. *Ekspresi Seni*, 20(2), 102. <https://doi.org/10.26887/ekse.v20i2.392>
- Budiyati, K. W., & Sunarto. (2025). Komunikasi Transformatif Rifka Annisa Women’s Crisis Center dalam Mewujudkan Masyarakat yang Adil Gender. *Interaksi Online*, 13(3). <https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/interaksi-online/article/view/52444>
- Busetto, L., Wick, W., & Gumbinger, C. (2020). How to use and assess qualitative research methods. *Neurological Research and Practice*, 2(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42466-020-00059-z>
- Buzilă, V., & Lazăr, S. (2019). Cultural Symbols in the Context of Communication – Identity Label and Link of Social Cohesion (pp. 409–419). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89468-3_36
- Camelia, A. (2024). Komunikasi Inklusif: Strategi Memperkuat Sinergi antara Pemerintah, NGO, dan Warga. *Kabilah*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35127/kabillah.v9i2.474>
- Carter, M. J., & Fuller, C. (2016). Symbols, meaning, and action: The past, present, and future of symbolic interactionism. *Current Sociology*, 64(6), 931–961. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392116638396>
- Chairozi, F. (2025). Komunikasi Antar Budaya dalam Masyarakat Multikultural: Tantangan bagi Umat Islam. *Nubuwwah : Journal of Communication and Islamic Broadcasting*, 3(01), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.21093/nubuwwah.v3i01.10010>
- Chandra, E., Gultom, S., Dharma, E., & Sherly. (2025). Penguatan Toleransi Beragama Melalui Program Harmoni Antar Agama di Tingkat Komunitas. *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat: Pemberdayaan, Inovasi, Dan Perubahan*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59818/jpm.v5i2.1509>
- Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2021). The mediated construction of reality and culture: Reconsidering mediation and communication. *New Media & Society*, 23(5), 1104–1122.
- De Villiers, C., Farooq, M. B., & Molinari, M. (2022). Qualitative research interviews using online video technology – challenges and opportunities. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 30(6), 1764–1782. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-03-2021-1252>

- Dianto, I. (2019). Hambatan Komunikasi Antar Budaya: Menarik Diri, Prasangka Sosial dan Etnosentrisme. *Hikmah: Jurnal Ilmu Dakwah Dan Komunikasi Islam*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24952/hik.v13i2.1847>
- Drion, G. J. (2022). Towards a theory and practice of cultural communication. *European Journal of Cultural Management and Policy*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ejcmp.2022.11085>
- Enwere, K. I., Nnadi, O., & Njoku, J. C. (2024). Harnessing Language and Culture for Community Cohesion: A Social Identity Theory. *Lalico Journal of Languages, Literature and Communication*, 3(1). <http://lalicojournals.com/index.php/JLLC/article/view/1>
- Erlangga, I., Ibrahim, I., & Ranto, R. (2021). Negosiasi Identitas Budaya Etnis Pendatang dengan Etnis Lokal di Kecamatan Pulau Besar Kabupaten Bangka Selatan. *Journal of Government and Social Issues (JGSI)*, 1(1), 18–32. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jgsi.v1i1.1>
- Evizariza. (2024). Cross-Cultural Pragmatics: Understanding Politeness Strategies in Intercultural Communication. *SOSHUM: Social Studies and Humanities Journal*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.62207/e7bd1r25>
- Fariadi, D. (2024). Peran Komunikasi Digital dalam Meningkatkan Literasi Media. *Imtiyas Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Penyiaran Islam*, 1(2). <https://staisam.ac.id/jurnal/index.php/imtiyaz/article/view/337>
- Fatimah, R., & Perkasa, D. H. (2024). Tantangan dan Upaya Peningkatan Komunikasi Lintas Budaya pada Perusahaan Multinasional (Kajian Literatur Review). *JURNAL ILMIAH MANAJEMEN DAN KEWIRAUSAHAAN*, 4(2), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.71277/b122b232>
- Fatimah, S. (2025). Transformasi Ruang Publik Digital: Tantangan Sosial dan Konstitusional dalam Demokrasi Era Media Baru. *Cakrawala*, 19(1), 67–86. <https://doi.org/10.32781/cakrawala.v19i1.785>
- Fatimah, Y., Jelytha N, A., & Sianturi, M. K. (2025). Meningkatkan Keharmonisan Sosial dalam Pembangunan Wilayah Multietnis melalui Pendekatan Komunikasi Antarbudaya. *Indonesian Culture and Religion Issues*, 2(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.47134/diksima.v2i1.145>
- Gozalova, M. R., Gazilov, M. G., Kobeleva, O. V., Seredina, M. I., & Loseva, E. S. (2017). Non-Verbal Communication in the Modern World. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2016.v7n4p>
- Groves, K. S., Feyerherm, A., & Gu, M. (2015). Examining Cultural Intelligence and Cross-Cultural Negotiation Effectiveness. *Journal of Management Education*, 39(2), 209–243. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562914543273>
- Gulo, A. (2023). Revitalisasi Budaya di Era Digital dan Eksplorasi Dampak Media Sosial terhadap Dinamika Sosial Budaya di Tengah Masyarakat. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan*, 3(3), 172–184. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.55606/jurdikbud.v3i3>
- Gustini, U., Husna, Z. N., Hasna, S. N., Widyasti, R. D., & Purwanto, E. (2025). Mediasi Budaya Lokal dalam Program Acara Televisi Daerah. *Jurnal Desain Komunikasi Visual*, 2(3), 12. <https://doi.org/10.47134/dkv.v2i3.4378>

- Hariadi, J., Abdullah, & Amelia, N. (2025). Etika Komunikasi Islam Dalam Sastra Lisan Aceh: Menelusuri Nilai-Nilai Religius Dan Sosial Budaya. *Jurnal Seuneubok Lada*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33059/jsnbl.v12i1.11982>
- Haris, I. P., Setiawan, Y. I. N., Rendi, & Fajarwati, N. K. (2024). Tren Terkini dalam Ilmu Komunikasi di Indonesia: antara Transformasi Digital dan Dinamika Budaya. *Filosofi: Publikasi Ilmu Komunikasi, Desain, Seni Budaya*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.62383/filosofi.v1i1.73>
- Harmaini, H., Supeno, S., Sari, F. K., Kusaimah, K., & Antoni, E. (2024). Petatah Petitih sebagai Pedoman Etika dalam Hukum Adat. *Legalitas: Jurnal Hukum*, 16(2), 133. <https://doi.org/10.33087/legalitas.v16i2.700>
- Hasan, K., Husna, A., Muchlis, & Fitri, D. (2023). Transformasi Komunikasi Massa Era Digital antara Peluang dan Tantangan. *JPP Jurnal Politik Dan Pemerintahan*, 8(1). <https://ojs.unimal.ac.id/index.php/jpp/article/view/12608>
- Hikmah, Z. D., & Muizzuddin, M. (2025). Internalisasi Nilai Toleransi Melalui Pendidikan Agama Islam di SMP Negeri 28 Surabaya. *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat Dan Riset Pendidikan*, 3(4), 3167–3174. <https://doi.org/10.31004/jerkin.v3i4.1038>
- Hofstede, G., & Minkov, M. (2020). *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Hua, Z., & Kramsch, C. (2016). Symbolic power and conversational inequality in intercultural communication: An Introduction. *Applied Linguistics Review*, 7(4), 375–383. <https://doi.org/10.1515/applirev-2016-0016>
- Huang, L., & Tran, D. (2024). Digital media and cultural transformation: Identity, community, and participation in online spaces. *New Media & Society*, 26(2), 345–363.
- Ibrahim, M. (2025). Bahasa dan Kelas Sosial: Hubungan antara Pilihan Kata dan Status Sosial. *Series on Health & Medical Sciences*, 7, 114–119. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30595/pshms.v7i.1456>
- Illa Rohillah, & Ira Septi Sulistiana. (2025). Komunikasi Kelompok dan Organisasi. *Jurnal Riset Multidisiplin Edukasi*, 2(6), 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.71282/jurmie.v2i6.427>
- Indriyanti, P. (2025). Komunikasi Antar Budaya dalam Membentuk Etos Kerja Karyawan. *Jurnal Lugas*, 9(1), 21–27. <http://ojs.stiami.ac.id>
- Irwanto, M. R., Praya, P., Damaiyanie, D. A., Fadilah, F. R., & Purwanto, E. (2025). Dinamika Komunikasi Pembangunan di Era Globalisasi. *Interaction Communication Studies Journal*, 1(4), 10. <https://doi.org/10.47134/interaction.v1i4.3513>
- Islamiyah, A. B., Ningsih, S. E., Rahmawati, A. D., Setiawan, A. F., & Purwanto, E. (2025). Media Tradisional vs Media Baru dalam Mempromosikan Budaya Berkelanjutan. *CONVERSE Journal Communication Science*, 2(1), 13. <https://doi.org/10.47134/converse.v2i1.4249>
- Kecskes, I. (2015). Intracultural Communication and Intercultural Communication: Are They Different? *International Review of Pragmatics*, 7(2), 171–194. <https://doi.org/10.1163/18773109-00702002>

- Khairul Rizki, & Muhammad Eka Answaril Ikhsan. (2025). Analisis Perkembangan Komunikasi Massa Terhadap Pola Pikir dan Perilaku Masyarakat Di Era Society 5.0. *Jurnal Riset Multidisiplin Edukasi*, 2(6), 120–132. <https://doi.org/10.71282/jurmie.v2i6.394>
- Khoiri, M., Abidin, Z., Torana, A., Raya, A. A., Rahmad, A., Setiawan, R. B., Perdana, S., Romansyah, T. S., & Iskandar. (2025). Pembinaan Toleransi dan Kepedulian Sosial Siswa SMA Melalui Pendidikan Sosial Berbasis Ekstrakurikuler. *JUPADAI: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 4(1). <https://jurnal-adaikepri.or.id/index.php/JUPADAI/article/view/164>
- Khoiriyah, L. (2023). Pengaruh budaya populer terhadap identitas sosial. *Maliki Interdisciplinary Journal*, 1(2), 379–383. <https://urj.uin-malang.ac.id/index.php/mij/article/view/4372>
- Kim, D. J., Yim, M.-S., Sugumaran, V., & Rao, H. R. (2016). Web assurance seal services, trust and consumers' concerns: an investigation of e-commerce transaction intentions across two nations. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 25(3), 252–273. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2015.16>
- Kirom, A. (2017). Peran Guru dan Peserta Didik dalam Proses Pembelajaran Berbasis Multikultural. *Al-Muraabbi: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam*, 3(1), 69–80. <http://jurnal.yudharta.ac.id/v2/index.php/pai>
- Kittler, M. (2018). Do We Understand Each Other? Discussing Academic Exchange from a Cross-Cultural Communication Perspective. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 48(3), 333–351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.2018.1480877>
- Kusuma, R., & Aditya, L. (2023). Model Transaksional dalam Komunikasi Lintas Budaya. *Jurnal Kajian Komunikasi Global*, 5(1), 21–35.
- Kusumawati, P. W., Jahrah, S. N. A., Pratama, Y., & Fajarwati, N. K. (2024). Kearifan Lokal dalam Komunikasi Lintas Budaya. *Jurnal Sains Student Research*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61722/jssr.v2i1.1010>
- Lestari, N. P., & Pratama, R. D. (2024). Ethnocentrism and intercultural misunderstanding: The role of cultural sensitivity in communication. *Indonesian Journal of Intercultural Communication Studies*, 12(1), 88–104.
- Lestari, R., & Hasanah, U. (2024). Bahasa, Simbol, dan Identitas Budaya dalam Komunikasi Sosial. *Jurnal Linguistik Dan Komunikasi Budaya*, 18(1), 44–58.
- Lizardo, O. (2016). Cultural Symbols and Cultural Power. *Qualitative Sociology*, 39(2), 199–204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-016-9329-4>
- Lutfi, M. (2018). Upaya Meningkatkan Komunikasi Antar Budaya dengan Tujuan Harmonisasi Hegemonitas Warga. *Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi Network Media*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46576/jnm.v1i2.362>
- Magut, Z. (2016). Socio-cultural Tradition: From Theory to Research. *Journal of Language, Technology & Entrepreneurship in Africa*, 7(2). <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jolte/article/view/149950>
- Mahmood, M. T., & Ahmad, S. (2022). Ethics and social responsibility in intercultural communication: Theoretical frameworks and applied practices. *International Journal of Communication Ethics*, 19(3), 209–221.

- Mallappiang, N. (2022). The Urgency Of Public Communication Ethics In The Era Of Cyber Society. *Sinomics Journal*, 1(5), 537–546. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54443/sj.v1i5.65>
- Marta, R. F., & Rieuwpassa, J. S. (2018). Identifikasi Nilai Kemajemukan Indonesia Sebagai Identitas Bangsa dalam Iklan Mixagrip Versi Keragaman Budaya. *Jurnal Kajian Komunikasi*, 6(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.24198/jkk.v6i1.15416>
- Meltareza, R., & Poedjadi, M. R. (2024). Hambatan Komunikasi Antar Budaya dalam Proyek Pengajaran Siswa Thailand dan Pengajar Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi UHO*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.52423/jikuho.v9i2.126>
- Mudrik, N., & Fawwaz, Z. E. I. (2024). Cross-Cultural Communication: Concepts, Challenges, and Development Strategies. *Jurnal Selasar KPI: Referensi Media Komunikasi Dan Dakwah*, 4(2), 168–181. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33507/selasar.v4i2.2569>
- Muhtarom, D. A., Widiyanarti, T., Junistian, F., Karyana, Y. P., Saronta, S., & Baihaq, A. A.-R. (2024). Peran Komunikasi Antar Budaya dalam Meningkatkan Pemahaman dan Toleransi Antar Bangsa. *Interaction Communication Studies Journal*, 1(3), 12. <https://doi.org/10.47134/interaction.v1i3.3289>
- Mumtaz, N. F., Widiyanarti, T., Pratiwi, E. E., Deswita, D., Purwanto, E., & Rahmah, A. (2024). Strategi Komunikasi Lintas Budaya. *Indonesian Culture and Religion Issues*, 1(4), 6. <https://doi.org/10.47134/diksima.v1i4.98>
- Murtadlo, A., & Muhid, A. (2025). Kesantunan Bahasa Da'i: Memahami Etika Komunikasi Di Ruang Publik. *Liwaul Dakwah: Jurnal Kajian Dakwah Dan Masyarakat Islam*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47766/liwauldakwah.v15i1.6093>
- Nadia, I., Amanda, P., Ridholi, M., & Sinaga, S. (2025). Opini Publik dan Isu Identitas: Etnisitas, Gender, dan Agama. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Inquiry in Science, Technology and Educational Research*, 2(1b), 2339–2346. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32672/mister.v2i1b.2877>
- Ningrum, A. C., & Tazqiyah, I. (2024). The Role of Language in Cross-Cultural Communication: Understanding Different Values and Traditions. *Jurnal Selasar KPI: Referensi Media Komunikasi Dan Dakwah*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33507/selasar.v4i2.2575>
- Nomleni, K. E. J., Sumartias, S., & Setiawan, W. (2019). Makna Komunikasi Sosial Budaya dalam Penyelesaian Konflik Wilayah Perbatasan Negara Indonesia dan Timor Leste. *Proceedings of Seminar Nasional MACOM III Universitas Padjadjaran 2019*, 11, 111–118.
- Novia, Y. (2025). Bahasa, Simbol, dan Makna: Analisis Antropologi Budaya dalam Komunikasi Masyarakat. *BASADYA: Jurnal Ilmu Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Budaya*, 1(1). <https://sihojournal.com/index.php/basadya/article/view/768>
- Okoro, E., & Washington, M. (2022). Communicating effectively in a multicultural society: Intercultural competence in community engagement. *Journal of Cultural and Communication Studies*, 17(1), 43–58.

- Ou, W. A., & Gu, M. M. (2020). Negotiating language use and norms in intercultural communication: Multilingual university students' scaling practices in translocal space. *Linguistics and Education*, 57, 100818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2020.100818>
- Pangaribuan, T. R. (2011). Hubungan Variasi Bahasa dengan Kelompok Sosial dan Pemakaian Bahasa. *Bahas: Jurnal Bahasa Dan Seni Universitas Negeri Medan*, 38(80). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24114/bhs.v0i80%20TH%2038.2463>
- Pardela, P. A., Yanto, Y., & Octaviani, V. (2023). Komunikasi Antarbudaya Antara Masyarakat Pribumi Dengan Masyarakat Transmigrasi Di Desa Kedataran Kecamatan Maje Kabupaten Kaur. *Professional: Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Administrasi Publik*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.37676/professional.v10i2.5290>
- Pare, G., & Kitsiou, S. (2017). Methods for Literature Reviews. *Handbook of E-Health Evaluation: An Evidence-Based Approach*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK481583/>
- Putri, A. J. L., Akhyar, T., & Ningsih, C. P. A. (2025). Pola Komunikasi Interpersonal dalam Mencegah Konflik Antar Etnis di Desa Teluk Payo Kecamatan Banyuasin II. *Edutik Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Informasi Dan Komuniasi*, 5(4). <https://calamus.id/index.php/edutik/article/view/80>
- Putri, M. H., Nadhirah, N. A., & Budiman, N. (2024). Cultural Awareness: Memahami Sensitivitas Multikultural dalam Praktik Konseling di Sekolah. *Jurnal Edukasi: Jurnal Bimbingan Dan Konseling*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22373/je.v10i1.21882>
- Putri, N. N., Khoirunnisa, D. A., Ilhamy, D. W., Ikhsan, M., & Purwanto, E. (2025). Pergeseran Budaya: Media Baru sebagai Tiktok dan Aparatus Ideologi. *CONVERSE Journal Communication Science*, 2(1), 20. <https://doi.org/10.47134/converse.v2i1.4345>
- Ramadani, N., Widiyanarti, T., Fauziah, A., Salsabila, R. M., Firmansyah, I., Pratiwi, A., & Sagita, D. N. (2024). Menguraikan Tantangan yang disebabkan oleh Stereotip Budaya dalam Komunikasi Antarbudaya. *Interaction Communication Studies Journal*, 1(3), 16. <https://doi.org/10.47134/interaction.v1i3.3290>
- Rambe, N. (2024). Strategi Guru dalam Internalisasi Nilai-nilai Spiritual bagi Siswa. *Analysis*, 2(2), 241-249. <https://ejournal.edutechjaya.com/index.php/analysis/article/view/613>
- Rashidi, N., & Meihami, H. (2017). Addressing Cultural Identity through Negotiation: Analysis of Student-teacher-authored Narratives. *Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability*, 19(2), 21-35. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jtes-2017-0012>
- Satata, E. A. M. K., Sherafim, S. N., Sianturi, R. T., Mandagi, V. P. R., & Kristian, K. G. (2025). Menelusuri Model Komunikasi Antarbudaya Sebagai Jembatan Harmoni Lintas Budaya. *PESHUM: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial Dan Humaniora*, 4(2), 1722-1731. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.56799/peshum.v4i2.7178>

- Satria, K., Azahra, D., Safitri, S. S. A., Alkhobir, Z. A., & Nargis. (2025). Influence of Social Media on Cross Culture Communication among Young Adults. *VRJ Variable Research Journal*, 2(1). <https://variablejournal.my.id/index.php/VRJ/article/view/148>
- Tiana, E. (2025). Strategi Efektif Menghadapi Tantangan Komunikasi Antarbudaya dalam Lingkungan Kerja Global: Studi pada PT Garuda Indonesia. *JSSR Jurnal Sains Student Research*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61722/jssr.v3i4.5229>
- Tojiri, H. (2025). Strategies for Strengthening Integrated Religious Moderation Literacy in West Bandung Regency. *JURNAL ILMIAH GEMA PERENCANA*, 4(1), 323–348. <https://doi.org/10.61860/jigp.v4i1.82>
- Trinugraheni, N. F., & Sarifah, S. (2022). Pendekatan Jurnalisme Multikultural dalam Dokumenter Televisi Telusur Nusantara Edisi Aliran Kepercayaan Sunnah. *Jurnal Ilmiah Multimedia & Komunikasi*, 7(1), 59–72.
- Ulya, D. I. (2025). Penguatan Intregasi Budaya melalui Reinterpretasi Nilai Islam dalam Pendidikan Multikultural di Papua Barat. *Managiere: Journal of Islamic Education Management*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35719/managiere.v4i2.2351>
- Valentiyo, A., Ramadha, U. F., & Alhanif, M. F. (2025). Komunikasi sebagai Proses Simbol. *Inspirasi Edukatif: Jurnal Pembelajaran Aktif*, 6(1). <https://ejournals.com/ojs/index.php/jpa/article/view/1102>
- Vega, S. R., & Elías, G. (2023). Social identity and interpersonal communication in multicultural societies. *Journal of Social and Cultural Communication*, 28(1), 55–73.
- Widiyanarti, T., Fadianti, C. A., Yunandar, F., Ningsih, F. S., Aji, J. F., & Syifa, M. (2024). Analisis Perbedaan Pola Komunikasi Verbal dan Non-Verbal dalam Interaksi Antar Budaya. *Interaction Communication Studies Journal*, 1(3), 12. <https://doi.org/10.47134/interaction.v1i3.3285>
- Widiyanarti, T., Nuhayatuzzakiyah, Windi, Rachman, I. A., & Wichaksana, I. (2024). Bagaimana Mengelola Perbedaan dalam Komunikasi Antarbudaya. *Interaction Communication Studies Journal*, 1(3), 9. <https://doi.org/10.47134/interaction.v1i3.3317>
- Widyanarti, T., Syahrani, R. H., Fadhillah, N., Adawiyah, N., Setiawaty, S. H., & Putri, A. O. A. (2024). Tantangan dan Inovasi dalam Komunikasi Antar Budaya di Era Globalisasi. *Interaction Communication Studies Journal*, 1(3), 24. <https://doi.org/10.47134/interaction.v1i3.3320>
- Yessica, Y., & Utami, L. S. S. (2025). Peran Komunikasi Artifaktual dalam Membangun Identitas Visual dan Budaya Mahasiswa Fashion di Burgo Indonesia. *Kiwari*, 4(2), 328–335. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ki.v4i2.34990>
- Yuliani, P. (2025). Akomodasi Komunikasi Guru terhadap Siswa dalam Pendidikan Karakter di Ruang Kelas Multikultural. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Komunikasi (SENAKOM)*, 3(1). <https://senakom.budiluhur.ac.id/sk/article/view/212>
- Zarei, M. (2025). How to Write a Powerful Narrative Review: A Step-by-Step Guide. *LitMaps*. <https://www.litmaps.com/articles/write-narrative-review>